



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>





141-5

Winnie M. Haworth.
N. C. January 1902





RUSSIA





1774-1818
(From a print in the Grand Album of the Court of
Napoleon I.)

THE STORY OF THE NATIONS

RUSSIA

BY

W. R. MORFILL, M.A.

(READER IN THE RUSSIAN AND SLAVONIC LANGUAGES IN THE
UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD)

AUTHOR OF "SLAVONIC LITERATURE," "A SIMPLIFIED GRAMMAR OF THE SERBIAN
LANGUAGE," "A GRAMMAR OF THE RUSSIAN LANGUAGE," ETC.

NEW YORK
G. P. PUTNAM S SONS
LONDON : T. FISHER UNWIN
1901

COPYRIGHT

BY G. P. PUTNAM'S SONS

1890

Entered at Stationers' Hall, London

BY T. FISHER UNWIN

Press of

G. P. PUTNAM'S SONS

New York



PREFACE.

I HAVE attempted in the present work an outline of Russian history, with such a grouping of the chief facts as would enable my readers to understand the development of the country from the little Grand Duchy of Muscovy in the fifteenth century to the present mighty empire with its hundred million inhabitants. While I have not intentionally concealed the darker shades of the picture, I have endeavoured to avoid drawing my sketch from an English standpoint only. Still less have I felt inclined to indulge in any of the claptrap abuse with which some Western authors season their books on Russia. All nations have been aggressive in their way, and therefore it is idle to talk overmuch about Russian aggressions; all nations have some blood-stained pages in their annals, and therefore it is something like hypocrisy to be struck with especial horror at Russia's misdeeds.

In reality there is nothing political about my book; I have simply told the truth as it appeared to me. I have treated Russia as an important element in the nationalities of the world, a country of great solidarity and strength, whatever may have been said to the

contrary. She is entitled to the gratitude of the world, were it only for the protection she has afforded to the oppressed Christians of the East. The tide of Mohammedan persecution and proselytism was turned from the time when Peter the Great showed the *rayahs*, groaning under the Turkish yoke, that they could look to Russia for help. It is to her that the new nationality of Bulgaria, which gives such excellent promise, owes its origin. We cannot be surprised that the Christians of the East have felt grateful for her benefits. She alone stood up against their oppressors; and her enemies among us must feel that by their mistaken policy they have only strengthened her hands.

Whatever the faults of my little book may be, I can honestly say that it is based upon original authorities, as a glance at any of the pages will show. It aims at something more than a compilation; to give a greater freshness to the details, I have illustrated them by translations from the *bilini*, or historical poems, which have come down to us, from the native chroniclers and from contemporary diaries. The main sources of Russian history are stated at length in the concluding chapter of the work, and I believe this list will be found useful to any one who may wish to study the subject more thoroughly. I have added a chapter on Russian literature once ignored in Europe, but now winning its way to notice. Perhaps the brief sketch here given may allure some to the study of this noble language. Its area is rapidly extending; it must inevitably absorb the Ugro-Altaic and Siberian languages. They are fast

disappearing before it; in fact, in the east of European Russia we can pass from village to village and trace the people in gradual stages of Russification. Feeling sensible of the interest which the country must always possess for the ethnologist and philologist, I have attempted a classification of the various languages spoken within its boundaries. Without pretending to be exhaustive, such information will be found useful; it is only in this way that we can understand the exact relations in which the principality of Lithuania and the eastern provinces of the former kingdom of Poland stood to Russia. We must remember that the bulk of the people of both were homogeneous with the Russians in blood, language and religion.

The political and social institutions of the country—including the *mir* and *zemstvo*—have also been briefly described. An attempt has been made throughout the work to spell the names accurately and on a fixed plan, and to put an end, as far as possible, to the chaos which still prevails among us in these matters.

In conclusion I will say of my book in the words of Martial—

“Sunt bona, sunt quædam mediocria, sunt mala plura
Quæ legis hic : aliter non fit, Avite, liber.”

W. R. MORFILL.

OXFORD,
February, 1890.



CONTENTS.

	PAGE
PREFACE	vii
I.	
THE COUNTRY AND THE PEOPLE OF RUSSIA	1-12
Geography, 3—Ethnology, 5—Dialects, 9—Population of the Largest Cities, 11.	
II.	
THE ORIGIN OF THE RUSSIANS. 861-1054	13-31
Discoveries at Kertch, 17—Derivation of the word Russia, 19—The Story of Oleg's Death, 21—Russian Sagas, 23— Story of Olga, 25—The Gods of the Heathen Slavs, 27—The Wer-Gild, 29—Yaroslav, 31.	
III.	
RUSSIA DIVIDED: THE PERIOD OF THE APPANAGES. 1054-1238	32-37
Smolensk, Chernígov, Novgorod, 33—Vladimir's <i>Foüichenie</i> , 35—Invasion of the Mongols, 37.	
IV.	
RUSSIA UNDER THE MONGOLS. 1238-1462	38-51
First Mention of Moscow, 39—The Mongol Khans, 41— Influence of the Mongols on Russia, 43—The Lithuanian Sovereigns, 45—Union between Lithuania and Poland, 47— The Black Death, 49—End of the Mongol Tyranny, 51.	

V.	PAGE
ESTABLISHMENT OF THE AUTOCRACY AND CONSOLIDATION OF THE EMPIRE TILL DEATH OF BORIS GODUNOV. 1462-1605 . . .	52-99

Marriage of Ivan III., 55—Ivan Increases his Territory, 57—Russian Progress in the Arts, 59—Pskov, 61—Herberstein's Account of Moscow, 63—The "Book of the Hundred Chapters," 65—Prince Andrew Kurbski, 67—Sir Jerome Horsey, 69—Richard Chancellor, 71—Anthony Jenkinson's Travels, 73—Treaties with England, 75—The Account of Giles Fletcher, 77—Ivan's Seven Wives, 79—Death of Ivan, 81—Early Russian Printing, 85—The Conquest of Siberia, 87—Feodore and Dmitri, 89—The Death of Dmitri, 91—Accession of Boris, 93—The False Demetrius, 95—Death of Boris, 97—Intestine Struggles, 99.

VI.	
THE PERIOD OF TROUBLES. THE TWO PRECURSORS OF PETER, MICHAEL AND ALEXIS. 1605-1682	100-132

Xenia the Tsarevna, 101—Assassination of Dmitri, 103—Polish Invasion, 105—Treaty with Sweden, 107—Scotch Adventurers, 109—Olearius's Description of Moscow, 111—The People of Moscow, 113—The Streltsi, 115—Accession of Alexis, 117—Origin of the Cossacks, 119—The Cossacks Revolt from Poland, 121—Acquisition of Territory, 123—Nicon the Patriarch, 125—Miège's Description of Alexis, 127—Court Grandeur, 129—Accession of Feodore, 131.

VII.	
IVAN AND PETER—THE REGENCY OF SOPHIA—REGENERATION OF RUSSIA UNDER PETER THE GREAT. 1682-1725	133-173

Ambassadors of Russia, 135—Expedition against the Tatars, 137—Basil Golitsin, 139—Travels of Peter the Great, 141—Peter in England, 143—Revolt of the Streltsi, 145—Charles Invades

Russia, 151—Battle of Poltava, 153—Death of Mazeppa, 155—
Ecclesiastical Reforms, 157—Social Reforms, 159—The Second
European Tour, 161—Alexis, 163—Execution of Alexis, 167
—Character of Peter the Great, 169—Peter's Work, 171—
Peter an Enigma, 173.

VIII.

THE REFORMS OF PETER IN ABEYANCE—REIGNS
OF CATHERINE I., PETER II., ANNE, IVAN
VI., AND ELIZABETH. 1725-1762 . . . 174-209

Ostermann, 177—Power of Menshikov, 179—Exile of Men-
shikov, 181—Death of Peter II., 183—Anne, Duchess of
Courland, 185—Document of the High Council, 187—Scene
in the Kremlin, 189—Character of Anne, 191—Russian Vic-
tories, 193—Münich and Biren, 195—Beauty of Elizabeth,
197—Fate of the Dethroned Emperor, 199—Banishment of
Ostermann, 201—The Seven Years' War, 203—Treachery
of Apraksin, 205—"Slovo i dielo," 209.

IX.

THE PLANS OF PETER DEVELOPED. PETER III.
(JAN. 5—JULY 19, 1762). CATHERINE II.
(1762-1796) 210-248

Return of the Exiles, 211—Catherine's Aims, 215—Peter III.
Abdicates, 217—The Assassination of Prince Ivan, 219—
Ecclesiastical Affairs, 221—The Affairs of Poland, 223—A
Peasants' War, 227—Capture of Pugachev, 229—Catherine's
Expedition to the Crimea, 235—Death of Potemkin, 237—The
Treaty of Verela, 239—Gustavus IV. of Sweden, 241—Death
of Catherine, 243—Catherine and the Arts, 245—Coxe's
Description of Catherine, 247.

X.

REIGNS OF PAUL (1796-1801) AND ALEXANDER
I. (1801-1825). RUSSIA IN COLLISION WITH
THE FRENCH REVOLUTION AND NAPOLEON 249-280
Character of Paul, 251—Kotzebue's Anecdote of Paul, 253—

PAGE

War with France, 255—Assassination of Paul, 257—The Royal Family, 259—Incorporation of Georgia and Finland, 263—The City of Tiflis, 265—Napoleon Invades Russia, 267—Battle of Boródino, 269—Rostopchin Fires Moscow, 271—Retreat of the Grand Army, 273—Napoleon Deserts his Army, 275—Estimate of the Invading Forces, 277—Death of Alexander, 279.

XI.

FRUITLESS REACTION FOLLOWED BY REFORMS.

REIGNS OF NICHOLAS (1825-1855), ALEXANDER II. (1855-1881), ALEXANDER III. . . 281-310

The Russian Church, 285—Battle of Navarino, 287—War with Poland, 289—The Magyars and Austria, 291—The Crimean War, 293—Siege of Sevastópol, 295—Death of Nicholas, 297—End of the War, 299—The Second Polish Revolution, 301—Execution of Sieriakowski, 303—Annexations, 307—Nihilist Plots, 309.

XII.

RUSSIAN LITERATURE 311-336

Bilini, 313—The Chronicle of Nestor, 315—Early Literature, 317—The Academy of Kiev, 319—Michael Lomonósov, 321—Russian Drama, 323—Karamzin's History, 325—Poetry of Pushkin, 327—Lermontov, 329—Turgueniev and Tolstoi, 331—Poetry of Nekrasov, 333—Characteristics of Russian Literature, 335.

XIII.

THE SOCIAL CONDITION OF RUSSIA BEFORE AND

AFTER THE TIME OF PETER THE GREAT . . . 337-366

The Russian Nobility, 339—The Development of Serfdom, 341—Peter's Treatment of the Serfs, 343—The Russian Clergy, 345—The Power of the Tsar, 347—Religious Parties, 349—Judicial Institutions, 351—Social Customs, 355—Life of the Boyars, 357—Condition of the Serfs, 359—Religious Sects in Russia, 361—Village Communes, 363—Russian Villages, 365.

CONTENTS.

xv

XIV.

PAGE

POLITICAL AND LITERARY LANDMARKS—AUTHORITIES :	367-381
The Progress of Russia, 367—Dates of Russian Literature, 372—Authorities for Russian History, 375—Later Authorities, 379.	
GENEALOGICAL TABLE	382
INDEX	385





LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS.

PETER THE GREAT. FROM A PRINT IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM	<i>Frontispiece</i>
MAP—RUSSIA BEFORE THE TIME OF PETER THE GREAT	<i>To face page I</i>
	PAGE
SCYTHIANS AFTER A BATTLE. FROM AN ELECTRUM VASE OF GREEK WORKMANSHIP, FOUND IN THE ROYAL TOMB AT KERTCH (ANCIENT PANTICAPÆUM)	15
GREEK SILVER VASE, FOUND AT KERTCH (ANCIENT PANTICAPÆUM)	18
LEATHER MONEY. USED IN EARLY RUSSIA IN PLACE OF COIN	30
GOLD MEDAL, ATTRIBUTED TO THE PRINCE OF KHOLM	40
SEAL OF THE HANSEATIC LEAGUE AT NOVGOROD	48
IVAN III.	53
COPEKS ATTRIBUTED TO IVAN III.	60
THE KREMLIN	64
EARLY RUSSIAN COPEKS	66
CHURCH OF THE ASSUMPTION AT MOSCOW	83
DRESSES OF PEOPLE AT END OF SEVENTEENTH CENTURY	112
PICTURES OF STRELTSI	116

	PAGE
NICON	125
THE BIRTH OF PETER. OBTVERSE SHOWING HIS PA- RENTS. STRUCK TO COMMEMORATE THE EVENT,	128
NATALIA	131
IVAN AND FEODORE	134
PRINCESS SOPHIA	136
EUDOXIA	138
SAYES COURT, DEPTFORD	142
CHARLES XII. FROM A PRINT IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM	147
ROUBLES OF 1704 AND 1707. REIGN OF PETER THE GREAT	149
MENSHIKOV	152
FLIGHT AFTER POLTAVA	154
ALEXIS	164
PRINCESS CHARLOTTE	165
MEDAL STRUCK TO COMMEMORATE THE TAKING OF NOTEBURG IN 1702	170
ROUBLE OF 1710. REIGN OF PETER THE GREAT	172
CATHERINE I.	175
SILVER ROUBLE OF 1725. REIGN OF CATHERINE I.	178
THE EMPRESS ELIZABETH. FROM A PRINT IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM	198
(1) SILVER ROUBLE ; (2) TWO COPEKS. REIGN OF EMPRESS ELIZABETH	204
FOUNDING OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MOSCOW IN 1754. MEDAL, WITH THE BUST OF EMPRESS ELIZABETH,	207
PETER III.	213
ROUBLE OF PETER III.	216
ALEXIS ORLOV	218
MEDAL STRUCK ON THE ACCESSION OF CATHERINE II., 1762	219
CATHERINE II.	222

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS.

xix

	PAGE
SUVÓROV	225
PUGACHEV	228
TAKING OF OCHÁKOV	231
POTEMKIN	236
PAUL	250
ROUBLE OF PAUL I.	253
ALEXANDER I. FROM A PRINT IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM	261
SILVER ROUBLE. REIGN OF ALEXANDER I.	278
NICHOLAS I. FROM A PRINT IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM, GRIVNA OF 1830. REIGN OF NICHOLAS I.	283
MENSHIKOV	288
CRONSTADT	294
ODESSA	296
PLAN OF SEVASTÓPOL	298
SCHAMIL	300
ALEXANDER II. FROM A PRINT IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM	305
RUSSIANS TRAVELLING	308
TRAVELLING SLEDGE	342
BEARD CUTTING. A CARICATURE	350
COPPER HALF-ROUBLE OF CZAR ALEXIS MIKHAI- LOVICH	353
MAP OF THE RUSSIAN EMPIRE, 1889	370
	<i>To face page</i> 373

(Those portraits which are not reproduced from prints in the British Museum are taken from Bruckner's "Peter der Grosse," and "Katharina I." in Oncken's Series. The coins are copied from Chaudoir's "Aperçu sur les Monnaies Russes," and the medals from Ricaud de Tiregalé's "Médailles sur l'Empire de Russie." Other illustrations have been borrowed from Schuyler's "Peter the Great.")







THE STORY OF RUSSIA.

I.

THE COUNTRY AND THE PEOPLE OF RUSSIA.

THE Empire of Russia, occupying as it does nearly all the East of Europe and the North of Asia, is one of the most vast of ancient or modern times. Its area exceeds 8,500,000 square miles. It projects within the Arctic circle as far as $77^{\circ} 2'$ and $77^{\circ} 40'$ N. lat. ; towards the south it reaches $38^{\circ} 50'$ in Armenia, about 35° on the Afghan frontier, and $42^{\circ} 30'$ on the coasts of the Pacific. To the west it advances as far as $20^{\circ} 40'$ E. long. in Lapland, $18^{\circ} 32'$ in Poland, and $29^{\circ} 42'$; and its eastern limit East Cape in the Behring Straits extends to 191° E. longitude. The population, however, of this great empire is but thinly scattered : in European Russia, according to Reclus, it amounts to 81,000,000, and in Asiatic Russia to 14,000,000, or a total of 95,000,000. Others, however, raise it to 102,889,520.

Russia possesses a seaboard on the Baltic, the Black Sea, and the Arctic Ocean. In the periods of her earlier history she had no maritime outlet : as soon, therefore, as the fiefs had been broken

2 THE COUNTRY AND THE PEOPLE OF RUSSIA.

up, and were annexed to the growing principality of Moscow, which was governed by vigorous rulers like Ivan III., Basil, and Ivan IV., the desire of acquiring a coast-line developed itself. For some time the Russians were confined to Archangel on the White Sea, where at first was to be found only a monastery, as indeed was the case when the English landed there under Chancellor in 1553. The town itself only dates from 1637. Ivan the Terrible made many efforts to extend Russia to the Baltic, but this plan was not realized till the days of Peter the Great. The latter monarch took Azov, thus getting an outlet to the Black Sea ; but his possession of the town was only temporary, as we shall shortly find. Russia now boasts the splendid port of Odessa, but she did not acquire it till the reign of Catherine, and the Crimea came about the same period. For a long time the extreme East and Kamchatka were of but little value to her as a coast-line, on account of the savage tribes which inhabited those regions. The acquisition of Siberia by Ivan IV., through the exploits of Yermak, was at first but partial, and it required many years before the country could be opened up. And even in our day, as Mr. George Kennan has shown in his papers in the *Century Magazine*, the riches of this dimly-known country are but imperfectly understood. The grand port, Vladivostok, rightly named "the lord of the East," promises to be a great emporium of Asiatic and American trade.

The country, as a whole, is a vast plain. High plateaus and mountain chains are only met with in the Asiatic parts of the empire. These flat

lands vary in their characteristics : sometimes they are dry deserts or low tablelands, or lake regions, or marshy plains. The only extensive mountain ranges in European Russia are the small chain of the Yailas, in the south of the Crimea, and the Caucasian range, which is one of the boundaries between European and Asiatic Russia, and contains the grand mountains Elbruz (or Shat-gorá) and Kazbek. The Ural mountains form the north-eastern boundary. South of Lake Ilmen we have the Valdai hills, the highest of which has an elevation of 934 feet. In the east of Siberia are the Verkhoianskoi, Stanovoi, and Yablonoi mountains : among them are several volcanoes.

Russia has the command of abundance of water-power. Her lakes possess, on a smaller scale, the features of those of North America. They are found in close juxtaposition, and are intersected by rivers and canals. Ládoga, the largest lake in Europe, contains many islands. The great rivers are the Dniester, which empties itself into the Black Sea ; the Dnieper, which enters the same sea by Ochákov and Kinburn ; the Don, which flows by Vorónezh ; and the Volga, the largest river of Europe, which empties itself by seventy mouths into the Caspian. As affluents, it has the Oka, Mologa, Cheskna, and Kama. The Niemen rises near Minsk, and empties itself into the Kurische Haf. Readers of history are not likely to forget the memorable scene, when Napoleon crossed this river in 1812 to invade Russia. Its basin is occupied by the Lithuanians, about whom I shall speak more at length : their territory re-

4 THE COUNTRY AND THE PEOPLE OF RUSSIA.

mained for a long time subject to the Poles. Siberian rivers are the Obi, the Tom, the Irtysk, the Yenisei, and the Lena: the Amour separates part of China from Siberia. Since the Russians have gained possession of the mouths of this river, they have built Nikolayev and Alexandrovsk, well-fortified ports on the Straits of Tanakai. Thus we see that Russia has a magnificent water-system, and its utility has been further increased by a series of canals, which intersect the whole country.

In a country of such wide extent as Russia we must be prepared for great diversities of climate. In the Arctic zone we only get mosses and dwarf shrubs; in the cold zone we get pine and birch, and rye, oats, and barley grow. The temperate zone produces wheat, hemp, and millet; and the warm zone furnishes wine, the most celebrated vintages being those of the Crimea and Georgia—the Kakhétian wine of the latter country being especially celebrated

If we turn from the physical characteristics of the country to the population which inhabits it, we find great variety. Few portions of the world possess more attractions for the student of ethnology and philology. Classified according to the languages they speak, the population of Russia may be grouped as follows:—

I. THE ARYAN RACE.

The Slavonic race, which may be divided into (*a*) the Great Russians, occupying the governments round Moscow, extending north to Novgorod and Vologda, south to Kiev and Vorónezh, east to Penza,

Simbirsk, and Viatka, and west to the Baltic provinces, where they come in contact with Lithuanians, Letts, and Germans. According to the latest computations as given in the *Encyclopædia Britannica*, the Great Russians amount to 41,994,000. Under these are included 2,300,000 agriculturists of the same race in Western Siberia and in Eastern more than 1,000,000. It has been a favourite theory of Duchinski and others to hold that the name of Great Russians was in reality a misnomer, and that the bulk of the people consisted of a mixture of Finns, Tatars, and other races. Such a view, however, is not borne out by the examination of the skulls of the race, those of ten or thirteen centuries ago, as Prince Kropotkin says, exhibiting the same type as the present inhabitants of the country. At the same time, the Russian race, like all others, is certainly not unmixed.

(b) The Malo or Little Russians, amounting to 17,241,000; they are found in the southern governments, and extend to the Black Sea. Others are found beyond the boundary of the Russian Empire and dwell in the Austrian province of Galicia, a small strip of land in the north of Hungary and the Bukovina.

(c) The White Russians, inhabiting the western governments, Minsk, Pinsk, and others. They amount to about 4,330,000, and constitute one of the poorest classes of the population, just as their country is one of the most unlovely portions of the Russian Empire.

Of the other Slavonic races we have the following:

(d) The Poles, living in the former kingdom of Poland and the western governments of the empire.

6 THE COUNTRY AND THE PEOPLE OF RUSSIA.

In the Ukraine and Lithuania they constitute the landed proprietors. Their number amounts to about 5,750,000. Their relations with Russia, from the earliest times, will be discussed in the course of this history.

(e) Bulgarians.

(f) Chekhs (or Bohemians).

(g) Serbs.

The three last are found in small numbers in the country, and not to such an extent as to materially affect it. The Serbian colonies in the South of Russia will be fully discussed later on. The Bulgarians are found in Bessarabia, and the country called New Russia. Bolgrad, in the former territory, shared with Braila in Roumania the distinction of being the cities in which Bulgarian books were formerly printed, as hardly any could make their appearance in the provinces governed by the Turks.

We now come to the Letto-Lithuanians.

(h) These, including the Samogitians or Zhmuds, amount to about three millions. The Letts are on the Lower Niemen and Düna; they are slowly becoming amalgamated with the Russians.

(i) We may also here enumerate the Swedes, Germans, and Roumans who are scattered over this vast empire; and under Aryans must be classed (j) the Armenians, of whom a considerable number are to be found in the Caucasian provinces, and with them may be grouped the Kurds and Ossetes.

II. CAUCASIAN RACES (NON-ARYAN).

Under these must be classed the Georgians,

Lesghians, and others. (*a*) Of the first of these (including their congeners, the Mingrelians, Lazis, and Suans) there are 1,100,000, according to Erckert ("Der Kaukasus"). The languages of the Georgian races in their structure show a certain affinity with Basque, although the dissimilarity of their vocabularies forbids our attempting to group the two families together.

(*b*) The Lesghians, Circassians, Chetchenzenes, and Abkhasians make up about 900,000.

III. THE URAL-ALTAIC FAMILY

is largely represented in Russia; under this head come (*a*) The Finns, who are known in the old Slavonic Chronicles under the name of Chudes: they and their congeners at one time inhabited all the north-eastern part of Russia. They may also be divided into the following groups: the Baltic, the Volga, the Cis-Ural, and the Trans-Ural Finns. To the Baltic Finns belong those in Finland, the Esthonians and others; they amount to 1,303,000. The Finns of the Volga, among whom may be counted the Cheremissians, are fast becoming Russianized, and the same remark applies to the Ziranians and Votiaks, who are to be reckoned among the Cis-Ural Finns. The Ziranians also extend beyond the Ural range into Siberia.

IV. THE TURKO-TATAR RACES.

These amount to about 3,629,000 and among them are included Bashkirs, Kirghises, &c.

V. THE SEMITIC RACE

is represented by upwards of three millions of inhabitants, consisting of Jews in Poland, in West and South-west Russia, and the Caucasus; and especially the Karaïte Jews in the Crimea, who are to be found in considerable numbers in the picturesque city of Bakchisarai.

The above account can be considered only as a brief sketch of the various nationalities of which the Russian population is composed. To those who wish to pursue the subject further, I recommend Reclus, "Géographie Universelle," vols. v., vi., and Latham's works.

There are besides these some tribes in Siberia which I have not enumerated, especially those on the Pacific coast. Many of these have not yet been grouped scientifically, as the Chukchis, the Kamchadals, the Koriaks, the Ghilyaks on the Amour, and the Ainos in Saghalin.

The dominant and official language of this vast empire is the Great Russian, which in copiousness and flexibility may be reckoned among the finest languages of the world, reminding us of ancient Greek in its great power of synthesis, and the precision of its particles. It has a literature extending over some centuries, to which we propose to devote a chapter in the latter part of this work. The Malo or Little Russian language or dialect—for there are great disputes as to which category we are to assign it—is spoken in all the southern governments. It is rich in popular songs, and began to develop a literature at the close of last century. Further information

on this subject will be given in the chapter on Russian literature. White Russian, which is only a dialect, can show nothing in the way of literature but a few songs. Many Russian dialects, of which specimens are found in the earlier writers, have now altogether disappeared, as, for example, those of Kiev and Pskov. Sobolevski, in his "Lectures on the History of the Russian Language," thinks that the devastations of the Tatar invaders may have had a great deal to do with this loss. "Under any circumstances," he says, "if the old Kiev dialect was preserved till the fifteenth century, the inroad on Kiev of Edighei, and Mengli-Ghirei and the irruptions of the Crimean horde into that territory, must have so much diminished the number of those speaking it that they easily became assimilated with others who had entered the country from Volhynia, Podolia, and Galicia." The term Little Russia is met with first about the end of the thirteenth century (*vide post*). The dialect of Pskov undoubtedly existed in the fifteenth century, but no traces of it are found after that period.

An effort to treat the Russian dialects philologically was made in a work entitled, *Opit Oblastnago Velikorusskago Slovara* ("Attempt at a Provincial Dictionary of the Great Russian Language"), which appeared at St. Petersburg in 1852. It is but a meagre affair, but valuable owing to the great scarcity of materials on the subject.

Of the other languages and dialects spoken in the Russian Empire few possess a literature; exception, however, must of course be made in the case of

10 THE COUNTRY AND THE PEOPLE OF RUSSIA.

Poland, which has a rich literature, dating from the sixteenth century; the nineteenth saw the noble trio of poets, Mickiewicz—one of the greatest produced by the Slavonic world—Slowacki and Krasinski.

The rich and ancient literature of Georgia is certainly entitled to a brief mention; we shall discuss the annexation of the country to Russia, when we treat of the times of Alexander I. The Georgian, or Karthveli language—to employ the name by which the natives style themselves—is the only one of the family which can boast a literature, but this, covering as it does a period of a thousand years, is both ancient and extensive. The manuscripts begin with versions of portions of the Scriptures; for example, the Book of Psalms in the eighth century; and there is a complete translation of the Bible of the tenth, which is preserved in the Iberian monastery on Mount Athos. Altogether the ecclesiastical literature is very rich in books of devotion, translations of the fathers, &c. Among the secular works of special interest may be cited the romantic poem, “The Man in the Panther’s Skin” (*Vepkhvis Tqaosani*), composed by Shota Rustaveli, who lived in the twelfth century, in the days of Queen Tamara, when the country was at the height of its glory and prosperity. Among modern poets may be mentioned Gregory Orbeliani; Alexander Tchavtchavadze, whose eldest daughter married the Russian dramatist, Griboiédov; Nicholas Baratashvili, who died in 1846 at the early age of thirty, leaving a volume of fine lyrics; and Prince Ilya Tchavtchavadze now living. There is a rich Oriental colouring in the works of these Georgian

poets, and their literature is still vigorous and active.

The literature of the Lithuanians and Letts is confined to a few popular songs, with the exception among the former people of the writings of Christian Donalitius, a clergyman, who, last century, composed some poems on the seasons, devoid of literary merits, but interesting as monuments of the language. As regards Finnish, the only production which calls for special notice is the *Kalevala*, a kind of epic which was taken down by Lönrot from the lips of the people, and published in 1849. He collected the verses by traversing all Finland and the Russian governments of Olonetz and Archangel. Translations have appeared in Swedish, French, and German.

There is an active Mohammedan press for works in the Tatar, Arabic, and Persian languages, but, according to a recent article in the "Transactions of the Oriental Section of the Russian Archæological Society," the works which make their appearance possess little value. There has been an attempt to introduce the domestic novel among native Tatar productions, but with little success.

The following is the population of some of the largest cities in Russia:—

St. Petersburg	929,090	Kharkov	159,660
Moscow	753,469	Kazan	140,730
Warsaw	406,260	Kishenev	130,000
Odessa	217,000	Kiev	127,250
Riga	169,330	Tiflis	104,020

Many of the so-called towns in Russia are in reality little better than villages. Catherine

12 THE COUNTRY AND THE PEOPLE OF RUSSIA.

created several which after her death sank into insignificance. The Slav, in fact, where he is not mixed with other races, is a pure agriculturist, and has no trading tendencies. Hence commerce in the large towns has fallen very much into the hands of foreigners and Jews; the great number of the latter in all Slavonic countries is striking. It was the want of an active middle-class which threw all the trade of Poland into the hands of Germans and Jews, and was one of the main causes of the fall of the Republic.

But I shall treat more fully of the institutions of the Russian Empire in a chapter which I propose to devote to that subject towards the end of this book.

There is a large yearly export of corn, flax, tallow, hides, potash, tar, &c. The mineral wealth of the country is great, comprising gold and silver from Siberia, zinc from Poland, tin from Finland, &c. There are extensive iron mines in the Ural mountains. The discovery of coal is constantly on the increase. Most of it comes from the basin of the Donetz and Moscow; Poland and the Asiatic dominions also produce it. The home manufactures are also steadily increasing.



II.

THE ORIGIN OF THE RUSSIANS.

THE Russians are never mentioned by the old classical writers. The idea that the Biblical name Rosh (*Ρῶς*) in the Septuagint (which we find two or three times in Ezekiel) refers to them has long been exploded. The ancients called the country which they now inhabit Scythia, but it was more or less a *terra incognita*. They only knew the southern coasts and the series of colonies to be found there, such as Olbia, Panticapæum, and others, and the excavations of their ruins have yielded many of the choicest antiquities which may now be found in the Imperial Hermitage at St. Petersburg. Various opinions have been held about the Scythians, and the subject is far too wide to be discussed here at any length. It can only be said that the view now generally adopted is that they were probably a mixture of many races. The specimens of their language which have come down, consisting only of a few words, show Indo-European affinities. Hippocrates, however, in describing their physical characteristics, appears to make them Mongolians. "Their bodies," says he, "are gross and fleshy; the

joints are loose and yielding; they have but little hair, and they are all very much alike." Of these Scythians two tribes at least appear to be Slavonic, the Budini and the Neuri. Certainly the description which Herodotus gives of them would lead us to suppose so, and this view has been adopted by Schafarik. His account of the country which they inhabited reminds us very much of Volhynia and some parts of White Russia, and it is in this portion of Europe that some scholars wish to put the cradle of the Aryan race. Near Chernígov is the large *kurgan* (or pile of earth) called Chernaya Mogila (the Black Grave), which was explored a few years ago, and yielded a rich harvest to the investigators. The story of Targitaus in Herodotus; and the wonderful plough which fell from heaven, seem to point to an agricultural people like the Slavs rather than to any nomad race.

It is singular that on the vases found in tombs in the southern part of Russia we have representations of men dressed in the same way as are many of the Russian peasants in our own time, and with the same features. Nowhere is this more conspicuous than on the beautiful vase which came from the Kul-Oba tomb, and is now preserved in the museum of the Hermitage at St. Petersburg. The vase is made of electrum, a mixture of silver and gold. In one group the chief is evidently listening to the report brought by a warrior. He wears his hair long, and has his tunic fastened by a girdle, and his trousers are tucked into his boots. All these characteristics may be found in the dress of the Russian peasant in our own



SCYTHIANS AFTER A BATTLE. FROM AN ELECTRUM VASE OF GREEK WORKMANSHIP, FOUND IN THE ROYAL TOMB AT KERTCH (ANCIENT PANTICAPEUM).

days. The warrior, who kneels, wears a pointed hood, which may be seen any time in the south of Russia and the Caucasus, and is called a *bashalik*. We find figures dressed in exactly the same way on the Nicopol vase. Moreover, in both the type of face is quite such as we see among the Russians at the present time. It is distinctly Aryan, and not Mongolian ; and we feel, therefore, that there is no reason to doubt the existence of some Slavonic tribes among the Scythians, although it is impossible to accept the views of Samokvasov and others, who identify the whole Scythian race with the Slavs.

In order to form some idea of the magnificent discoveries which have been made in Southern Russia, let us take the description given us in the "Anthropological and Ethnological Miscellany" by K. K. Hertz of the opening of the tomb of Kul-Oba : "By order of the Government, about three or four hundred *sagènes* of stone were to be brought to Kertch to build a barracks. At that time stones ready hewn were generally got out of the *kurgans*. No one seems to have reflected that the procuring of material in this way led to the destruction of historical monuments. On the 19th of September (O. S.), while getting stones, the workmen opened a tomb the entrance to which had been carefully walled up. Having made an aperture over the doors, the explorer was able to enter the vault. This is what met his gaze : under a baldaquin, on a raised platform, there lay a king and queen in wooden sarcophagi. The cap of the king was ornamented with two bands ; on his neck was a collar of solid

gold; his hands were covered with golden rings; beside him lay his kingly weapons, his sword with a golden handle, his golden sceptre, a shield of solid gold, and a golden bow-case. The head of the queen had also the same cap, with beautiful fastenings; her neck was ornamented with golden rings and five golden medallions. On both was a robe ornamented with golden plates. The king and queen were surrounded with many vessels of gold and silver, including the celebrated vase representing the Scythians; there was also a musical instrument and five statuettes. In the same tomb were found the bones of an attendant, and also of a horse." The description of the burial given by Herodotus (iv. 71) of the Scythian kings seems to be corroborated in the main by this curious tomb. Rawlinson, in his notes to Herodotus, considers its date to be about B.C. 400-350. The place shows abundant evidence of Greek influence, but the general treatment is purely Scythian; and the tomb probably belongs to one of those native kings who from B.C. 438 to B.C. 304 held the Greeks of Panticapæum in subjection.

From Arabic writers we know that a Slavonic race, about the close of the ninth century, was spread over the basin of the Dnieper. This is the earliest mention of these Slavs, whoever they may have been. But how are we to explain the name Russia? The old-fashioned view was to derive the word from the Rhozolani. This, however, has long been abandoned. One thing is certain, namely, that these Rhozolani were of Oriental descent, a Medic or Iranian tribe. They disappear from history, having,



GREEK SILVER VASE, FOUND AT KERTCH (ANCIENT PANTICAPÆUM).

like so many other tribes—as, for instance, the Pechenegs and the Khozars—been swallowed up by the huge waves of immigration which overflowed the country. The old name of the country is Rus, the form Russia not having arisen earlier than the close of the seventeenth century, when it was artificially formed on the analogy of such classical names as Græcia. The most probable derivation of this word is from the Finnish Ruotsi, which is the name given by the Finns to the Swedes, and appears itself to be a corruption of the first syllable of some such word as *rothsmenn* or *rothskarlar* (rowers or seafarers).

The legend is that three brothers, Rurik, Sineus, and Truvor, who were Vikings, were invited from Sweden and settled at Novgorod in 862, where they would find themselves surrounded by Finnish populations. Novgorod (New Town) bears a Slavonic name, but nothing is known about it before the arrival of the brothers, whose story is first told in the Chronicle of Nestor, or that which is generally attributed to him. He was a monk of Kiev, born about 1056, and died about 1114 (see the chapter on Russian literature). It was the capital, according to this compilation, of the Slovenes or Slavonians, who lived round Lake Ilmen, and it was called by the Norsemen Holmgardr, because it stood on a holm or island, just where the river Volkhov issues from Lake Ilmen. Others think that Holm in Holmgardr is merely a corruption by a kind of *volksetymologie* from Ilmen, which is not at all improbable. There was a large Scandinavian element in Novgorod, for Nestor calls it a Varangian town; and Thomsen tells us that the

Gothlanders had a guildhall there in the twelfth century, and also there was a Varangian church. From the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries the Scandinavians were forced to give way to the Germans, into whose hands the trade passed, and Novgorod became one of the Hansa towns.

We have dilated thus far on the origin of this interesting city, about which we shall have a great deal to say in our narrative. According to some accounts these brothers were summoned by Gostomisl, a leading citizen of Novgorod; but the invitation of Rurik, Sineus, and Truvor is only a way of concealing an invasion, as in the case of Hengist and Horsa among ourselves. The names are Scandinavian. Rurik is in Old-Norse Hrærekr, and is the same as Roderick; Sineus is Old-Norse Signiutr; and Truvor Old-Norse Thorvardr (see Thomsen, "The Relations between Ancient Russia and Scandinavia," Oxford, 1877). Be this at it may, the Chronicler goes on to tell us that Rurik settled at Ládoga, where the Volkhov flows into the lake; Sineus at Bielo-ozero (lit. White Lake); and Truvor at Izborsk, on Lake Peipus. Rurik, on the death of his brothers, annexed their dominions, and became sole ruler. Two other adventurers, Askold and Dir, who had come with them set out further south, and conquered the city of Kiev, on the Dnieper, the origin of which, like that of Novgorod, is buried in mystery. Here, according to the old Slavonic legend, St. Andrew came and planted the cross where now stands the cathedral. We hear of Askold and Dir afterwards sailing against the Byzantine Empire and plundering the capital.

This was the beginning of the long antagonism which was to exist between the Russians and Constantinople. Rurik died in 879, leaving the guardianship of his son Igor to a chieftain named Oleg (also Scandinavian. Igor is Ingvar; Oleg, Helgi; Olga, Helga). In a treacherous manner Oleg contrived to slay Askold and Dir, and get possession of Kiev, if the story be really true, but some of the Russian historians consider it a mere legend invented to explain the relations existing between Novgorod and Kiev. At all events, the capital of the newly-formed state was soon transferred to the latter city. Oleg chose a wife for his ward named Olga, said to have been born in the city of Pskov, anciently Pleskov; some, however, consider her native place to have been Pleskov, in Bulgaria. The Russian Pskov now first comes into notice; we shall hear a great deal about it afterwards. The Chronicler has much to tell us about Olga. Oleg then started on an expedition against Byzantium, and the Greeks were obliged to buy him off. There is a fine legend that in derision of the inhabitants he hung his shield upon the gates, and the subject has inspired Pushkin, the Russian poet, with a spirited lay. Oleg made two treaties with the Greeks, and the texts of these documents have been preserved by Nestor. They are undoubtedly genuine, for many of the names in them are Scandinavian, such as at a later period it would have been impossible to forge.

The story of Oleg's death is told by the old Chronicler in a style worthy of Herodotus:

“ And Oleg lived, having peace on all sides, residing

in Kiev. And Oleg remembered his horse which he had entrusted to others to feed, himself never seeing him. For a long time ago he had asked the wizards and magicians, 'By whom is it fated that I should die?' And one of the magicians said to him, 'Prince, the horse which thou lovest and upon which thou ridest shall be the cause of thy death.' Oleg receiving this into his mind said, 'I will never ride the horse nor see him more.' And he ordered them to take care of the horse, but never to bring it to him again; and many years passed, and he rode him no more, and he went among the Greeks. Afterwards he returned to Kiev and stayed there four years, and in the fifth he remembered his horse, by which the soothsayers had predicted that Oleg would die, and, having called the oldest of his grooms, he said, 'Where is my horse which I enjoined you to feed and take care of?' And they said, 'He is dead.' And Oleg laughed and blamed the soothsayer, and said, 'The wizard spoke falsely, and it is all a lie; the horse is dead and I am alive.' And he ordered them to saddle his steed, for he wished to see the bones of the horse. And he came to the place where the bones and the skull lay unburied. And he leapt from his steed and said with a smile, 'How can a skull be the cause of my death?' And he planted his foot on the skull, and out darted a snake and bit him on the foot, and from the wound he fell sick and died. And all the people lamented with great lamentation, and carried him and buried him on the mountain called Stchekovitsa. There is his grave to this day, and it is called the 'Grave of Oleg.' And all the years of his reigning were thirty-and-three."

We have here a saga, also found in Scandinavia, as has been shown by Bielowski and Rafn. According to this saga it had been predicted to Oerwar Odde, the son of Grim, that he should be slain by his horse. He came after a long absence, found his horse buried in a marsh, and was killed by a lizard that sprang out of the horse's head. The story is also found in the traditions of our own country. It relates either to the Isles of Thanet or Sheppy, and is one of the oldest folk-tales among us. In fact, many of these Russian tales show an affinity to the Norse, and we shall return to the subject in the chapter devoted to Russian literature.

It is these very interesting stories which constitute the difference between the work attributed to Nestor and our own Anglo-Saxon Chronicle. The latter is rigidly historical, and lacks the fresh, charming sagas which are found in the old Slavonic books.

Igor now rules alone: he makes two expeditions against the Greeks, who are growing weaker every century, and are ready for the spoiler. On the first occasion, however, he is defeated; his ships are consumed by the terrible Greek fire, and his land forces have much ado to get back to Kiev. In the account given of the rout by the Chronicler the Slavs are represented as saying, "The Greeks have a fire like lightning, and this is the reason why they have conquered us." It is well known that they guarded with the utmost caution the secret of the manufacture of this terrible concoction. We are told that a son was born to Igor named Sviatoslav. This name, which signifies "holy glory," is purely Slavonic; whereas,

Igor, as I have mentioned before, is the Norse Ingvar. The Norse invaders are therefore now beginning to be lost in their Slavonic subjects, just as the Normans and Saxons began to be fused in the reign of Henry II. But when the Emperor Constantine Porphyrogenitus, in the ninth chapter of his work on the administration of the Greek Empire ("De Administrando Imperio"), written about 950 A.D., describes the cataracts of the Dnieper, he gives two separate names to each, the first Slavonic (*Σκλαβινιστι*), and the second Russian (*Ρωσισι*). Now the first of these names as we find them in his book are good Slavonic and are easily translated; the so-called Russian are Norse, and nothing else. For an explanation of the names see Thomsen's book previously quoted. In this way the theory now accepted by all the best scholars of the invasion of Russia by Scandinavians is amply attested. A second attempt of Igor against Constantinople was more successful: the emperor consents to pay off the invaders, and a treaty is again made. Nestor gives the document in full. It shows a long array of names which can only be explained from Scandinavian sources: the heathen swear by Perun, the god of thunder; the Christians, by the Gospels. Soon after this Igor is slain in a place called Iskorosten, belonging to the tribe of the Drevlians. Olga, his widow, resolved to take vengeance upon her husband's murderers. She contrives by a stratagem to shut a great number of them in a vapour-bath, where they are suffocated. She destroys their town, according to the saga, by fixing lighted matches to the tails of sparrows and letting them fly over the

roofs. Parallels to this story can be found in other countries. Layamon in his rhyming chronicle tells us that Cirencester was taken by Gurmund in the same way; and Harold Hardrada, as we learn from the "Heimskringla," performed a like exploit in Sicily. It is in this way that these good old stories do duty in the early history of many nations. But Olga was to become more celebrated by the crowning event of her life. In 855 she went to Constantinople, and was there baptized; she has earned the position of a Russian saint, but she was not able to convert her son Sviatoslav. The old Chronicler tells us of her funeral, but before her death she forbade the heathen festivities, which generally took place on these occasions. The feast was called *trizna*, and was accompanied with prolonged ceremonies. Many of our readers must have read in the fourth book of Herodotus, how on the king's death his wives and slaves were killed and buried with him; his favourite horses were also added to the victims. The *kurgans* in the south of Russia have already been spoken of; they are continually being opened, and are found to contain bones of human beings and animals grouped round those of the chieftain, and close by are handsomely wrought urns, similar to those to which allusion has been made in our first chapter.

The old Chronicler waxes very eloquent while writing about this saintly woman: "She was the forerunner of Christianity in Russia, as the morning-star is the precursor of the sun and the dawn the precursor of the day. As the moon shines at midnight, she shone in the midst of a pagan people.

She was like a pearl amid dirt, for the people were in the mire of their sins and not yet purified by baptism. She purified herself in a holy bath, and removed the garb of sin of the old man Adam."

Sviatoslav, her son, was a great warrior, most of his expeditions being against the Pechenegs, a people of Mongolian origin, inhabiting the basin of the Don. These barbarians afterwards disappear from the pages of history, but for a long time they were very formidable. They appear in Constantine Porphyrogenitus as Παρζυακίται. Sviatoslav afterwards went against the Bulgarians, a Ugro-Finnish tribe, dwelling on the banks of the Volga. It was a colony of these Bulgarians who marched into the Trans-Danubian territory (the ancient Mœsia), defeated the Slavonic inhabitants, and gave their name to the newly-conquered lands. We must remember that at this time the northern and eastern parts of Russia were inhabited by peoples of this family, and even yet they are far from being entirely assimilated, just as we have not succeeded after six hundred years' conquest in Anglicising the Welsh. On his return from this expedition the Pechenegs laid an ambush for him and cut off his head. His skull was made into a drinking-cup. Sviatoslav committed the fatal mistake of dividing his dominions between his sons, thereby weakening the country and paving the way for the supremacy of the Mongols, about which we are shortly to hear so much. Yaropolk had Kiev; Oleg, another son, the territory of the Drevlians, a Slavonic tribe dwelling south-west of the present city of Chernígov, and Vladimir, the third son, took Novgorod. Vladi-

mir began his career badly ; he led a very dissipated life, and killed his brother Yaropolk ; but Yaropolk had a little time before slain his brother Oleg, so that Vladimir became sole ruler. We shall pass over the petty struggles that are continually occurring in these early times, and follow only the main thread of the history. Vladimir was a vigorous sovereign : he added Galicia or Red Russia to his dominions—a province the subsequent fate of which has been curious—and subjugated some of the Lithuanian and Livonian tribes, the latter being a Finnish people dwelling on the Baltic. Finding it necessary (as the Chronicler tells us) to choose some religion better than his pagan creed, he sent ambassadors to investigate the peculiarities of the Catholic, Jewish, Mussulman, and Greek doctrines, and ended by choosing the latter. The whole story, as narrated in the Nestorian Chronicle, has the appearance of a saga and nothing more. Vladimir went to Constantinople in 988 to be baptized, and on his return caused his people to undergo the same rite *en masse* in true autocratic fashion. Perun, the Slavonic god of thunder, whose image had stood on a hill near Kiev, was thrown from his eminence ; a monument now stands upon the spot.

Of the gods of the old heathen Slavs we know but little, and what we do gather is chiefly from the pages of Nestor and the mediæval historians who treat of the Baltic Slavs—such as Adam of Bremen, Helmold, and Thietmar. The idols appear to have been made of wood, and the worship of them was very simple, nor do we hear of any elaborate temples. In the case of






Perun, the wooden image was dragged ignominiously through the streets and thrown into the Dnieper. This forcible conversion of a people is astonishing, but we shall find it occasionally occurring in Slavonic history, and indeed in that of other countries; thus Charles the Great forcibly converted the Saxons, and in the fourteenth century Jagiello Christianized the whole of the Lithuanians on a special day.

The great importance of Vladimir is that he is the first Christian sovereign of Russia, and the form of the religion of the country has been ever afterwards that of the Greek Church. In the course of her history, Russia has become emphatically the head of this Church, and her protection of the Oriental Christians has enabled them to resist Mussulman persecution. We must thoroughly realize this death struggle between Christianity and Islam if we wish to understand her position in the East. From it she has gained her great influence, for it has always been but too patent that the Christian powers of the West would calmly stand by and see the Eastern Christians persecuted into the faith of Islam.

In the past year, which was the nine-hundredth anniversary of the conversion of Vladimir, there were great rejoicings throughout Russia, and especially at Kiev, in its noble old cathedral of St. Sophia. The church in that city in which rest the bones of Vladimir is to be repaired. On his death the first Christian sovereign of Russia divided his dominions among his sons; he had no thought of national unity. Yaroslav took Novgorod, Iziaslav Polotsk, on the Dvina, Boris, Rostov, Gleb, Murom—

these two last being new cities which had been founded among the Eastern Finnish peoples—and Sviatoslav the Drevlians. Sviatoslav, however, the nephew of Vladimir, seized Kiev and murdered his cousins Boris and Gleb, but Yaroslav drove him from the city, and he ended his life in exile. As Vladimir is important from having been the first Christian sovereign of Russia, so Yaroslav is to be remembered as the first legislator. The code which he gave is called *Russkaya Pravda* (Russian Law), and was preserved in a MS. of the Chronicle of Novgorod. It has been repeatedly edited. One of the great features of this early code is that we see in it the Russians wholly unaffected by those Mongolian corruptions which afterwards vitiated them. Russia at that time was a purely European country, and on a level with the other European nations.

Among interesting points to be noticed in this code are that trial by wager of battle is the great way of settling disputes, and we also find trial by ordeal. The judges go on circuits. The wer-gild (Russ. *vira*) exists, and there is a fixed scale of prices for a life according to the rank of the persons. For the assassination of a boyar eighty *grivnas*, a *grivna* being about a pound's weight of silver; for that of a free Russian forty *grivnas*; and for every woman half that sum. For a blow with the fist, or the sheath or handle of a sword; for knocking out a tooth or pulling a man by the beard, the fine was twelve *grivnas*; for a blow with a club, three. *Kholopi* or slaves are mentioned in this code, but the whole subject of the condition of the peasantry of Russia will



LEATHER MONEY. USED IN EARLY RUSSIA IN PLACE OF COIN.
(Reproduced from Chandoir's "Monnaies Russes.")

be considered in another chapter. We shall also, in the course of our narrative, have something to say of the succeeding codes.

With Yaroslav we close the first and heroic period of Russian history. It has come down to us in sagas, not only in the picturesque pages of Nestor, who is a kind of Slavonic Herodotus, but in the *bilini* or legendary poems, about which we shall have much to say. The great hero of a whole cycle is Vladimir and his *druzhina* or retinue, the splendour of his Court, and his many battles with the enemy. The northern and eastern parts of what is at this time European Russia were settled by Finns, whom the Russians gradually subjugated. Moscow has not arisen ; the history of the country groups itself around Kiev and Novgorod.





III.

RUSSIA DIVIDED : THE PERIOD OF THE APPANAGES

(1054-1238.)

KARAMZIN, the national historian, whose picturesque history of Russia will always command its readers, in spite of the more critical works of Soloviev, Bestuzhev-Riumin, and Ustrialov, despairs of being able to command the attention of his readers during the discussion of this gloomy period. Warned by his example, we shall here only seize the salient points, and leave the petty squabbles of the princelings, who now ruled portions of Russia, the battles of the crows and kites, as Milton would have called them.

During this period the following principalities and cities are important :

- (a) The principality of Smolensk.
- (b) Chernígov and Putivl in the west.
- (c) The principality of Suzdal.
- (d) Kiev, which is still Russia in the strict sense of the term, and contains the nucleus of the nationality that was to be. Its importance, however, begins to wane after the year 1200.
- (e) The republics of Novgorod and Pskov and Viatka, the daughter city of the latter.

Of these principalities and cities, Smolensk is one of the most ancient cities of Russia, and its name is met with as early as the ninth century; when Russia was divided into appanages, it became, as we see, the capital of a principality. We shall find it frequently changing hands between the Russians and the Poles.

Chernigov is also a very ancient city. We find it mentioned in the treaty made by Oleg with the Greek Emperor Leo, the philosopher, in the year 907, to which allusion has already been made. In 1054 it became the capital of a principality. As may be guessed, from its position on the frontier, we find it continually changing hands between Russia and Poland, and it will frequently be mentioned in our pages. It was ravaged in 1238 by the Mongol Khan Batu, and at the end of the thirteenth century was united to the principality of Vladimir. Its subsequent fate will be described in the proper place. To this principality belongs the town of Putivl, which has now sunk into insignificance. It is, however, mentioned in the Russian prose-poem, "Slovo o polku Igorevê," the story of the expedition of Prince Igor; it is also prominent in the wars between the False Demetrius and Boris Godunov.

Suzdal is now an insignificant town, but at one time was the capital of the principality of that name.

The date of the foundation of Novgorod, as previously stated, is unknown.

Pskov, according to some the native city of Olga, was a dependency of Novgorod till 1137, when it became a separate principality, afterwards it was some-

times independent and sometimes free, till its final absorption into the grand duchy of Moscow.

Viatka was founded at the close of the twelfth century by emigrants, either from Pskov or Novgorod, for both are mentioned. In 1392 we shall find the Mongols attacking it and killing a great number of the inhabitants. Its subsequent fate will be narrated in the proper place.

I have given these notices of the Russian principalities to make this obscure part of the history clearer to the reader. Iziaslav, the son of Yaroslav, reigned twenty-four years. He died in 1078, leaving the principality of Kiev to his brother Vsevolod, but his son Sviatopolk succeeded on Vsevolod's death in 1093. He was followed by Vladimir Monomakh or Monomachus (1113-1125), who had a prosperous reign, and links England momentarily with Russia, having married Gytha, the daughter of Harold slain at Senlac. The English were destined to have no further communications with Russia till the reign of Edward VI., and there were to be no more inter-marriages till one of the sons of Victoria became the husband of the daughter of Alexander II. Vladimir has come down to our times as an author. We generally find bound up with the manuscripts of Nestor's Chronicle his *Poučhenie*, or Book of Instruction, in which he gives us a quaint picture of old Slavonic life, and tells us of his fightings, his pilgrimages, his care of churches, his hawks, and his hounds. The following is an extract:—"As to me, I accustomed myself to do everything that I might have ordered my servants to do. Night and day

winter and summer, I was perpetually moving about. I wished to see everything with my own eyes. Never did I abandon the poor or the widow to the oppression of the powerful. I made it my duty to inspect the churches, and the sacred ceremonies of religion, as well as the management of my property, my stables and the vultures and hawks with which I hunted. I have made eighty-three campaigns and many expeditions: I concluded nineteen treaties with the Polovtsi [a people of Tatar origin, cognate with the Pechenegs, who disappear in the early historical times of Russia]; I took captive one hundred of their princes, whom I set free again, and I put two hundred of them to death by throwing them into rivers. No one has ever travelled more rapidly than I have done. Setting out in the morning from Chernígov, I have arrived at Kiev before the hour of vespers. In my youth what falls from my horse did I not experience! wounding my feet, and my hands, and breaking my head against trees; but the Lord watched over me. In hunting, amidst the thickest forests, how many times have I myself caught wild horses and bound them together! How many times have I been thrown down by wild oxen, wounded by the antlers of stags, and trodden under the feet of elks! A furious wild boar rent my sword from my baldrick; my saddle was torn to pieces by a bear. This terrible beast rushed upon my courser, whom he threw down upon me. But the Lord protected me. O my children! fear neither death nor wild beasts. Trust in Providence; it far surpasses all human precautions."

For a short time, as we read, we have the genuine old Russia brought vividly before us, and we are thankful for the picture. It is by this work alone that Vladimir Monomakh stands out amid the shadowy sovereigns of these obscure annals. Soon after his time Kiev began to lose its importance, and to be eclipsed by Suzdal, in fact, after 1200 Kiev remained nothing but a dependency of Suzdal. This town, which is situated considerably to the north-east of Kiev, has now become an insignificant place, retaining little or nothing to remind us of its former importance. In 1169, in one of the petty struggles constantly going on, hoiy Kiev had the misfortune to be pillaged, and after its fall Russia had for some time no political centre.

Andrew Bogoliubski, son of the Prince of Suzdal, attacked Novgorod in 1170, but was beaten off, and left a great many prisoners in the hands of the people. Some of these were killed and some sold as slaves. In 1174 Andrew was assassinated by his own nobles. He is a man of considerable significance in early Russian history, and showed great skill in diplomacy. He set his face steadfastly against the absurd custom whereby each Grand Duke divided his dominions among his children, and thus he seems to have had a just idea of the necessity of consolidating the Russian States. One of his sons is said to have married Tamara, the celebrated Queen of Georgia. A series of petty wars followed the murder of Andrew, the narration of which could only prove interesting to the antiquarian. In 1215, the memorable year of our Magna Charta, we have the great battle of Lipetsk

(near Periaslavl Zaliesski), in which George, the nephew of Andrew, was defeated by the combined troops of Novgorod, Pskov, and Smolensk. In 1220 we hear of Nizhni, or Lower Novgorod, being founded. This city was destined to figure afterwards in one of the greatest crises of Russian history, and has earned a celebrity in our times from its world-renowned market, which was, however, only removed to Novgorod from the town of Makariev as late as in the year 1822.

In 1224 the Mongols first invaded the country. The large flat plains of Russia assisted the invader in his incursions; there were no mountain-fastnesses in which an heroic people could make their last stand; the cities were, for the most part, small and poorly fortified, and thus the country lay at the feet of these barbarians.





IV.

RUSSIA UNDER THE MONGOLS

(1238-1462.)

IT does not belong to the plan of this work to give a detailed account of the origin of the Mongols or Tatars. Their original home was in South-eastern Siberia. From thence they carried on their expeditions for plunder and destruction over the centre of Asia and the East of Europe. They first become prominent in the year 1206, when they conferred the title of Dchingis Khan (*i.e.*, Khan of Khans), on Temud Shin, the son of a Khan. It was under this chief, who has earned such a terrible fame in history, that they began to make themselves the terror of their neighbours. Towards the end of the thirteenth century their empire reached its widest limits, extending from the wall of China to the frontier of Poland, and from India to Siberia.

In 1224 the Russians suffered a complete defeat at the hands of these marauders, on the banks of the river Kalka, close to where it discharges itself into the Sea of Azov. But it was not till the year 1238 that the Mongols made any serious impression on

Russian territory ; in this year they destroyed Bolgari, the capital of the Finnish Bulgarians on the Volga, and after having defeated the army of Suzdal at Kolomna on the Oka, they burned Moscow, Suzdal, and Yaroslavl.

It will be observed that up to the present time we have made no mention of Moscow, but at the period about which we write it was a wholly insignificant place. It is said to have been founded by George Dolgoruki—or the long-handed—the son of Vladimir Monomakh. The tradition is that the territory on which it was built belonged to a certain boyar (for an explanation of this word see the subsequent chapter on the Social Condition of Russia) named Kutchko. Having behaved very arrogantly to the Grand Duke, his possessions were seized, and as the country seemed a pleasant one to George, he caused the place to be enclosed and the foundations of the city to be laid. The derivation of the name Moskva, so called from the river upon which it is situated, has never been satisfactorily explained. We should probably find it in some Ural-Altai language, for in the earliest times, as has been already stated, that part of Russia was inhabited by people of Finnish origin. Its importance does not begin till the time of Ivan Kalita, of whom more anon. The Grand Duke Yúri (or George)—at this time, as now, the Greek γ had the sound of y , and this explains the metamorphosis of $\gamma\epsilon\omega\rho\gamma\acute{o}\varsigma$ into Yuri—of Suzdal was defeated on the banks of the river Sit, in the territory of Novgorod ; he was decapitated after the battle, and his nephew Vasilko had his throat cut. The Mongols took Tver—a city which had been



GOLD MEDAL ATTRIBUTED TO PRINCE OF KHOLM.

founded at the beginning of the twelfth century, and advanced in the direction of Novgorod, but did not succeed in reaching that city; and throughout the whole of its career, which was a very prosperous one till the days of Ivan III., Novgorod never saw its territory ravaged. Kiev was soon afterwards taken, Galicia and Volhynia were devastated, and all Russia except Novgorod was under Mongol rule. On the Volga the city of Sarai was founded by them, and here their sovereigns reigned for two centuries, and their rule is attested by the many coins which have been preserved. In 1272 the Mongols embraced Islamism. During the fifteenth century the Golden Horde, as it was called, was broken up into a number of smaller khanates, among them being those of the Crimea, Kazan, and Astrakhan. Kazan and Astrakhan we shall soon find subjugated by Ivan IV., but the Crimea became a dependency of the Turks, and in consequence was for a long time a bone of contention between Russia and Turkey.

It would be impossible to interest our readers in the details of all the petty battles fought by the feudatory grand dukes during this period of slavery. The Russian princes were obliged to attend the Mongol Khans when called upon to do so, and had to follow their armies into the furthest recesses of Asia to do homage to their master, which was exacted in the most humiliating fashion. They were forced to lick up any drops which fell from the Mongolian's cup as he drank. During this gloomy period there was little or no national life; but a great many monasteries were built, and in them the monks were

cloistered, and busied themselves with the compilation of the long series of Chronicles which extends from the first attributed to Nestor, dating from the eleventh century to the days of Alexis Mikhailovich in the seventeenth. These compilations are of great value, and we shall frequently lay them under contribution. They will be more fully discussed in the chapter on Russian literature. The very learned notes added to the picturesque history of Karamzin, and the more sober-coloured production of Bestuzhev-Riumin now in course of publication, are replete with extracts from these useful works.

In 1240 Alexander, son of Yaroslav of Suzdal, who ruled at Novgorod the Great, defeated the Swedes on the Neva, then a Swedish, but afterwards destined to be a Russian river. It is this victory which gave him the name of Nevski, or of the Neva, and the reader will find the hero enrolled among the Russian saints. He was also triumphant, in 1242, over the German Sword-bearing knights, a religious order, who had established themselves in Livonia. The Novgorodians, lucky in their remote position, and enjoying great prosperity as being one of the chief highways of trade, were compelled ultimately to pay tribute to the Khan in 1260. Alexander, however successful he may have been against northern invaders, was forced to submit to his Oriental lords, and he died on his return from one of the journeys on which he had gone to offer his customary homage.

But humiliating as the yoke of the Mongols was to the subject Slavs, they cannot be said to have had great influence upon the country. They made no

attempt to turn the people into Tatars. They were content with the homage of the princes, with the poll-tax which they paid, and the military contingents which they were obliged to furnish when required. Besides these demands, the grand dukes had to obtain a *yarlik* (two interesting specimens of *yarliks* are given in the third volume of the "Transactions of the Eastern Section of the Russian Archæological Society"), or firman, before they could ascend the throne, and they could not wage any war without the permission of their suzerains. Many of the Russian princes contracted marriages with Mongol women, and some of their Murzas, or princes, became connected with the Russian boyars. Thus the Muscovite nobility became in part orientalized; and we shall find that no less a person than Boris Godunov, one of the most prominent nobles of the Court of Ivan IV., and afterwards himself elected Tsar, was of Mongolian origin. The dress of the Russians became more Eastern, as shown in the long flowing *caftan*, or robe, which Peter the Great took so much pains to abolish. But M. Rambaud, in his interesting history of Russia ("Histoire de la Russie," par A. Rambaud, Paris, 1878, p. 143), has very justly remarked that the Russians had already adopted much of their orientalism from Byzantine sources. We know from the pages of Gibbon how thoroughly barbaric in its splendours and servility the Court of Constantinople had become, and it is to this source that many of the Muscovite customs must be traced—such as the seclusion of the women, the part of the house in which they spent their days having a name borrowed from the Greek *terem* (τέρεμνον).

It would be difficult to say whether the humiliating custom of knocking the head on the ground (*chelobitie*) on entering the Tsar's presence was Mongolian or Byzantine; it was abolished by Peter the Great, and the very word, which signifies literally beating of the forehead, which had become fixed in the Russian language with the simple meaning of petition, was disallowed by Catherine II.

Persons unacquainted with the subject are apt to imagine that the Russian language has a great many Tatar words, but in reality nothing can be farther from the truth; they are confined to words signifying articles of clothing and a few other names of material objects. To the Mongols is probably owing the introduction of the *knout*—although the word itself is European, being nothing but a variant of our “knot,” perhaps introduced from Scandinavia. We shall find when we come to the reign of Ivan III. that this degrading form of punishment was then sanctioned. In the year 1488, the Chroniclers tell us, a nobleman and an archimandrite were publicly knouted for forging a will. The knout lasted till the reign of the Emperor Alexander I. A strange custom also introduced by the Tatars was the punishment of the *praviozh*, or public flagellation of defaulting debtors, which we shall describe at some length in the chapter on the Social Condition of Russia. The custom was abolished by Peter the Great.

At the commencement of the thirteenth century Mindog, a Lithuanian, erected his territories into a principality, and the importance of the country was at its height under one of his successors, Guedimin

(1315-1340), who contrived to get into his possession a great many of the Western Russian states and cities, including even the sacred and historic Kiev. In what year this city fell into his power we do not know, but conquests were easy while Russia was in such a prostrate condition. The capital of this Lithuanian state was Vilna, and it extended to the Black Sea. The official language of the country was White Russian, and it was in this tongue that its laws were promulgated. When in 1386 Jagiello, the Lithuanian prince, married Jadviga or Hedwig, the heiress of the Polish throne, these provinces became united to Poland, and Kiev did not return to Russian sovereignty till the days of Alexis.

The Lithuanian sovereigns, both while they were pagans—and the country remained in heathendom till almost the close of the fourteenth century—and after they had been baptized Catholics, respected the Orthodox Church and the internal government of their newly-acquired provinces. Guedŕmin allowed members of his family to intermarry with those of the grand dukes of Russia, and Greek churches were built at Vilna, his capital, and other places. In the northern part of his principality he was continually engaged in deadly struggles with the Teutonic knights. When Poland was united to Lithuania, Jagiello transferred his residence to Cracow, which was displeasing to his Lithuanian subjects, many of whom remained pagans in spite of the compulsory baptism which they had undergone. There was a simultaneous rising of the pagan subjects of the new Polish king and the Orthodox who dreaded the

influence of Catholicism. They put themselves under Vitovt (Pol. Witold) the grandson of Guedf-min, and made such a vigorous stand that Jagiello in 1392 created Lithuania into a sort of appanage of the crown of Poland under Vitovt, who died in 1430 at the age of eighty. He was a mighty warrior, but the record of his achievements belongs rather to the history of Poland than Russia. In conjunction with the Polish army he won the important battle of Tannenberg in 1410 against the Teutonic knights. The Grand Master of the Order and its chief dignitaries were slain in the fight, together with four thousand soldiers.

Thus German encroachments upon Slavonic countries were impeded for a time, and we cannot wonder that in her days of desolation, Poland has remembered with enthusiasm her victory over her implacable enemy. The battle forms the subject of one of the most striking pictures of the great Polish painter, Matejko. Vitovt had demanded from the Patriarch of Constantinople a metropolitan for Kiev, so that the Orthodox provinces should no longer depend upon Moscow. When this was refused he himself convoked a council of his Orthodox bishops—we can see that he was a man who always acted with a high hand—and a Bulgarian named Gregory Tsamblak was appointed. With the death of Vitovt the quasi-independence of Lithuania may be said to have ceased. It occupied an inferior position sometimes as an appanage, and sometimes in closer relations with Poland. From the year 1501, on the accession of the Polish king, Alexander, the union

became final. In 1568 took place the great diet at Lublin. Here the "home rule" of Lithuania definitely ended; there was to be one Parliament for both states, and Warsaw was chosen as the new capital in consequence of its convenient situation with regard to the confederated territories. In 1595 took place the great assembly at Brześć in Lithuania, at which the Union was forced upon the people. This was not brought about without considerable violence; the Jesuits were especially active in Lithuanian territories, one of the most indefatigable being Skarga, celebrated for his eloquence. In a short time most of the leading families had become Polonized, and had received the Roman Catholic religion. Of these two are especially noteworthy, Nicholas Radziwill, at whose expense the first Protestant Bible was printed in 1563, which has now become very scarce in consequence of the destruction of most of the copies by his son, who was converted to Romanism by Skarga and Prince Ostrozhski, at whose seat, Ostrog in Volhynia, the first Bible in the Old Slavonic language was printed in 1581. His son Janusz and granddaughter Anna not only deserted the faith of their fathers, but became persecutors of Orthodoxy.

The union between Lithuania and Poland was never cordial. The first code of laws for the country is that made in the time of Wladyslaw Jagiello in 1420; it is in White Russian. In 1529 we have the Lithuanian statute of Sigismund I., also in White Russian. No official documents have come down in the Lithuanian language.

Before concluding this account of the fate of the Western Russian provinces something must be said of the fate of Galicia or Halich. It was annexed in 1340 by Casimir the Great to Poland. Its last native prince was George, who in 1334 styled himself "Natus dux totius Russiæ minoris," but the expression *μικρὰ Ρωσσία* is found as early as 1292, in the Byzantine writer Codinus. George died in 1339, and his principality lay at the mercy of the invader. The



SEAL OF THE HANSEATIC LEAGUE AT NOVGOROD.

Poles therefore were not long before they seized it. It has never rejoined its sister Russian provinces, although the language of the bulk of the population is identical with that spoken in Podolia, Volhynia, and other southern governments of Russia.

In the latter part of this dreary period of Mongolian rule, we shall see Moscow coming to the front as the capital of the country. Up to the present time we have had two great historical cities, Nov-

gorod and Kiev, prominent. Then the supremacy seems to hover about Vladimir, the capital of the principality of Suzdal, founded in 1110 by Vladimir Monomakh, a place devoid of interest, and then it centres in Moscow, the origin of which has been already described. Moscow was unimportant till the days of Daniel, the son of Alexander Nevski; he added to its territory, and is the first of a long line of sovereigns buried there. Ivan Kalitá, or the Purse (he is said by some to have gained this appellation because he always carried a purse from which he distributed alms; others say because of his parsimonious habits), (1328-1340) added Tver, and gave dignity to his capital by causing the Metropolitan, the head of the Russian Church, to reside there. After Kalitá came his son Simeon Gordii, or the Proud (1340-1353), and Ivan II. (1353-1359), the former venturing to style himself the Prince of all the Russias, so that the idea of a national unity is being realized. The Black Death, which was passing over Europe at the time, carried him off. It is said to have destroyed more than a fourth of the population. It proved fatal, not only to the Grand Duke, but to his wife and all the members of his family. The Metropolitan Theognostes died, and also Archbishop Basil of Novgorod. Magicians were brought from all parts of the country to get rid of the plague by charms and incantations. Close to Russia was Lapland, that home of witches and witchcraft, and thither we shall find Ivan IV. betaking himself for assistance. We have one great deed to record before closing the driest chapter of our history. Ivan II. had a son Dmitri, who in 1380 won

a splendid victory over Mamai, the Mongolian Khan. This great battle was fought in a field to the south of Tula, called Kulikovo Pole, or the field of woodcocks. The fight has come down to us in vigorous descriptions from the pens of the monastic Chroniclers. It has been told in three important versions. The first bears the title of "Story of the Fight of the Prince Dmitri Ivanovich with Mamai." The second account teems with anachronisms; the third is wholly poetical. These pieces are contemporary, or nearly so, but the age was uncritical, and we might compare with it the treatment of Robert Bruce in Barbour's Chronicle, who becomes in it more or less of a mythical personage, is confused with his grandfather, the competitor for the Scottish crown, and performs feats of Titanic valour. These prose-poems, as they may well be called, are full of interest in spite of their turgid style, and are curious monuments of the language at that early stage.

This grand victory, however, only staved off the evil for a short time. Two years later (1382) came Toktamish, and committed great cruelties at Moscow, almost levelling the city with the ground. The lamentations of the Chroniclers have come down to us in all their simplicity. Passing over two comparatively insignificant princes, Basil (1389-1425), and another ruler of the same name, his son (1425-1462), we may be said to quit the period of the Mongolian tyranny, because although these barbarians still lingered on the Russian confines, we shall find their power broken. Henceforth they are not such a terror to Russia, although their inroads do not cease till late in the sixteenth

century, and they are found driving their miserable prisoners, roped together in long trains amid countless herds of sheep and cattle over the southern steppes. The great depôt for the sale of their slaves was Kaffa, now Theodosia in the Crimea.





V.

ESTABLISHMENT OF THE AUTOCRACY AND CONSOLIDATION OF THE EMPIRE TILL DEATH OF BORIS GODUNOV.

(1462-1605.)

BASIL or Vasilii was succeeded by his son Ivan III, who reigned forty-three years: he seemed fitly to belong to the period when throughout Europe powerful empires were rising upon the ruins of the feudal system. He was a man of great ambition and great intellect, but found himself at the beginning of his reign confined to a comparatively insignificant state. Besides some petty Russian territories, which were still independent, Ivan had on one side the great Lithuanian principality in a kind of federation with Poland, and on the south-east were the Mongolian Khans. In addition to these adversaries there were the Slavonic republics of Novgorod and Pskov, and the daughter city Viatka. Although these latter states were nominally governed by princes, their authority was but small, and they only maintained their power by conciliating one of the factions into which the towns were divided. We have already



IVAN III.

spoken of Novgorod as a city of the Hanseatic League, and of its great wealth. Pskov was also a member of the same famous confederation. The saying in the mouths of the people has been handed down to us—it is first mentioned by Albertus Krantzius, the chronicler of Sweden and Norway—"Who can resist God and Novgorod the Great?" At the present time this once famous city has dwindled down to a comparatively insignificant town: in its decayed appearance we are reminded of Bruges and some of the noble old commercial cities of Flanders. The great assembly at which the citizens were wont to meet was called *véché* (there is a verb in a Russian dialect, *véchat*, to cry out), and it appears to have been the scene of many a turbulent meeting. Perhaps, however, some of the Chroniclers had an object in depreciating these free assemblies. Ivan, who was more of a diplomatist than a soldier, began by sowing discord among the factions at Novgorod, and as early as 1470 had got the upper hand in the town. Some of the citizens—feeling perhaps that their little state was in an isolated condition—wanted to hand it over to the Poles, then under the vigorous rule of Casimir IV. We shall find these border cities constantly changing hands in the course of our narrative. In 1478 Ivan succeeded in crushing the liberties of the republic; its chief citizens were carried off to Moscow, and with them went the bell, whose mutinous tongue had so often summoned them to their assembly. Among the prisoners was Martha Boretskaya, the widow of the *Posadnik*, or Mayor, who had great influence in the place on account of her enormous

wealth : the bell of Novgorod is still to be seen in the arsenal of Moscow. But a further blow was to be dealt to the commercial prosperity of Novgorod by an impolitic and despotic act of Ivan. Having been offended by one of the Hanseatic cities, in his impotent rage he ordered all the foreign merchants at Novgorod to be put in chains and their property to be confiscated. From that day the fate of the city was sealed : the traders from the West fled the place, and the great Novgorod which once was able to muster a force of forty thousand men, and is said to have had a population of four hundred thousand, slowly sank to insignificance. It was to endure, however, further calamities in the terrible times of Ivan IV.

Ivan III. was very judicious in forming alliances of all kinds, and his marriage was an important one for his rising empire. Thomas Palæologus, brother to the last Byzantine Emperor, who had perished so nobly in the siege in 1453, was then living at Rome on an allowance afforded him by the Pope. He had a daughter named Zoe, to whom Ivan offered his hand. The proposal met with favour in the eyes of Sixtus IV., who hoped thereby to unite the Greek and Latin Churches. This favourite plan of the Pope's had been frustrated at the Council of 1438, and its results will be spoken of in a subsequent chapter. The negotiations for the marriage occupied some time but all was finally arranged. The bride travelled to her new country across Europe to Lübeck, thence by sea to Revel and Dorpat, and then entered Russian territory, the first important city at which

she arrived being Pskov ; her name was now changed to Sophia, according to the custom of the Greek Church. The Chroniclers are full of picturesque details of the gorgeous receptions she met with on her journey. In consequence of this marriage we shall find Ivan assuming the cognizance of the double-headed eagle and partially taking the title of Tsar, the complete assumption of it being the achievement of Ivan IV. This word is really nothing but Cæsar in a Slavonic form, whatever may have been said to the contrary. Sophia was an ambitious woman, and it was owing to her instigations that her husband resolved to shake off his allegiance to the Great Horde of the Mongols.

Ivan made alliances with Matthias Corvinus of Hungary and Maximilian the German Emperor. In this reign the first embassy came from the latter country to Russia ; Nicholas Peppel being sent as an envoy by Frederick III. An attempt, however, to bring about a marriage between a Russian princess and one of the German royal families was futile, because when Peppel expressed a desire to see the princess, the Tsar answered that it was not customary in Russia to allow women to be seen by their husbands till the day of marriage. We shall have something to say about the Russian marriage ceremonies in a subsequent chapter. This Oriental seclusion of women was broken through by Peter the Great.

In 1490, George von Thurn was sent by Maximilian to Moscow, and courteously received. His object was to negotiate a marriage between his

master and a Russian princess. In case of his suit being admitted, Maximilian promised to allow his bride to maintain a Greek church at Vienna. This privilege the Russians appear to have universally insisted upon. He was not, however, permitted to see the princess, and the negotiations were so protracted that Maximilian, whose wife, Mary of Burgundy, had died some time before, became a suitor for the hand of Anne of Brittany. We afterwards find the Emperor sending to Russia for some white falcons, which were forwarded to him at Vienna under the care of a Muscovite, Michael Yeropkin.

Meanwhile Ivan had been steadily increasing his territory. In 1489, Viatka, was annexed; Pskov was to follow in the next reign. In 1464 Ivan gave the hand of his sister to the Prince of Riazan, by which means he brought that territory into his family. He also seized Tver on the ground that the Grand Duke Michael had allied himself with the Lithuanians. We thus see the Russian appanages gradually united and the country consolidated. In 1478, when Ahmed the Khan of the Great Horde sent an embassy requiring an act of homage from the Tsar, it was received with a refusal accompanied with insults. Two years later the Khan endeavoured to terrorize Russia by another invasion; the armies met on the river Oka, but the Mongols retreated in a panic; and this must be considered their last great irruption, although for some little time afterwards they were troublesome. Ivan now extended his territory northwards to the Pechora, and westward to the Desna. He was victorious over Alexander the

Polish king, but suffered a defeat in 1501 at the hands of Hermann von Plettenberg, the Grand Master of the Teutonic Knights. This Order had been established in Lithuania as early as 1225; its object was to convert the heathen Lithuanians by the violent means then frequently practised for that purpose. For centuries we find them fighting, committing great cruelties upon their enemies, and frequently experiencing savage reprisals. Thus we learn from the old Polish chronicler, Strykowski, that after a victory gained by the Lithuanians and Samogitians over the knights in 1315, Gerard Ruddy, the *starosta* of the province of Sambia was burned alive on horseback clad in armour. The Sword-bearers were amalgamated with the Teutonic Knights in 1237.

In 1497 Ivan issued his *Sudebnik*, or Code of Laws, the second in the course of Russian jurisprudence, the first being the *Russkaya Pravda*, already mentioned. We see by it that a great change for the worse had come over the Russian character since the days of Yaroslav. The manners of the time will be illustrated by the two following stories taken from the old chroniclers. In 1490, Ivan, the Tsar's eldest son, fell ill of gout in his feet, called in Russian *Kamchuga*. One of the Palæologi had brought into the country a Jewish physician, who was called Master Leo, the Jews being, as is well known, the great practisers of physic in those times. The unhappy man was induced to undertake the cure of the young prince and to stake his head upon its certainty. The treatment, however, was unsuccessful; the prince, after


acute suffering, expired at the age of thirty-two. According to the account given of the therapeutics adopted by the Jew, cauterization played an important part. Master Leo, however, in six weeks, was publicly executed "on the Bolvano, the other side of the river Moskva."

The same fate befel, in 1485, another surgeon, a German, named Anthony, whose surname, if he had one, is not given. This unfortunate man had not been successful in treating a Tatar prince at the Court; he was accordingly given up to the angry relatives. To quote the exact words of the Chronicle: "A German physician, Anthony, came to the Grand Duke; the Grand Duke treated him with much honour. He practised upon Prince Karakach, the son of Daniel, but with such results that he killed him by giving him a deadly mess of herbs. Whereupon the Grand Duke gave him up to the son of Karakach. He put him to the torture and wished to let him go, on his paying a ransom. This the Grand Duke would not permit; . . . accordingly they took him to the river Moskva, under the bridge in winter, and cut him to pieces with a knife, like a sheep."

In this reign Russia made distinct progress in the arts and literature owing to her being brought more into contact with the other European nations. Many learned Greeks came in the suite of the Byzantine princess and brought manuscripts with them. A great part of the Kremlin was built and a foundery for cannon was established at Moscow. It was in the reign of Ivan's father that money was first coined, as we learn from the Chronicle of Pskov.

Italian architects made their appearance in the country, especially Aristotle Fioraventi, who erected many of the most important buildings of Moscow. We get the first plan of the city from the work of Herberstein, who visited Russia in the next reign as will shortly be described.

Ivan died in 1505; his eldest son of the same name had predeceased him, as we have already mentioned. He bequeathed the throne to his second son, Basil, passing over his grandson, the child of the deceased Ivan. He undoubtedly dreaded the evils of a minority,



COPEKS ATTRIBUTED TO IVAN III.

and he showed his usual wisdom in thus acting. Vasilii, or Basil (1505-1533), followed in his father's footsteps. As Russia is at this period being brought every year into closer contact with the European system, we feel that we come into more personal contact with the Tsars, who up to this time have been as Deioces within his series of many-coloured palisades. Of Basil we have what is probably an authentic portrait prefixed to the travels of Sigismund Herberstein. The work was very popular, and went through a great many editions,

the first being of the year 1549. The Tsar is represented as a hook-nosed man wearing a beard ; he has a high cap and a caftan trimmed with fur. Over his head is the following inscription :—

“RUSSORUM REX ET DOMINUS SUM, JURE PATERNI
SANGUINIS : IMPERII TITULOS À NEMINE, QUAVIS
MERCATUS PRECE, UEL PRECIO : NEC LEGIBUS ULLIS
SUBDITUS ALTERIUS, SED CHRISTO CREDULUS UNI,
EMENDICATOS ALIIS ASPERNOR HONORES.”

In 1510 the independence of Pskov, one of the old Slavonic republics, was destroyed. Pskov has already been mentioned as the native place of Olga, although some consider that to have been Pleskov in Bulgaria ; it is generally found in close alliance with Novgorod. From the thirteenth century it was a member of the Hanseatic League, and carried on an extensive trade with the countries situated on the Baltic by means of Riga and Revel. At the present time there are no traces of its former wealth and power ; it is a decayed provincial town, and among the Russians “Pskovski muzhik,” or peasant of Pskov, is a synonymous term for blockhead. The annexation of Viatka by Ivan III. has already been mentioned. It was founded about the end of the twelfth century by emigrants from Novgorod, and was at first called Khlinov. In 1392 it suffered greatly from an invasion of the Mongols.

The loss of their liberty is thus poetically lamented by one of their citizens in the Chronicle of Pskov, reminding us of the Spanish lines on the conquest of Alhama which Byron has translated in so spirited a

way. "Then disappeared the glory of Pskov and our city was taken, not by those of another faith, but by those of our own faith. 'Who would not weep and groan for this disaster, O glorious and great city of Pskov, for what dost thou lament and weep?' And the beautiful city of Pskov answered: 'How can I help weeping and grieving over the desolation which has befallen me? A many-winged eagle has flown to me with lion's claws, and has taken from me all my beauty and wealth and carried off my children.'"

Soon after this the Prince of Riazan was accused of having made a secret alliance with the Khan of the Crimea, in consequence of which he fled to Lithuania; his territory was confiscated and annexed for ever to the Muscovite dominions. The principality had been founded in the beginning of the thirteenth century. By a war with Sigismund I., Basil got back Smolensk. But he was not equally successful in his dealings with his Mongolian adversaries, who again invaded the country. We have already alluded to Baron Sigismund Herberstein who was twice sent by the German Emperor to the Court of Basil. He has left an interesting record of his visit. It is the first circumstantial account of Russia and its institutions, and may be said to have introduced this strange people to the outer world. The picture of the Muscovite Court is a very brilliant one. Herberstein was not only allowed to be a guest at one of the imperial banquets, but was also invited to accompany the Tsar on one of his hunting expeditions. According to Herberstein Moscow was surrounded by forests, containing numerous herds of deer and other game, which were

all preserved for the Tsar and his Court ; and during the extreme severity of the winter the bears and wolves were occasionally known to leave the woods, stimulated by hunger, and rush through the streets and into the houses ; while in the summer of 1525 the heat was so intense that the woods and corn-fields around the city took fire and burned for several days, filling the whole district with clouds of smoke and flame. Of the city of Moscow Herberstein tells us that it was principally built of wood, though there were a few stone houses, churches, and monasteries ; and most of the streets, which were very broad and spacious, and continued by many bridges over the rivers, were guarded at early nightfall by watchmen, who, after a certain hour, permitted none to pass. There were several mills on the Yausa for the use of the city, and at one end a row of numerous refiners and blacksmiths' shops and furnaces ; for the mechanics and other artizans had separate quarters for their trades, and every quarter of Moscow was provided with its own church. The houses were generally large and lofty, and had spacious gardens round them. The splendour of the Tsar's Court appears to have struck the Ambassador very much. Vasilii died prematurely, and, having married a few years before his decease, left two sons, Ivan and Yuri, under the guardianship of his second wife, Helen Glinska. She survived, however, her husband's death only five years, dying in 1538, as is supposed, by poison. She was of Lithuanian origin : her family having been proscribed and driven out of the country by the Polish king, Alexander. Ivan soon gave



THE KREMLIN.

proofs of a vigorous understanding, but his brother appears to have been half-witted. In 1543 Ivan, then in his thirteenth year, threw off the tutelage of the boyars, who had controlled him since his mother's death ; but he was too much a child for independent action in such a country, and we find that soon afterwards he was more or less controlled by his maternal relatives. In January, 1547, he was crowned by the Metropolitan Makarius and in a short time celebrated his marriage with Anastasia Romanova, a Russian, for at this time the Tsars rarely entered into marriages with foreign princesses. This period was the happiest in the life of this strange man, so wanton in his cruelties, who was in all probability more or less insane. He allowed himself to be guided by his wife, an amiable woman, by his minister Alexis Adashev, and the priest Sylvester. In 1550 he issued a *Sudebnik*, or Book of Laws, which was a recension of that of his grandfather, previously mentioned. In 1551 the affairs of the church were regulated by the publication of the *Stoglav*, or "Book of the Hundred Chapters." In this curious work we get a faithful picture of the manners of the times, the position of the clergy, and the prevalent superstitions against which they were supposed to do battle. Church matters were put in good order, some evil practices which had crept in were removed, superstitious observances were repressed, and a higher standard required for the moral character of the clergy. One of the most important enactments of the Ecclesiastical Commission, the fruit of which was the "Book of the Hundred Chapters," was the establishment of what may

be called national schools throughout the country. The children were to be taught reading and writing and choral singing and how to read the Scriptures in church. This was a step in the right direction, but the Russian clergy long remained very ignorant. In the following year Ivan took from the Mongols the city of Kazan and two years later Astrakhan. The original name of Astrakhan appears to have been



EARLY RUSSIAN COPEKS.

Adja-Tarkhan or Astorokan. Its real importance dates from the time of Peter the Great. Even to the present day these towns contain a large Oriental element. Ivan had now extended the Russian frontiers further to the south and began to look northwards. He thus came into contact with the Swedes and Teutonic Knights, from whose petty dominions was subsequently to be formed the strong monarchy of Prussia, the nucleus of

the new German Empire. Ivan clearly saw the importance of introducing Western arts and industries into his dominions. He had a body of German mercenaries in his pay and endeavoured to procure the assistance of German artizans. To bring these into the country he despatched a man of the same nation named Schlitt, but the jealousy of the powers on the frontier of Russia prevented the various craftsmen whom he had collected from entering the country. In 1560 Anastasia died and the character of Ivan underwent a marked deterioration; he banished Adashev and Sylvester, and gave himself up to unbridled despotism and cruelties. One of his most valued generals, Prince Andrew Kurbski, deserted him at this time. Having lost a battle in the Polish campaign he was afraid to return to his infuriated master, and betook himself to the protection of Sigismund Augustus, the Polish king. This Kurbski was also an author, and has left an interesting work on his own times, in which he dwells at considerable length upon the cruelties of Ivan. He was born about 1528, and was present at the taking of Kazan. From his retreat Kurbski sent a letter to Ivan, telling him some very disagreeable truths, and such as autocratic monarchs, even in our own times, are not accustomed to hear. Especially he reproached Ivan with the innocent blood which he had shed. Ivan's answer has been preserved. He replies that he considers the lives of his slaves his own property, and that he may put them to death whenever he pleases. Besides this composition, there is a letter which Ivan wrote to the monks of the monastery of Biéloe Ozero (White Lake), in

which he charges them with leading luxurious lives and neglecting their spiritual functions. Like Henry VIII., whom he resembled in so many particulars, Ivan was an author and fond of entering into religious controversies. In the year 1570 Sigismund Augustus of Poland sent an embassy to Ivan, and among its members was a Moravian brother named John Rokita. Lasicki, in his "De Russorum Moscovitarum et Tartarorum Religione," &c. (Spires, 1582), gives us the substance of a religious dialogue between Ivan and Rokita, which is very curious. But Ivan was neither to be converted by the Jesuit Possevino, whom the Pope sent to him, nor Rokita. The Russian Tsar put a veto on the preaching of the Protestant, and on his departure caused to be handed to him a "Defence of the Russian Church," which Lasicki has published in a Latin translation. It is called "Responsio Johannis Basilii Magni Ducis Moscorum ad hanc Rahita (*sic*) confessionem fidei data ipsi in urbe Moscowa, Anno, 1570. Kalend. Majj." The manuscript was bound in plates of solid gold and richly ornamented with pearls. In 1564 Ivan quitted Moscow and retired with a few of his associates to Alexandrovskoe, a village close by. This place he had carefully fortified, and he lived in it as Louis XI. did at Plessis-les-Tours. We shall see in how many points he resembled the French monarch in his cruelties, treachery, and superstition. He also consolidated Russia by annexing the fiefs and by his foreign conquests, very much in the same way as Louis XI. contributed to the progress of France. The servile boyars were afraid that he was about to

quit them for ever, and went forth in crowds entreating him to return. He finally consented to do this, and when he came back established his corps of *opríchniks*, or select men as they were called, a sort of prætorian guard, on whom he relied to carry out his cruelties. As types of their office they are said to have borne a dog's head and a broom suspended from their saddle-bow—the former to signify that they worried the enemies of the Tsar, the latter to indicate that they swept them off the face of the earth.

It would be useless to recapitulate here the disgusting cruelties of this tyrant. His superstition was also great : during his stay at Alexandrovskoe he spent the greater part of his time in church, and imposed upon himself and his unwilling attendants the greatest asceticism ; but he never restrained himself when blood was to be shed. He used to ring the bell for matins, and would arouse his attendants at all times of the night for prayer. The Tsar prided himself upon his orthodoxy, and is said to have been able to repeat whole chapters of the Bible.

It is curious that Ivan's own copy of the valuable Bible printed at Ostrog in Volhynia in 1581 is preserved in the British Museum. It was brought from Russia by Sir Jerome Horsey. On the fly-leaf the latter has written : "This Bibell in the Slavonian tongue had owt of the Emperor's librari." We shall find the diary of Sir Jerome Horsey, the English ambassador, of the highest value, as containing the testimony of an eye-witness. It is preserved in the British Museum, and has been edited for the Hakluyt Society.

The following strange story is told by Horsey in his diary, which illustrates very well the cruelty and superstition of Ivan :—

“He comes to the Narve, robbes and spoiels the town of all their riches, wealth and merchandizes, kyls and murthers men, weomen and children, and gives the spoill to his Tartor army. Thence to Plæscovia or Vobsco [Pskov], where he intended to do the like, because he was incenced, and easily made belive those two towns and Novogorode had conspired his death, and practiced with his enymies the overthrowe of his army, and by their treacherous means and intilligence he was beaten from the sieg of Reavell, and susteyned that loss of men and municion ; but that ther mett him an impostur or magician, which they held to be their oracle or holly man, named Mickula Sweat, [Saint Nicholas], whoe by his bold imprecacions and exsorsims, railings and threats, terminge him the Emperour bloudsuccer, the devourer and eater of Christian flesh, and swore by his angell that he should not escape deathe of a present thounder boltt, if he or any of his army did touch a hear in displeasur of the least child’s head in that cittie, which God, by his good angell, did preserve for better purpose then for his rapine ; therfore to gett him thence before the fierie cloud, Gods wrath, wear raised, hanginge over his head as he might behold, being in a verie great and dark storm at that instant. These wordes made the Emperour to trembell, so as he desired prayers for his deliverance and forgeavnes of his thoughts.”

It was in this way that the fanatic wrought upon

Ivan and caused the city to be spared, but Novgorod was not so fortunate. We have very accurate accounts of his cruelties there. He put to death Alexandra, the widow of his brother Yuri, who had died some time before, and we may well spare a narration of the tortures which he inflicted on some of the chief nobility of his kingdom in the Red Square (Krasnaia Plostchad) at Moscow. One of the chief assistants of Ivan in his cruelties was Maliuta Skuratov, who has come down to us graphically portrayed in the ballads or *bilinî* of the period, about which we shall speak more at length in a subsequent chapter.

We have somewhat advanced chronologically in order to complete our picture of the character of Ivan: we must now go back to narrate an event of considerable importance to our own country: the first intercourse between the English and Russians.

In 1553 three ships left England in search of a north-west passage to China and India under Richard Chancellor and Sir Hugh Willoughby. The latter and the crews of two of the ships were frozen to death, but Chancellor arrived safely in the White Sea, and made his way to Moscow. Ivan received Chancellor with a hearty welcome. He had long been eager to open up communications with the West, but the passage of artificers and such wares as he required, was impeded by his bitter enemy the king of Poland. He now saw a new way of obtaining what he so much coveted. Accordingly he readily granted permission to "Richard and the guests arrived from the English land with wares brought in their ships from beyond

the seas, to come and go in safety in his Russian dominions and to buy and build houses without let or hindrance." A Russian ambassador, named Osep Napea, returned with Chancellor after his second journey to Russia in 1556. But the voyage was disastrous. Two of the ships, the *Bona Speranza* and *Bona Confidentia* were never heard of again; the *Edward Bonaventura*, after being four months at sea, at length arrived off the coast of Scotland, but was wrecked in November in Pitsligo Bay, with the loss of many of the crew, seven Russians and the gallant Chancellor himself, Osep Napea being one of the few survivors.

In spite however of all these calamities, the Russian ambassador and such of his suite as remained, made a splendid entrance into London. Let us take the account in the quaint language of the London tradesman, Henry Machyn :—

"The twenty-seventh day of February [1556] came toward London out of Scotland a duke of Muscovia, as ambassador and divers of the merchants of England, as well as others of all nations, and so they met him beyond Shoreditch in coats of velvet and coats of fine cloth guarded with velvet and with fringe of silk and chains of gold, and after comes my lord Montacute and divers lords and knights, and gentlemen in gorgeous apparel."

And in other parts of his diary he describes the magnificence of the ambassador. We may well imagine what a weary time of it the unfortunate Napea had on board. He had probably never seen the sea in his life till he set foot on board the ship.

How barbarous must he have seemed to his English companions on board, to judge from the accounts which later generations gave of Russian ambassadors. It is a pity that no details of all this have come down to us. Another emissary from the Russian Tsar, who came in the reign of Elizabeth, named Mikulin, had his portrait painted while in England, and it has fortunately been preserved.

In 1557 an English merchant, Anthony Jenkinson, went to Russia and has left us impressions of his stay in the country, which have been embalmed in the pages of Hakluyt. He had the honour of being invited to dine with Ivan: "The Emperour dined in a faire Hall (the *granitovaya palata*), in the middest whereof was a pillar four square, very artificially made, about which were diuers tables set, and at the vppermost part of the Hall sate his brother, his Vncles sonne, the Metropolitaine, the young Emperour of Casan, and diuers of his noble men, all of one side. There were diuers Ambassadors and other strangers, as wel Christians as Heathens, diuersely apparalled to the number of 600 men, which dined in the said hall, besides 2000 Tartars, men of war, which were newly come to render themselves to the Emperour and were appointed to serue him in his warres against the Lyfflanders, but they dined in other hals. I was set at a little table hauing no stranger with me, directly before the Emperour's face. Being thus set and placed, the Emperour sent me diuers bowles of wine and meade and many dishes of meat from his own hand, which were brought me by a Duke and my table seemed all in golde and siluer, and so likewise on

other tables there were set boles of gold, set with stone worth by estimation 400 pounds sterling, one cup, beside the plate which serued the tables. There was also a cupboard of plate, most sumptuous and rich, which was not vsed, among the which was a peece of golde of two yardes long, wrought in the toppe with Towers and Dragon's heades, also diuers barrels of golde and silver, with castles on the bungs, richly and artificially made. The Emperour and all the Hall throughout was serued with Dukes, and when dinner was ended the Emperour called mee by name and gaue mee drinke with his own hande, and so I departed to my lodging."

Jenkinson gives a highly interesting account of the city of Moscow and of the habits of the people. These and other stories about Russia must have been extensively read in England at the time, for we can see from "Hamlet" how soon caviare had become known. Thomas Heywood, also, in his "Rape of Lucrece" (1608), act iii. scene 5, gives us some characteristics of the people:—

"The Russ with sables furs his cap,
And change will not be drawn to."

So also a few lines further on:—

"The Russ drinks quass."

The English soon began an active trade with the Russians, of which they long enjoyed the monopoly; the only persons who at the first interfered with them in this respect were the Dutch. We find throughout

Elizabeth's reign complaints made by her to Ivan, and she insists on the Netherlanders being prohibited from trafficking in the ports of the White Sea.

After he left Moscow, on the 23rd of April, 1558, Jenkinson went to Astrakhan. He has left a most interesting account of his journey down the Volga. From thence he proceeded to Persia, and returned to Moscow in 1563.

But Ivan was not merely contented with allowing the English to trade with him ; he wished to bring about a close alliance between the two Powers. He drew up a treaty by which he and Elizabeth should support one another against their common foes, that they should help one another by troops and money, and in case of danger the one should find refuge in the kingdom of the other. We see how greatly the idea had seized him in the midst of his cruelties that his subjects would expel him from the empire. A Russian ambassador named Sovin was sent to England to negotiate the treaty, and Elizabeth named some of the lords of her Privy Council to confer with him.

The conferences lasted nearly a year (from July, 1569, to May, 1570), but they led to no result. When Sovin brought back the evasive answer of the Queen—in a secret letter she promised him shelter in England in case he should be driven out—Ivan was furious. In the epistle which he sends in return he says :—

“ We had thought that you were sovereign in your own country, and ruled with sovereign power, caring for the honour and profit of your country, therefore

we wished to treat with you as a with sovereign. But we perceive that other men, without you, rule your country, and not men, but boors and merchants, the which seek not the wealth and honour of our majesties, but they seek their own profits of merchandize."

Ivan now confiscated the goods of the English merchants, but his anger appears to have been soothed afterwards, and matters were placed upon their former footing.

Queen Elizabeth was always anxious to be on good terms with Russia. Thus when Giles Fletcher (uncle of the dramatist), who had been Ambassador to Russia, published, on his return, an account of the country, entitled, "The Russe Common Wealth" (1591), the Queen ordered the edition to be suppressed, on account of the severe remarks made by the author on the character of the Russians, in consequence of which this edition of the book has become very scarce. Ivan also looked to his good friend the Queen in case any troubles should befall him from his rebellious subjects.

In his foreign wars Ivan was frequently unsuccessful ; he was wanting in personal courage. Although he committed great cruelties in the Baltic provinces, he really lost ground there, as he had opposed to him for some time the vigorous Transylvanian soldier, Stephen Bathory. In 1563, however, the town of Polotsk, on the Dvina, then belonging to Poland, was invested with an army of 300,000 men ; it was finally taken, and 80,000 prisoners were carried off, together with immense treasure. In 1571, the Mongols made

another raid upon the country, and Moscow was burnt. Of this we have a very quaint account, which has been handed down in the invaluable Hakluyt:—

“The Mosco is burnt euery sticke by the Crimme the 24. day of May last, and an innumerable number of people: and in the English house was smothered Thomas Southam, Tosild, Wauerley, Greenes wife and children, two children of Rafe and more to the number of 25. persons were stifeled in oure Beere seller: and yet in the same seller was Rafe, his wife, John Browne and John Clarke preserued, which was wonderfull. And there went into that seller master Glouer and master Rowley also: but because the heate was so great they came forth againe with much perill, so that a boy at their heeles was taken with the fire, yet they escaped blindfold into another seller, and there as Gods will was, they were preserued.”

Beside this may be placed the account of Giles Fletcher, which is graphic:—“In the year 1571 he [the Tartar] came as farre as the citie of Mosko, with an armie of 200,000 men without any battaile or resistance at all for that the Russe Emperour (then Iuan Vasilowich) leading foorth his armie to encounter with him, marched a wrong way: but as it was thought of very purpose, as not daring to aduventure the felde, by reason that he doubted his nobilitie, and chiefe Captaines, of a meaning to betray him to the Tartar. The citie he tooke not but fired the suburbs. Then might you haue seene a lamentable spectacle.”

But the account in Horsey's diary is the most vigorous:—

“But the enime approaching the great cittie Musco, the Russ Emperor flies, with his two sonns, treasur, howshold, servants and personell guard of 20 thousand gonnors, towards a stronge monasterie, Troietts (Troitsa) 60 miells of, upon Assencion daye. The enyme fiers St. Johns church high steple: at which instant happened a wounderfull stormye wynd, through which all the churches, howses and palaces, within the cittie and suberbs 30 miells compas, built most of firr and oak tymber, was sett one fier and burnt within six howers space, with infinit thousands men, weomen, and children, burnt and smothered to death by the fierie eyre, and likewise in the stone churches, monestaries, vaults and sellors verie fewe escapinge both without and within the three walled castells. The rever and ditches about Musco stopped, and filled with the multitudes of people, loaden with gold, silver, jewells, chains, earrings, brasletts and treasur that went for succer eaven to save their heads above water. Notwithstandinge, so many thowsands wear ther burnt and drowned, as the river could not be ridd nor clensed of the dead carcasses, with all the means and industrye could be used in twelve monneths after; but those alive, and many from other towns and places, every daie wear occupied within a great circuat to search, dregg and fish, as it wear, for rings, jewells, plate, baggs of gold and silver, by which many wear inriched ever after. The streets of the cittie, churches, sellors and vaults, laye so thicke and full of dead and smothered carcasses, as noe man could pass for the noisom smells and putrefec-

tion of the ear [air] longe after. The Emperowr of the Crimes and his armye beheld this goodly fier, lodged and solaced himself in a fare monnesterie by the river sied, fower miells of the cittie, called Symon monesterie ; took the wæłth and riches they had, and of all such as fledd from the fier."

Such is the account given of this invasion by Horsey. He also adds many proofs of the Emperor's cowardice, for Ivan managed the affairs of his country rather by astuteness than any physical prowess. On the death of his seventh wife, Ivan was anxious to procure an eighth from the Court of his friend Elizabeth of England. A daughter of the Earl of Huntingdon was selected, and Feodore Pisemski, the ambassador, sent a favourable description of her to his imperial master. But when the time came that she should set out on her journey, the young lady was so terrified from the accounts she had heard of her future husband, and the number of his previous wives, that she refused to go. A few words may here be added on the Tsar's conjugal experiences. His first wife, Anastasia, has already been mentioned ; by her he had three sons and three daughters, but of these only one survived him, Feodor, who succeeded him on the throne. In 1561 he married Mary, daughter of a Circassian prince named Temgruk ; but this wife died in 1569, and left no issue. In 1571 he wedded Marfa Sabakina, daughter of a merchant of Novgorod, but she was carried off by consumption the same year, and Ivan took advantage of this catastrophe to put a great many persons to death on the ground that his young wife

had been bewitched. In 1572 he married Anna Koltovskaia, but repudiated her in 1577, and compelled her to retire into a monastery. Degraded as was the condition of Russia at the time, the sovereign was not able, like our Henry VIII., to send his wife to a public scaffold on trumped-up charges. His fifth wife was Anna Vasilichkova, who did not long survive her marriage; and was followed by Vasilissa Melentieva a widow, and she by Mary, daughter of Feodore Nagoi, mother of the young Dmitri, who died mysteriously at Uglich.

In a fit of ungovernable rage Ivan struck his eldest son with his iron staff and killed him. There was now no one to carry on the dynasty but a young child. He was haunted by remorse for this crime till his death, and expired soon after, in the year 1584. His last days have been very quaintly described by Horsey, who saw him frequently. The Tsar was already ailing:—

“The kinge [*sic*] in furie, much distrected and douptinge caused many witches magicians presently to be sent for owt of the North, wher ther is store, betwen Collonogorod [Cholmogori] and [Lappia Lapland]. Threscore wear brought post to the Musquo, placed and garded and dailie dieted and daily vissited and atended one by the Emperor’s favorett, Bodan Belskoye [Bogdan Belski], who was only trusted by the Emperor to receave and bringe from them their divelinacions or oracles upon the subjects that was geaven them in charge This favoret was now revolted in fathe to the kinge, wholly sekinge now and servinge the turns of the sonne-risinge, wearied

and tired with the divelsh tiranicall præctices horrible influencis and wicked devices, of this Helligabelous.”

As if to increase the superstitious horror of the occasion, Horsey adds in a footnote:—“The great blazing star and other prodigious sights seen everie night 7 wekes together over this cittie Mosquo, in anno 85, the yeare King Sebastian and two kings Fess and Moroca, of Portugall and Barbaro, and this great Emperor died.”

A little further on Horsey describes how the Tsar caused himself to be carried into his treasury, and there explained his precious stones and jewels to the courtiers gathered round him, among whom was the ambassador. He then describes the closing scene:—

“Brought forth, setts him downe upon his bead; calls Rodovone Bærken [*sic*] a gentilman whome he favored, to bringe the chess board. He setts his men [Horsey, always on the look-out for portents, here adds in a note:—“All savinge the kinge, which by no means he could not make stand in his place with the rest upon the plain board”]: his chieff favorett and Boris Fedorowich Goddonove [Godunov] and others about him. The Emperor in his lose gown, shirtt and lynnenn hose, faints and falls backward. Great owtcrie and sturr; one sent for Aqua vita, another to the oppatheke [apothecary’s shop, *apteka*] for marigold and rose water and to call his gostlie father and the phizicians. In the mean he was strangled and stark dead.”

Such was the end of this strange, cruel man who has left such a track of blood upon the pages of Russian history. Before attempting to estimate the

importance of his reign, we must add the graphic passages from Horsey, in which he criticizes the Tsar, whom he has so often seen :—

“Thus much to conclude with this Emperor Ivan Vasiliwich. He was a goodlie man of person and presence, wæll favored, high forehead, shrill voice ; a right Sithian ; full of readie wisdom, cruell, bloudye, merciles : his own experience mannaged by direction both his state and comonwæth affares. Was sumptuously intomed in Michall Archangell church, where he, though garded daye and night, remains a fearful spectacle to the memorie of such as pass by or heer his name spoken of, [who] are contented to cross and bless themselves from his resur-rection againe.”

Probably few persons have visited Moscow without seeing his tomb.

Karamzin and other historians have attempted to put the character of Ivan in a fairer light, as Mr. Froude has also done in the case of Henry VIII. ; but the majority will agree with the historian Kostomarov, who died a short time ago, and regard him as a kind of Russian Nero. But in spite of his cruelties his reign was in many respects beneficial to Russia.

(1) He put the empire in a better state of defence by building many strong fortresses, as Horsey tells us.

(2) He favoured trade with the English, and welcomed foreigners to his dominions ; from the period of his reign they began to swarm in Russia. Many Scotch soldiers and English physicians came into the country. Among foreigners practising medicine was a certain Dr. Bomelius, who, having been found



CHURCH OF THE ASSUMPTION AT MOSCOW.

by Ivan intriguing with the Poles, was by him put to a cruel death.

(3) Many buildings were erected at Moscow ; among others, the quaint church of St. Basil, with its gaudily-painted exterior which attracts the attention of the visitor. This fantastic structure is said to have been raised in 1554. to commemorate the taking of Kazan. There is a story told about it that the Tsar ordered the architect's eyes to be put out, that he might never build another to rival it. This, however, has been chronicled of many other potentates, and is probably a very old anecdote. So also is the tale that because an ambassador did not remove his hat quickly enough, Ivan commanded it to be nailed to his head. This well-worn anecdote is also told of a cruel prince of Moldavia.

(4) From Ivan we have another legal code, the *Sudebnik*, and also a regulation of Church affairs by the *Stoglav*, or "Book of the Hundred Chapters," as previously mentioned.

It may be as well to give here the periods into which the documents of Russian legislation have been divided.

(a) The heathen period and the epoch of tribal government. The important legal monuments of this period are the treaties between the Russians and Greeks, which have been preserved by Nestor.

(b) The second period is that of the appanages ; to this belong the *Ruskaia Pravda* of Yaroslav, already mentioned, and the Charters of Pskov and Novgorod.

(c) The epoch of the supremacy of Moscow ; to

this belong the *Sudebnik* of Ivan III. (1497), of Ivan IV. (1550), and the *Ulozhenie* of Alexis (1649).

(d) The period of the reorganized empire. During this time the Russian laws were collected from the date of the publication of the *Ulozhenie*, and were codified in the time of Catherine, and again on the accession of Nicholas to the throne. These are the great landmarks of Russian legislation. To this fourth period also belongs the "Reglament" of Peter the Great.

(5) Ivan caused a printing-press to be set up at Moscow in 1553, and took under his patronage the printers, the chief of whom were Ivan Fedorov and Peter Mstislavets. The first book printed was an *Apostol*, as it is called by the Slavs, *i.e.*, a book containing the Acts of the Apostles and the Epistles. But Fedorov and his companions were not long allowed to practise their art; the efforts of those who gained their livelihood by copying manuscripts and the force of superstitious prejudice triumphed. The Metropolitan of Moscow, Macarius, who had patronized them, died in 1564, and they were obliged to betake themselves to the dominions of the king of Poland and at Ostrog; in Volhynia they printed the Bible, which has already been mentioned. There were no further attempts at printing till the reign of the Tsar Michael, in spite of the efforts towards national progress of Boris Godunov; the cause is probably to be found in the disturbed state of the country and the struggles through which it passed in the "period of troubles" (*smutnoye vremya*).

A few words may be here said about the Slavonic versions of the Bible; the reader will find more exact information on the Russian codices in the chapter devoted to literature. It is probable that all the Scriptures were translated into Slavonic by the two celebrated missionaries, Cyril and Methodius, in the ninth century. But as regards the earliest manuscripts, we only have the Gospels, such as the Zographus, Marianus, and other codices, and that called after Assemani, which is preserved in the Vatican. These date as far back as the eleventh or twelfth centuries. Nestor quotes the Proverbs of Solomon in old Slavonic. There is the Psalter of Bologna of the twelfth century, and the Acts of the Apostles of the fourteenth. A complete Bible in manuscript of the date 1499, is preserved at Moscow; it is said that Gennadius of Novgorod put the various parts together. The whole Slavonic Bible, as previously stated, was printed at Ostrog in Volhynia in 1581; a copy of this rare work may be seen in the Bodleian Library. The press had been set up by Constantine Ostrozhski, a warm defender of the Orthodox Church, although a Polish subject. It is said that the manuscript Bible from which this first printed one was executed was sent to Ostrozhski by Ivan the Terrible.

(6) In this reign, Yermak, a Cossack, conquered a large part of Siberia, and obtained a pardon by offering his conquests to the Tsar, for, as a robber, he had been previously condemned to death. There is a fine *bilina* upon this conquest, preserved among the collections of Russian popular poetry, in which Yermak is represented, as speaking thus:—

“ I am the robber Hetman of the Don ;
’Twas I went over the blue sea, the Caspian ;
And I it was, who destroyed the ships ;
And now, our hope, our orthodox Tsar,
I bring you my traitorous head,
And with it I bring the empire of Siberia,
And the orthodox Tsar will speak,
He will speak, the terrible Ivan Vasilievich,
Ha ! thou art Yermak, the son of Timofei,
Thou art the Hetman of the warriors of the Don.
I pardon thee and thy band,
I pardon thee for thy trusty service,
And I give thee the glorious gentle Don as an inheritance.”

But although Yermak may be considered, in a way, as the first conqueror of Siberia, it must not be forgotten that the country had been previously visited by traders in search of furs. Maps of the Ob and the country of the Ostiaks, by Sebastian Münster, and by Herberstein, had already appeared a generation before the Cossacks entered Sibir, the name from which our Siberia has arisen. The town once so called no longer exists, but near it is the large city of Tobolsk. The natives of the country were too thinly scattered to make any serious opposition to the invaders, and the Cossacks were accustomed to build *ostrogs* or forts at the confluence of the rivers. About the time of the Crimean War, the Russians got possession of the left bank of the river Amour, and in 1859 the conquest was confirmed by an ukaz. The deportation of criminals and State offenders to Siberia began at the close of the sixteenth century.

An interesting episode of this reign is the arrival of the Jesuit Possevin, who was charged in appearance

with a mission to reconcile the Tsar and his great opponent Bathory, and to unite them in an expedition against the Turk, the common enemy, but in reality he was to labour as much as possible to bring the Russians into the Roman Catholic faith. We have said but little in this work on the affairs of the Church in Russia, as they present no salient traits for the general reader, but we have shown that the Russians followed the Greek Church, as they had received Christianity from Byzantium. Since the Council of Florence in 1438, the separation between the Orthodox and Latin Churches has been complete. Isidore, the Metropolitan, had been persuaded to accept the hollow reconciliation which took place on that occasion, and having received the dignity of cardinal from the Pope, returned to Russia in the scarlet gown of his new office. He was received by the Tsar and the people with contempt, and a furious tumult was raised around him when he ventured to make his appearance in the cathedral. Vasilii caused his goods to be confiscated, and himself to be imprisoned in a cell in the Chudovo monastery. He, however, contrived to make his escape, and ultimately reached Rome, where he met with a cordial reception, and remained there during the rest of his life; to return to Russia was impossible. Ivan's fondness for theological disputes has already been alluded to; he conversed both with Roman Catholics and Protestants — the English merchants furnishing the majority of the latter; he was assured by them that the Pope was Anti-Christ.

In the many popular lays that have come down

about Ivan, forming part of what is called the cycle of Moscow, we have an interesting picture of the way in which he presented himself to his subjects. The following *bilina* on his death is curious :—

“ Why, bright moon, father moon,
 Why does thou not shine as of old time,
 Not as of old time, as before?
 It happened to us in Holy Russia,
 In stone-built Moscow, in the golden Kremlin,
 In the Uspenski Cathedral
 Of Michael the Archangel,
 They beat upon the great bell,
 The sound echoed over the whole damp mother-earth.
 All the princes and boyars came together,
 All the warrior people assembled,
 To pray to God in the Uspenski Cathedral,
 There stood a new-made coffin of cypress wood ;
 In the coffin lies the orthodox Tsar—
 The orthodox Tsar, Ivan Vasilievich, the terrible ;
 The life-giving cross stands at its head ;
 By the cross lies the imperial crown ;
 At his feet the terrible sword,
 Every one prays to the life-giving cross ;
 Every one bows to the golden diadem ;
 Every one looks with trembling at the terrible sword ;
 Around the coffin the wax lights burn ;
 Before the coffin stand all the priests and patriarchs.
 They read and sing the farewell hymn,
 They sing farewell to our orthodox Tsar—
 Our terrible Tsar—Ivan Vasilievich.”

Ivan, at his death, left two children, Feodore, at that time twenty-seven years of age, and Dmitri, an infant. Feodore was very sickly and of a superstitious character. We are told by an old Chronicler that one of his chief amusements was ringing church bells. He had married Irene, the sister of a powerful boyar of Tatar descent, named Boris Godunov, a

man about whom we are destined to hear a good deal more. Horsey was present at the coronation of Feodore and his wife, and describes the Empress: "Most precious and rich were her robes, and shining to behold, with rich stones and orient pearle, beset: her crowne was placed upon her head accompanied with her princesses and ladies of estate. Then cried out the people: 'God preserve our noble Empresse, Irenia.'" Owing to the imbecility of Feodore, the chief authority fell into the hands of Boris, who under the guise of piety concealed an ambitious and enterprising spirit. As the wife of Feodore was also sickly and appeared unlikely to have offspring, there was only one impediment to prevent Boris getting the supreme power, which he was already enjoying in reality, although under another's name. This was young Dmitri, who had been removed to the town of Uglich, in the government of Yaroslav. For some time Boris had intended to declare Dmitri illegitimate because he was the son of Ivan's seventh wife—if we include one to whom he was hardly lawfully wedded—and the Church condemned even a fourth. But the ecclesiastics had sanctioned this marriage, and so blindly devoted were the Russians to their ruling dynasty, than no son of Ivan's could be safely set aside. Many rumours were circulated about the cruel disposition of the child, which were supposed to show what a tyrant he would prove, and they are generally supposed to have been propagated by Boris and his emissaries. Finally, Dmitri died mysteriously at Uglich on the 15th of May, 1591. The prognostications of Fletcher, who said he was not safe,

were destined to be fulfilled. Horsey has given us a very graphic account, fresh as one of the pages of Pepys, of the way in which he first received intelligence of the child's death: "One night I comended my soull to God above other, thinckinge verilly the tyme of my end was com. One rapt at my gate at midnight: I was well furnished with pistolls and weapons, I and my servants, some fifteen, went with these weapons to the gate. 'O my good friend Jerom innobled [q. *blagorodni*?] lett me speak with you.' I saw by moonshine the Emperis [dowager's] brother Alphonassy [Afanase] Nagorie. 'The Charowich Demeterius is dead his throate was cutt about the sixth hower by the deackes [*diaks*?]; some one of his pagis confessed upon the racke by Boris his settinge one; and the Emperis poysoned and upon pointe of death, her hear and nails and skin falls of; hælp and geave some good thinge for the passion of Christ his sake.' I ran up, fetched a littell bottele of pure sallett oyell (that littel vial of balsom, which the Queen gave me), and a box of Venice treacle, 'Here is what I have, I praie God it maye do her good!' Gave it over the wall: who hied him post awaie." So far our Englishman in his racy but ungrammatical language; his narrative shows what a reputation his countrymen enjoyed in Russia for medical skill.

There is a great mystery about the death of this child. We only know for certain that he was playing in the courtyard; his governess, Vasilissa Volokhova, and some other attendants lost sight of him either by accident or design. According to their evidence the

Tsarevich was amusing himself with sticking a knife in the ground, when last they saw him, but on looking round they found him lying on the earth covered with blood. A great tumult took place when the child was found dead, and many persons were killed. Different accounts, however, were given of the affair, as we shall shortly see, when a pretender entered upon the scene. Boris gave out that the youth inflicted a mortal wound upon himself in a fit of epilepsy, and proceeded to punish the town of Uglich for the riot which had taken place. Many of the inhabitants were sent to Siberia, which was now beginning to be a convict settlement, and thither also was sent the great bell of the town, just as we have had the bells of Novgorod and Pskov deported to Moscow. The remains of young Demetrius were deposited at Moscow, in the Church of St. Michael, the burial-place of the Tsars ; we shall afterwards find him canonized.

Soon after the catastrophe of Uglich a great fire broke out in Moscow. Boris rebuilt some of the streets at his own cost and distributed relief to the houseless, but the people looked upon him with suspicion. In 1591 the Khan of the Crimea made another raid ; the great object of the Tatars in these forays was, according to Fletcher, "to get store of captives, specially young boys and girls, whom they sell to the Turkes, or other their neighbours. To this purpose they take with them great baskets made like bakers panniers to carrie them tenderly, and if any of them happen to tyer, or to be sicke on the way, they dash him against the ground, or some tree and leave him dead." The imbecile Feodore made no

attempt to resist the invader. He went on ringing the bells, and remarked that the guardian saints of Russia would fight for her. Boris fortified the city, and repulsed the invaders. But he could not make himself popular; he was even accused of poisoning the infant child of Feodore, who died suddenly. Boris, however, pursued his plans for the improvement of Russia. Archangel was built; Smolensk, an outpost of the empire, fortified; and he entered into negotiations with many foreign powers. In 1598 Feodore died, terminating a long line of Muscovite princes. Boris was elected to succeed him; but in order to appear reluctant to undertake the office of Tsar, he retired to a monastery, and kept the people in suspense six weeks before he returned an answer. As soon as he found himself seated on the throne he showed himself a vigorous ruler. One of his great plans was to weaken the power of the nobility and increase that of the Crown; this had also been the policy of Ivans III. and IV. The latter had removed many of them by execution. To the house of Romanov, united with the imperial family by marriage, Boris showed the greatest hostility, but, as in the case of Macbeth, a Banquo was destined to arise. He compelled the head of that house to become a monk, but we shall see his son Michael elected to the Muscovite throne; moreover Horsey assisted him in a rather discreditable manner by decoying into Russia a niece of Ivan, widow of Duke Magnus of Holstein, who was living at Riga. The Englishman found her "comynge of her daughter's head and hear, a proper gerrell of nien years of age." Owing to his persuasions she was

induced to return to Russia, and Boris immediately incarcerated her and her child in a monastery.

In 1601 a famine broke out and Boris showed a great deal of energy in grappling with it, but he does not appear to have made himself any more beloved by the people. But just about this time a strange piece of news was spread abroad—that Dmitri, the son of the terrible Ivan, was not actually dead, but had been discovered in Poland. We now come to the story of the false Demetrius, the Perkin Warbeck of Russia, the first of a long series of pretenders, who have made their appearance there, a country especially favourable for their development, owing to the ignorance and credulity of the people, the great distances between the towns and villages and the constant *revolutions de palais* which have varied the course of its history.

This extraordinary adventurer first comes on the scene at Bragin in Lithuania, at the seat of Prince Adam Wisniowiecki, a member of an ancient Polish family. He is there discovered in a menial capacity, and reveals himself to the Prince as the actual son of the terrible Ivan who had escaped by the connivance of a physician whom Boris had employed to murder him. It must be confessed that this story bears a romantic air. The pretended Dmitri exhibited a seal and a golden cross, which he said had been given him by his godfather, Prince Ivan Mstislavski. Prince Adam appears to have believed the tale of the adventurer, who soon gathered a small court around him in the Polish province. We are told that his elegant manners quite supported the story of his

origin which he had promulgated. According to contemporary account, he spent "all his spare time in the exercise of those qualities and graces, which render great persons more considerable ; as, riding the great horse, tilting, fencing, and whatever else might bear proportion with that greatness he resolved to pretend to. His conversation among his fellows was reserved, and yet obliging ; towards his master full of respect and submission, not without the mixture of an air which spoke his services more the effect of gratitude than duty."

The whole question of the origin of this impostor is beset with the greatest difficulties. We are convinced from the evidence that the real Demetrius was assassinated at Uglich, although different accounts are given of his murder. The most commonly received opinion of the antecedents of the pretender is that he was a monk, whose real name was Gregory Otrepiev. Some see in the affair a plot concocted by the Jesuits, with the view of bringing Russia into the pale of the Latin Church, and thus effecting what neither the Council of Florence, nor the marriage of Sophia to Ivan III.—for she seems to have shaken off all her Papal tuition as soon as she entered Russian territory—nor the embassy of Possevino to Ivan IV. could bring about. We cannot help considering this view highly probable, in spite of the elaborate attempts of Father Pierling to upset it in his interesting work, "Rome et Demetrius," Paris, 1878. Others, notably Kostomarov, do not think that the Jesuits hatched the plot, but were willing to make use of it when it had succeeded. We are told that

the claimant exhibited a great likeness to the terrible Ivan, and that this is conspicuous, if we compare the portraits which have come down. But there is no likeness of Ivan IV. which can be relied upon for fidelity: concerning the portraits of Demetrius something will be said later on.

But to return to our narrative. Boris, on hearing the story of the adventurer, tried to get him into his power, but all his attempts failed. The young man was received with royal honours, and George Mniszek, the palatine of Sandomir, betrothed his daughter to him. In return, the pretender, as we must call him, made some lavish settlements upon his future bride in the prospect of acquiring the Russian Empire, and privately abjured the Greek faith. Marina, his betrothed, was eager for new titles, and has signed herself in the album of the Jagiellon Library of Cracow, as may still be seen, *Maryna Carowa Moskiewska*. The next step in his progress was that Sigismund III., of Poland, a bigoted Roman Catholic and eager for the propagation of his faith, assigned him a pension of 40,000 florins and acknowledged him as Tsar. All things were now ready for the invasion of Russia, which country the adventurer entered on the 31st of October, 1604, by way of the government of Chernigov. Town after town capitulated to him, but he suffered a repulse before Novgorod Severski. Near this place a great battle occurred, in which the Tsar's troops would certainly have been defeated, if it had not been for the vigour of Basmanov, the commander appointed by Boris. The battle is described by Captain

Margeret, who was present and now becomes a valuable authority. He was a French mercenary, first in the service of Boris and afterwards of the Pretender. He came to Russia in 1601 and quitted it in 1606. But this able general was soon afterwards recalled, perhaps because the Tsar, in the midst of his anxieties, mistrusted everybody. In his place was substituted a general named Shuiski, of whom we shall shortly hear more. On the 21st of January a great battle took place at Dobrinichi, not far from Orel. The false Dmitri suffered a complete defeat, losing almost all his infantry, chiefly through the bravery of the foreign legion in the service of Boris, one of the members of which was Margeret. A great many prisoners were taken, and all the Russians captured in the Polish camp were hanged on the spot. Demetrius, with the rest of his forces, retired to Putivl, where he remained till May. We next hear of the two armies drawn up near each other at Kromi, but they remained inactive till the startling news arrived of the death of Boris. On the 13th of April, 1605, he presided at the Council-board and gave an audience to some foreigners. After a banquet on that day he was suddenly taken ill, bleeding from the nose and mouth. He had just time to assume the garb of a monk, and expired in the fifty-third year of his age. He had reigned six years. "Some say he died of an Apoplexie, others (the fancied Fate of most Princes) that he was poisoned" ("The Russian Impostor," p. 59); Olearius thinks that he died of grief. It is difficult to believe that his death was natural, as it happened so opportunely for

his enemies, and the symptoms resembled those of poisoning. Massa,* the Dutchman, who must have had frequent opportunities of seeing Boris, describes him as a man of short stature, somewhat corpulent, and with a round face. His hair and beard were grizzled, and he walked with difficulty on account of the gout.

Before continuing our narrative of the events of the "Period of Troubles," as it has been called by Russian historians, a few words must be said about the remarkable man whose death has just been recorded. However dubious the method may have been by which Boris attained the crown—and we must remember that the whole affair is enveloped in mystery—it cannot be doubted that he was a man of vigorous character, and his views were in advance of his age. He seems to have fully realized the idea that Russia must be removed from her Chinese isolation and brought into closer contact with European civilization. Among his plans for effecting this change, he caused young Russians to be sent for education to the western parts of Europe. Some went to France, some to Lübeck, and some to England. There seems great doubt as to whether any of these students returned to Russia: those who came to England certainly refused to go back. Perhaps two of their number were those mentioned in Walker's "Sufferings of the Clergy" as having been sent to Oxford, where they died of the small-pox. We find a Russian a clergyman of the Church of England, dispossessed by the Puritans in 1643. He is called by Walker, Mikepher Alphery (qu.

* See note, page 99.

Nikiphor Alferiev). The subject, though interesting, is too lengthy to pursue farther, but see Prof. Brückner, "Istoria Petra Velikago" ("History of Peter the Great"), p. 201. During the sixteenth century the rural peasant (*krestianin*) had been gradually losing his freedom, Boris gave a legal sanction to this change in his condition and bound him to the soil. In this respect he sanctioned a very retrogressive measure, but the whole subject of Russian serfdom will be fully discussed in a subsequent chapter. With the death of Boris ends the period of the consolidation of the Russian autocracy and of vigorous rule; we now come to a time of intestine struggles and weakness, and for more than a quarter of a century Russia is an easy prey to her enemies.

* In spite of his name it has been denied that Isaac Massa was a Jew; see *Histoire des Guerres de la Moscovie*, Bruxelles, 1866, vol. 1. p. iv.—(See page 98).





VI.

THE PERIOD OF TROUBLES. THE TWO PRE- CURSORS OF PETER, MICHAEL, AND ALEXIS.

(1605-1682.)

ON the death of Boris, his son Feodore, a youth of sixteen, was proclaimed his successor. Shuiski and Mstislavski returned to Moscow to assist him in the government, but we shall see the adherents of the young Tsar gradually falling off, as they probably felt that he was too weak to hold the reins of power. Basmanov, who had again taken the command of the army, no sooner reached the camp than he went over to the pretender, whom he proclaimed Tsar. He was at once ordered to march on the capital. After taking Krasnoe Selo, a wealthy suburb of the city, he entered Moscow in triumph, and proclaimed Dmitri there also. The people rose, murdered Feodore and his mother, and ordered the body of Boris to be disinterred and buried outside the walls of the city. A rumour was circulated that the young Tsar and his mother had poisoned themselves, but Petreius, the Swedish envoy, whose account has come down, declares that he saw on their necks indubitable marks

of their having been strangled. Xenia, the youthful daughter of Boris, a girl of beauty and spirit, was kept in prison till the conqueror on his arrival should have decided her fate. There is a pretty little poem in which she is represented as deploring her sad destiny. It is a Russian *bilina*, and by the strangest of fates was taken down probably from recitation by a certain Richard James, a graduate of Oxford, who was in Russia, as chaplain to the Embassy in 1619, and whose MSS. are preserved in the Bodleian Library. A few lines of it are here added :

“ The little bird laments,
 The white quail,
 Oh ! how must I weep, young as I am—
 They are going to burn the vigorous oak,
 To destroy my little nest,
 To kill my little fledglings,
 And to capture me, the quail.
 The Tsarevna weeps in Moscow,
 Alas ! I, the youthful, must mourn
 Because the traitor is coming to Moscow,
 Grishka Otrepiev, the unfrocked priest,
 Who wishes to take me prisoner,
 And having imprisoned me, to make me a nun.
 And 'o put me among those who wear black robes ;
 But I do not wish to be a nun,
 Or to wear the black robe—
 The dark cell must be thrown open,
 So that I may see the youths in their bravery,
 Alas ! for our pleasant greetings :
 Who will come among you
 After us, with our lordly lives,
 And after the Tsar Boris.
 Thus the Tsarevna weeps in Moscow,
 The daughter of Boris Godunov—
 O God, merciful Saviour,
 Why is our empire destroyed ?
 It is for the sins of my father,
 For my mother's lack of prayer.”

The name of Xenia—a thoroughly good old Russian appellation, which had almost fallen into disuse in the country—has been revived in the case of one of the daughters of the present emperor.

The adventurer entered the city of Moscow on the 30th of June, 1605. A series of processions and feasting took place. One of his first acts was to pay a visit to his mother, who had been shut up in a monastery by Boris. She professed to identify him, but as we shall find that she afterwards was equally willing to declare him an impostor, we need not be surprised; she was probably glad to have any pretext to escape from her imprisonment. He soon, however, began to give offence by his neglect of Russian customs, his fondness for Roman Catholics, and his openly expressed contempt for the ignorance of his subjects. But even as it was, he was not quick enough for his Jesuit supporters in his opposition to Orthodox practices—a circumstance upon which some historians have dwelt, as showing that he was not really sympathetic with the Latins. Nor indeed was Mniszek, his father-in-law, a very bigoted adherent of that faith, for he had another daughter married to a member of the Greek Church, and does not appear to have exercised any influence over him.

In the following year, Marina, his betrothed bride, made her entry into Moscow with a splendid retinue, but the *cortège* gave little pleasure to the Russians—they saw to their horror the city swarming with heretic Poles. The marriage took place on the 18th of May, and eleven days afterwards a conspiracy broke out at the head of which was

Shuiski, whose life the pretender had shortly before spared, when he had been detected in a plot against him. On the night of May the 29th, the Tsar heard a noise, and, finding himself surprised by his enemies and all Moscow, in appearance, in insurrection, attempted to escape by leaping from the window into the courtyard below. He fell, however, and broke his leg, and as he lay defenceless on the ground was stabbed by the conspirators. Massa, the Dutchman previously mentioned, who saw his body, tells us that he had twenty-one wounds. Peter Basmanov was killed in a gallery adjoining the emperor's apartment. Their bodies were exposed at Moscow for three days and then buried: that of the pretender, however, was afterwards exhumed and burnt. Such was the fate of this mysterious man. Margeret speaks of him very favourably, and is at great pains to refute the idea that he was a pupil of the Jesuits. Some authors have asserted that he could converse in Latin and Polish. But with regard to the former language Margeret speaks most emphatically: "Il est très certain qu'il ne parloit nullement Latin, j'en puis temoigner, moins le sçavoit-il tire et écrire." A contemporary portrait of him engraved by Luke Kilian, and published at Vienna in 1606, represents a man of rather coarse features, with two warts on his face.

Whoever this man may have been, the public opinion of the time was, that he was the *rasstriga* (unfrocked priest), Grishka Otrepiev and none other, as we see by the contemporary *bilina*:—

“Thou O God, our dear Saviour,
Why wert Thou so soon angry with us?”

Thou hast sent us, O God, the deceiver.
 The wicked unfrocked priest, Grishka Otrepiev,
 Did he, the *razstriga*, really sit on the throne?
 He called himself the lawful Tsar,
 The Tsar Dmitri Ivanovich of Uglich.
 But the *razstriga* did not long sit on the throne,
 The *razstriga* wished to marry;
 But he did not take a wife in stone-built Moscow,
 Among his own kindred,
 He took one from cursed Lithuania.
 Marina, the daughter of Prince Yuri of Sandomir,
 The wicked heretic, the godless woman."

As regards Mariana, she escaped at the time of her husband's murder, and was at first imprisoned. Her subsequent fate will be told in the course of the narrative. On the death of the false Demetrius, the boyars convened an assembly, and elected Basil Shuiski, one of those who had conspired against him as Tsar. But his position was a very precarious one. A second false Demetrius made his appearance—altogether an ephemeral person. This man seized a fortified position at Tushino, a village situated about twelve versts from Moscow. Here he was joined by vast bands of marauders, whose sole aim was pillage, and never rose above the position of a vulgar thief and freebooter; but Marina, an ambitious woman, rather than return to Poland as an object of derision, recognized him as her husband, who, of course, was supposed to have escaped in some miraculous way, and on being released from imprisonment by Shuiski, managed to find access to his camp. The army of this impostor defeated that of Shuiski with great slaughter near Volkhov on the 24th of April, 1608. But after this his cause rapidly declined. An attack

which he made upon the Troitsa Monastery near Moscow was a complete failure.

About the end of September, 1609, the Poles, taking advantage of its disturbed state, invaded the country, defeated Shuiski at Klushino, a village to the north-east of Moscow, and sent him as prisoner to Poland, where he died in confinement. The second false Dmitri, as we must call him, is next heard of at Kaluga, everywhere robbing and killing at the head of gangs of Cossacks and Tatars, by one of whom he was murdered in 1610. The Poles were naturally eager to avenge the great numbers of their countrymen who had been slain at Moscow, when the rebellion broke out against the pretender. Wladyslaw or Ladislaus, the son of Sigismund III., of Poland, caused himself to be elected Tsar by force of arms, and held the supreme power for two years, owing, no doubt, to the exhausted condition of the country, for it is impossible to conceive the Russians, as tolerating for any conceivable period a sovereign of the Latin faith.

The patriotism of the people was aroused by the energy of Minin, a butcher of Nizhni Novgorod and Prince Pozharski, who took the command of the army, and finally after a fierce struggle the Poles were driven from the country. They did not go, however, without considerable booty. Olearius says, "The great duke's treasury was ransacked, as also the churches and monasteries, out of which the Polanders got and sent into Poland an incredible quantity of gold, silver, and precious stones, among which, the Muscovites do to this day, regret the loss of a certain unicorn's horn enriched with diamonds. They say

the soldiery got such a booty that some of them charged their pistols with great round pearls."

A meeting of the boyars now took place, Princes Mstislavski and Pozharski refused the crown, and Michael Romanov was put forward as a candidate. He was only a youth of sixteen, but had been recommended to the nation by the virtues of his father Philarete, who had endured great persecution at the hands of Boris. The father was associated with his son in the government. According to Strahlenberg, and others, Michael, before his coronation, was obliged to sign a kind of charter, by which the autocracy was limited. Ustrialov, however, the Russian historian, denies this, and it seems at least dubious. But the boyars and the clergy, during the seventeenth century, made efforts to limit the authority of the Tsar, and we shall find attempts of the same kind at the beginning of the reign of the Empress Anne. (In some of the ukazes the words "and the boyars assented" are added.) There were occasionally symptoms that Russia might become an oligarchy on the model of that of the Poles. The Romanovs were an old family of nobles, and had already given a Tsaritsa to the Russians, the first wife of Ivan IV. There is a pretty story that the life of the young sovereign was saved by the fidelity of a peasant named Ivan Susanin, who, when the Poles were in search of him, misled them, and atoned for his devotion by his life. Although this pleasing legend has been made the theme of many poems and a very popular opera, there are many reasons for doubting it, especially since the researches of Kostomarov. The young Tsar ascended

the throne in 1613, but, although the Russians had found a native ruler, their country was far from enjoying tranquillity. Many districts were still held by the Poles; Cossacks (we shall shortly sketch the origin of this people) were wandering about the country in marauding bands, at the head of whom was Zarutski, who held out at Astrakhan. To him Marina had fled with her infant child by the second Demetrius. He was captured, however, in 1614, and brought to Moscow with Marina and her offspring. The former Tsaritsa was imprisoned for life, her child hanged and Zarutski impaled.

The Poles refused to abandon their claims upon the country, or to recognize Michael as Tsar; the Russians also had some difficulties with the Swedes. They contrived, however, to arrange matters with that country by the treaty of Stolbovo near Lake Ladoga. By this treaty Sweden obtained Ingermanland and Karelia with the sum of twenty thousand roubles and recovered all her former rights in Livonia, while Novgorod and all other Swedish conquests in Russia were given up. When Gustavus met the Estates at Stockholm in 1617, he laid before them a full report of this treaty, and showed them on a map how by the peace of Stolbovo, Russia was completely shut out from the Baltic; and "that," he added, "we will hope, by God's help, will always prove too wide a jump, even for a Russian." *Heu! vatum ignaræ mentes!* The city of St. Petersburg now includes within its area the spot on which a stone was erected, with the three crowns of Sweden carved thereon, with a Latin inscription.

The Russians were then free to carry on their struggle with the Poles, and Ladislaus was bent on again marching direct to Moscow. But finally a truce for fourteen years was agreed upon (1618) at Deülino, a village near Moscow, adjoining the celebrated Troitsa monastery, which had been so gallantly defended by the Russians against the Poles. Even upon this occasion Ladislaus did not renounce his claim to the crown, but we shall see afterwards that he made no further attempt to obtain it by force. By the terms of this treaty, Philarete, the father of the young Tsar, who had been detained in captivity by the Poles, was allowed to return to his native country, and made Patriarch.

During the early part of the reign of Michael the nobles seem to have been especially turbulent, and would probably soon have reduced the power of the Tsar to the same condition of insignificance as that of the King of Poland. By bringing false accusations against the first wife of Michael, Maria Dolgorukaya, they caused her to be divorced, and they were suspected of having poisoned the second, Evdokia Streshneva. On this account the King of Denmark is said to have refused the hand of his niece to Michael. He considered as suspicious the death of his brother, who had come to Moscow to be betrothed to Xenia, the daughter of Godunov; but the prince seems in reality to have died of hard drinking—a mode of death which we shall find repeated in the case of other princes in Russia. Throughout this reign we see Russia becoming more and more influenced by Western civilization. The settlement with Sweden

has already been spoken of. In 1629 an ambassador from Louis XIII. of France appeared at Moscow, with the view of concluding a commercial treaty and disturbing the English monopoly, but it resulted in a failure. The country now began to swarm with foreign adventurers, among whom the Scotch were very prominent. There had been Scotchmen in the body-guard of the false Demetrius, and we shall soon find Hamiltons, Bruces, Gordons, Leslies, and Crawfords; some of these men died in Russia and founded families, their names surviving in strangely perverted forms, thus the first of these Scotch patronymics has become Khomutov. An attempt of the Russians to get back Smolensk, a border city constantly changing hands, was unsuccessful; and the two Russian commanders, Shein and Ismaelov, were executed for their incapacity or treason. But Poland was now glad to come to terms with Russia. Sigismund III. had died in 1632, and in 1634 the treaty of Deülino was ratified. Poland kept possession of Smolensk and Chernígov, but recognized the Emperor Michael as Tsar, a title which up to that time they had not acknowledged, and gave up all claims to the crown of Russia.

A strange event occurred at this time which was a kind of foreshadowing of what was to take place on a greater scale before the century closed. Some Cossacks of the Don, who belonged to Russia, aided by some of their compatriots, who were nominally subject to Poland in one of their periodical raids against the Turks, seized the town of Azov—a most important position, as giving the Russians a footing on the Black Sea, and offered it to the Tsar of

Muscovy. There was a deliberation for some time in the *duma*, as the Council of the Empire was called ; but the report of those sent to examine the place was that the fortifications were dilapidated, and therefore there would be need of much expense, and moreover it would be too advanced a post for the Russians at that time to hold. A contemporary account of this event has come down to us, which is an interesting specimen of the literary style of the earlier part of the seventeenth century.

We are indebted to the pages of Adam Olearius, the ambassador from the Duke of Holstein, who visited the country in 1634 and 1636, for a complete description of the city of Moscow ; it enables us to form an idea of the old city before the transformation wrought by Peter, and we may compare it with Herberstein's account. It is here partly transferred to our pages :

“The streets of Moscow are handsome, and very broad, but so dirty, after rain hath ever so little moistened the ground, that it were impossible to get out of the dirt, were it not for the great posts, which set together make a kind of bridge, much like that of the Rhine, near Strassburg, which bridges, in foul weather serve for a kind of pavement. The city is divided into four quarters or circuits, whereof the first is called *Cataigorod* [Kitaigorod], that is, the mid city, as being in the midst of the others [this derivation is inaccurate]. This quarter is divided from the rest by a brick wall, which the Muscovites call *crasne stenna* [krasnaia strena], that is, red stone [properly the red wall]. The Moska [Moskvá] passes on the south side of it, and the river Neglina, which joins with the other

behind the castle, on the north side. The great Duke's palace, called Cremelena [*i.e.*, Kremlin, Russ. Kreml], and which is of greater extent than many other ordinary cities, takes up almost one half of it, and is fortified with three strong walls and a good ditch, and very well mounted with cannon. In the midst of the castle are two steeples, one very high and covered with copper-gilt, as all the other steeples of the castle are. This steeple is called Juan Welike [Ivan Veliki], that is, the Great John. The other is considerable only for the bell within it, made by the Great Duke Boris Gudenov [Godunov], weighing 33,600 pounds. It is not tolled but upon great festivals, or to honour the entrance and audience of ambassadors; but to stir it there must be twenty-four men, who pull it by a rope that comes down into the court, while some others are above to help it on by thrusting. The Great Duke's palace stands toward the further side of the castle, with that of the Patriarch and apartments for several Bojares [boyars], who have places at court. There is also lately built a very fair palace of stone, according to the Italian architecture for the young Prince, but the Great Duke continues still in his wooden palace, as being more healthy than stone-structures. The exchequer and the magazine of powder and provisions are also within the castle.

“There are also within it two fair monasteries, one for men, the other for women, and above fifty churches and chapels, all built of stone; among others those of the B. Trinity, St. Mary's, St. Michael's, wherein are the sepulchres of the Great Dukes and St. Nicholas.



DRESSES OF PEOPLE AT END OF SEVENTEENTH CENTURY.

“At the castle gate, but without the walls, on the south side, is a fair church dedicated to the B. Trinity, and commonly called Jerusalem. When it was finished the tyrant John Basilowits [Vasilievich], thought it so magnificent a structure that he caused the architect's eyes to be put out that he might not afterwards do anything that should be comparable to that.

[This story has been told of many tyrants and does not apply to Ivan, as stated before. In the same way, we find tales narrated about him which are also narrated of the cruel Moldavian despot, Drakula, and of Louis XI. of France.]

“Near this church are two great pieces of cannon, with the mouths toward that street by which the Tatars were wont to make their irruptions; but these pieces are now dismantled and useless. In the spacious place before the Castle is the chief market of the city kept; all day it is full of people, but especially slaves and idle persons. All the market-place is full of shops as also all the streets abutting upon it: but every trade hath a station by itself, so as that mercers intermingle not with linen or woollen drapers, nor goldsmiths with saddlers, shoemakers, tailors, furriers, and the like, but every profession and trade hath its proper street: which is so much the greater convenience in that a man does, of a sudden, cast his eye on all he can desire. Sempstresses have their shops in the midst of the market: there is a particular street where are sold the images of their saints. 'Tis true these go not under the name of merchandize among the Muscovites, who would make

some difficulty to say they had bought a saint ; but they say they receive them by way of exchange or trucking for money : and so when they buy, they make no bargain, but lay down what the painter demands.

“The second quarter is called Czaargorod [Tsar-gorod], that is, the Tsar’s city or the city royal, and includes the former as it were in a semicircle. The little river Neglina passes through the midst of it, and it hath its particular wall, called Biela Stenna [Bielaiia Stiena] that is, the white wall. In this quarter is the Arsenal and the place where the guns and bells are cast, which is called Pogganabrud [q. Pogani Brod ? ; as far as one can make out from the corrupted forms of the Russian words, this signifies, “The Way of the Gentiles” or heathens, because foreigners dwelt there], the management whereof the Great Duke hath bestowed on a very able man, one John Valk, born at Nuremberg, whom he sent for out of Holland for this reason, that he was the first who found a way to discharge a bullet of sixteen pound weight with five pound of powder. The Muscovites, who have wrought under this man, have so well learned the mystery of founding, that now they are as expert in it as the most experienced Germans.

‘In this quarter also there live many Knez [*kniazia*] Lords, Sinbojares [*Sini boyarskie*], or gentlemen, and a great number of merchants, who drive a trade all the country over, and tradesmen, especially bakers. There are also some butchers’ shambles, and tippling-houses, which sell beer, hydromel and strong-water

[brandy], storehouses of wheat, meal shops, and the Great Duke's stables.

“The third quarter is called Skorodom [Skorodom], and includes the quarter called Czaagorod from the east along the north side to the west. The Muscovites affirm that this quarter was five German leagues about, before the city was burnt by the Tatars in the year 1571. The little river Jagusa [Yaousa] passes through it, and in its way falls into the Mosca [Moskva]. In this quarter is the market for wood and houses before mentioned; where you may have houses ready-made, which may be taken asunder, transported thence and set up anywhere else in a short time and with little pains and charge, since they consist only of beams and posts, set one upon the other, and the vacuities are filled up with moss. [The writer remembers seeing a house moved in this way in a Russian village in 1870.]

“The fourth quarter is called Strelitza Slaiüoda [Strelitskaia Sloboda], because of the Strelits [Streltsi], or musketeers of the Great Duke's guard, who live in it. It is situated towards the south of Cataigorod, on the other side of the Mosca, upon the avenues of the Tatars. Its ramparts and bastions are of wood. The Great Duke Basili Jüanouits [Vasilii Ivanovich], father of Basilouits [Vasilievich], who built this quarter, designed it for the quarters of such soldiers as were strangers, as Poles, Germans, and others, naming that place Naeilki [Naleiki], or the quarter of drunkards from the word *nali* [nalei], which signifies *pour out*: for these strangers being more inclined to drunkenness than the Muscovites.



STRELETISI.

[Such, however, was very far from the opinion of other contemporary travellers. Let us see, for example, the verses of George Turberville *vide* chapter on "Russian Institutions and Manners." We must remember that these foreigners would not keep the severe fasts of the Russians.] He would not have his own people, who were apt enough to debauch themselves, to become so much the worse by the other's bad example. Besides the soldiery, the poorer sort of the people have their habitations in this quarter. There is the city and suburbs of Moscow, a very great number of churches, monasteries and chapels."

Thus far our enterprising traveller, who has left us one of the most picturesque books on old Russia.

Michael died in 1645, and was succeeded by his son Alexis. In this reign Russia made distinct progress, and Alexis was in many respects the precursor of his famous son Peter. He has been forcibly brought before us by the narrations of foreigners in his service, whereas Michael is a more shadowy personage. We have the very interesting book of Collins ("The Present State of Russia in a Letter to a Friend at London." London, 1671), his physician, who has furnished us with minute details of the Tsar's daily life; of Olearius, previously mentioned; and the diary of the Scottish adventurer, Patrick Gordon ("Tagebuch des Generals Patrick Gordon," &c., three vols., Moscow and St. Petersburg, edited by Dr. M. Posselt, 1849-1853; also "Diary of General Patrick Gordon," Aberdeen, 1859). At the beginning of the reign of the Tsar, a new false Dmitri made his appearance. He was in reality the

son of a linendraper of Vologda, in the Ukraine. He would have been of no political importance had not his cause been supported by Queen Christina of Sweden, who showed him great civility at her court. She probably was actuated by the same motives as induced Margaret of Burgundy to support Perkin Warbeck. She saw thereby an opportunity of annoying an enemy, as the relations between Sweden and Russia were never very friendly. The career, however, of the impostor was but a short one. Having ventured to visit the dominions of the Duke of Holstein, he was surrendered by him to the emissaries of Alexis at Neustadt in Prussian Saxony, taken to Moscow, and there executed.

In 1648 a great riot broke out in the latter city, on account of the severity of the taxes and the gross abuses of justice tolerated by the Tsar's favourite Boris Morozov. The mob murdered several of the boyars, and the riot was only quelled with considerable bloodshed. The most minute account of it which has come down to our own times is contained in the letter of an eye-witness preserved among the Ashmolean manuscripts at Oxford. In 1662 another riot occurred on account of the depreciation of the coinage. The reprisals on this occasion were even more sanguinary, and the wretched peasants, who had been goaded into rebellion, were hanged and drowned by hundreds.

Among the events of the reign of Alexis, the following are of the greatest importance, as affecting the future of Russia :—

(1) In 1647 the Tsar published his *Ulozhenie*,

or Book of Ordinances, which was a further development of the codes of Ivans III. and IV., already alluded to in a brief survey of Russian jurisprudence. The details of the work were entrusted to Princes Odoievski and Volkonski. The original manuscript is still preserved on one long roll. The cruelty of many of the punishments inflicted is striking.

It is curious to find that the penalty for a man who smoked a pipe of tobacco was to have his nose cut off. Alexis seems to have had as great a detestation of the American weed as the author of the famous "Counter Blast," but times were to change, and a well-known portrait of Peter the Great represents him sitting in a sailor's dress, enjoying a pipe. Alexis was very anxious that justice should be done to his subjects; at his palace there was a special box into which all petitions were placed, and these were afterwards taken to the emperor. Collins says, "In the night season the Tsar will go about and visit his Chancellor's desks, and see what decrees are passed, and what petitions are unanswered." He was very much assisted in State affairs by his minister Ordin-Nastchokin, of whom Collins always speaks in the highest terms of eulogy.

(2) In this reign the Cossacks on the Dnieper, under their hetman, Bogdan Khmelnitzki, became subject to the Russian Government. In order to understand thoroughly the importance of this event, we must retrace our steps and inquire into the origin of this people. The name, more properly written Kazak, is of Tatar origin, and originally signifies a robber. It gradually came to have something like

the meaning of the Scotch land-louper. These Cossacks were a mixed race of Malo-Russians, Poles, Tatars, Great Russians, and other adventurers, who occupied the wild and thinly-peopled territories lying to the south of Russia and Poland, between these countries and those of the Tatars and Turks. They were at quite an early period divided into two large tribes—those of the Don and those of the Dnieper. The Don Cossacks acknowledged the sovereignty of Russia as early as the days of Ivan the Terrible; the Cossacks of the Dnieper had for a long time been nominally subject to the Poles. They had founded a military republic called the *Sech* which was established on some islands in that river. The Dnieper Cossacks are sometimes called *Zapovozhski*, because they lived beyond the cataracts of the Dnieper. They were ruled by a hetman (probably the German *hauptmann*; cf. Bohemian *hejtman*: in Russian it takes the form *ataman*), whom themselves appointed, but the confirmation of the election depended upon the king, who invested him with his authority by means of a *bundchuk* or standard of horses' tails, and a *bulava* or mace. They made frequent attacks upon Turkish territory, and committed devastations up to the very walls of Constantinople.

They are first mentioned in history in 1506, in the time of Sigismund I. Stephen Bathori, who reigned in Poland from 1576 to 1586, organized them into six regiments of one thousand men each. He found them very useful as an advance guard for resisting the encroachments of the Tatars and Turks, just as

we find the Austrians establishing their military colonies of *granichari*, or frontiersmen, in the eighteenth century. To the south of Poland stretched vast steppes, which were a kind of neutral territory, and were the scenes of the forays of the various barbarous races which surrounded them — Slavs, Roumans, Turks, and Tatars. The history of this part of Europe must be gathered, not only from the Slavonic, but also from the Roumanian chroniclers. We have little but massacres in them, and the account of tyrants such as Vlad the impaler, in Wallachian history.

The Poles treated the Cossacks with contemptuous severity, and we hear of many of their hetmans being put to death. An illustration of the estimation in which they were held by their masters is furnished by Lengnich in his "Jus Publicum Regni Poloni." After telling us that the soldiers had wished to have a vote in the election of the kings, but had been refused, he adds "Cosaci, qui in iisdem, A. 1632, comitiis, idem quod milites expetebant, non sine indignatione andiebantur quod ex infimâ plebe colluvies nobilibus æquari vellent. Hoc illis dato responso, quod neque ad electionem, neque ad ulla publica consilia pertinerent, sed esse senatorum et nobilitatis de republica agere." After a long series of insults endured from their masters the Cossacks in the time of the hetman Bogdan Khmelnitski were ripe for revolt. This man had endured a great deal of insult and wrong at the hands of the Polish *paus*. His standard was joined by hordes of Tatars from Bessarabia and the struggle partook to a large extent of the nature of a

holy war, as the Cossacks and Malo-Russians generally were of the Greek faith, and their violence was directed against the Roman Catholics and Jews. It would be useless to encumber our pages with the details of the brutal massacres inflicted by the infuriated peasants in this *jacquerie*; unfortunately their atrocities had been provoked by the cruelties of their masters. Bogdan succeeded in taking Lemberg, and became master of all the palatinate, with the exception of Zamość, a fortress into which the Polish authorities retreated.

On the election of John Casimir as king of Poland, he at once opened negotiations with the successful Cossack, and matters were about to be arranged peacefully. Khmelnitski accepted the *bulava* of a hetman, which was offered him by the king. The Cossacks demanded the restoration of their ancient privileges, the removal of the detested Union—as the attempt to amalgamate the Greek and Latin Churches was called—the banishment of the Jesuits from the Ukraine, and the expulsion of the Jews, with other conditions. They were rejected, however, as impossible, and Prince Wisniowiecki, taking advantage of the security into which the Cossacks were lulled, fell upon them treacherously and defeated them with great slaughter. All compromise now seemed hopeless, but the desertion of his Tatar allies made Bogdan again listen to terms at Zborow. The peace, however, was of short duration, and on the 28th of June, 1651, at the battle of Beresteczko in Galicia, the hosts of Bogdan were defeated with great slaughter. After this engagement Bogdan saw

that he had no chance of withstanding the Poles by his own resources, and accordingly sent an embassy to Moscow in 1652, offering to transfer himself and his confederates to the allegiance of the Tsar. The negotiations were protracted for some time, and were concluded at Pereiaslavl, when Bogdan and seventeen Malo-Russian regiments took the oath to Buturlin, the Tsar's commissioner. Quite recently a monument has been erected to the Cossack chief at Kiev, but he seems, to say the least, to have been a man of doubtful honesty. Since this time the Cossacks have formed an integral part of the Russian Empire, and in the subsequent pages of this work we shall find opportunities of tracing their fortunes.

(3) We must now turn to the important acquisitions of territory. In this reign by the treaty of Andruszowo in 1667, the Russians got back Smolensk, Chernígov, and other places beyond the Dnieper; and temporary possession of Kiev was given, as a sort of guarantee, which we shall find remaining with them according to the terms of a later treaty. It will be remembered that these towns had been taken by the Lithuanians at the time of Russia's weakness and dismemberment, or in all probability they would have gravitated to the rising principality of Moscow. They had been united with a sort of home-rule to Poland on the marriage of Jagiello and Jadviga in the fourteenth century, and annexed permanently to that country by the treaty of Lublin in 1569. The Russians must have been especially pleased to get the sacred city Kiev, rich with so many associations.

(4) In this reign we have the great rebellion of

Stenka Razin, the Cossack robber who was for a long time an object of terror to the inhabitants of the banks of the Volga. There are many stories narrated of this chief, and in the *bilinî*, which have been orally transmitted for generations, he is always treated as a hero. Alexis, ordinarily a very humane man, at first pardoned him, but he broke out again, was joined by a host of adventurers; and ruled from Nizhni-Novgorod to Astrakhan. We shall find his parallel in the Cossack Pugachev, a hundred years later. He was eventually captured, brought to Moscow and there executed in 1671. In the words of one of the ballads celebrating his achievements—

“ They have taken the good youth,
They have bound his white hands,
They have taken him to stone-built Moscow ;
And on the glorious Red Square,
They have cut off his rebellious head.”

(5) In the reign of Alexis took place the great revision of the Bible, carried out by the energy of Nikon, the Patriarch, who, finding that the church-books were full of ridiculous blunders caused by ignorant copyists, procured a quantity of the best Greek manuscripts from Mount Athos and other places. In 1655, and the following year, he summoned two councils of the church, at which the newly-translated service-books were promulgated and the old ones called in. In consequence of this change, a great schism took place in the Russian Church, a number of people attaching a superstitious veneration to the old books, errors and all. Thus was formed

the large sect of the Staro-obriadtsi or Raskolniks, still existing in Russia, who have suffered great persecutions at many periods of her history. There were other influences at work, however, besides a fondness for the old-fashioned books; the clergy, as will be seen afterwards, had arrogated to themselves a higher social position and acquired wealth, in imitation of the Polish, and these changes were alien to the



NICON.

old Russian spirit. Nikon afterwards fell into disgrace and was degraded from the patriarchate. The reign of Alexis has been illustrated to Russian readers by the remarkable work of the *diak* (or clerk) Kotoshikhin. This man had been employed by the Government in diplomatic affairs, and having got into trouble fled to Poland about 1664, whence he passed into Sweden, and wrote his account of Russia while in that

country. A further account of this interesting book will be found in the chapter devoted to Russian literature. It is curious to find from the Russian archives that Alexis furnished our Charles II. with money, when he was a wanderer and in needy circumstances. Charles I. during his confinement in Carisbrooke Castle wrote a letter to the Russian Emperor (June 1, 1648). A letter was also sent by Charles II., announcing the execution of his father, dated September, 16, 1649, and brought to Moscow by Lord Culpepper. No communications between Cromwell and Alexis are preserved in the Imperial Archives. The latter is reputed to have said that all legitimate sovereigns ought to combine against such a usurper. It appears, however, that the Tsar afterwards relaxed, and, probably for the benefit of trade, allowed an ambassador to reside at Moscow during the Protectorate.

In 1664, when Charles was safely seated on the throne of his ancestors, he sent an embassy to his good friend Alexis, which has been graphically described by the Secretary, Guy Miège. The following extracts will be found interesting, and give some idea of the Tsar's magnificence:—

“From thence we came into a hall, through which we were to pass into that of the audience, and here it was we saw the guards of the Tsar's body in a most splendid equipage, their vests of velvet being lined with sables, their caps richly adorned with pearls and precious stones, and their very partisans covered with gold and silver. Near the door of the hall of audience the Ambassador received a third compli-

ment from the Tsar's own cousin. After which we opened to the right and left, and the Ambassador entered first into the hall, after him my lord Morpeth, and then the gentlemen and the pages.

“And here it was we were like those who, coming suddenly out of the dark, are dazzled with the brightness of the sun ; the splendour of their jewels seeming to contend for priority with that of the day, so that we were lost, as it were, in this confusion of glory. The Tsar, like a sparkling sun (to speak in the Russian dialect), darted forth most sumptuous rays, being most magnificently placed upon his throne, with his sceptre in his hand, and having his crown upon his head. His throne was of massy silver gilt, wrought curiously on the top with several works and pyramids, and being seven or eight steps higher than the floor it rendered the person of the Prince transcendently majestic. His crown (which he wore upon a cap lined with black sables), was covered quite over with precious stones ; it terminated towards the top in the form of a pyramid, with a golden cross on the spire. The sceptre glistened also all over with jewels ; his vest was set with the like from the top to the bottom down the opening before, and his collar was answerable to the same. By his side he had four of the tallest of his lords standing below his throne, each of them with his battle-axe upon his shoulder, and with a profound gravity casting their eyes now and then upon their Tsar inviting us to an admiration of his grandeur. Their habits were no less remarkable than their countenances, being all four of them, from the top of



THE BIRTH OF PETER. OBVERSE SHOWING HIS PARENTS.
(Struck to commemorate the event.)

their head to the sole of their foot, clothed in white vests of ermine, and having great chains of gold, and their caps of that large sort which they use in the ceremonies, but whereas others were of black fox, these were of ermine, as well as their vests; their very boots also were covered with the same. But that which was further admirable was the glorious equipage of the Boyars present at this audience, who were as so many beams of the sun elevated in his triumphal car, and seemed to have no lustre but to do homage withal to their great monarch. They were about two hundred, clothed all with vests of cloth of gold, cloth of silver or velvet set with jewels, all placed in order upon benches covered with tapestry about by the wall; the floor being raised there three or four steps higher and about the breadth of a good walk. At the entrance into the hall there was a great number also of his *goses* (*gosti*), which are his merchants or factors, whom he furnishes with rich robes to appear at such ceremonies. This was the splendour we found this great prince in, with a countenance perfectly majestic, as having not only the advantage of a handsome proportion, but of a lively and vigorous age, for this was but his four and thirtieth year."

We have previously alluded to Gordon's service under the Emperor Alexis; he arrived in the country in the year 1661, and had an audience of the Tsar at the village of Kolomenskoe, near Moscow, where he generally resided. Gordon received a commission as major in a regiment commanded by Crawford, a Scotchman. But the

great achievements of the Scotch adventurer were in the earlier days of Peter the Great.

Alexis died in 1676, in his forty-eighth year. His appearance is thus described by Collins, who was in intimate relations with him : " He is a goodly person, about six foot high, well-set, inclined to fat, of a clear complexion, lightish hair, somewhat of a low forehead, of a stern countenance, severe in his chastisements, but very careful of his subjects' love." Being urged by a stranger to make it death for any man to desert his colours, he answered : " It was a hard case to do that, for God has not given courage to all men alike. . . . His sentinels and guards placed round about his court stand like silent and immovable statues. No noise is heard in his palace, no more than if uninhabited." His favourite residence was Kolomenskoe, the glories of which have been described in very quaint verses by Simeon Polotski, a poet of the period, who was tutor to the royal children. He is enraptured, especially with the sculptured lions, who moved their eyes about and seemed to walk, probably something in imitation of what is described as having existed at the Byzantine Court. In conclusion, we may mention that Alexis was a very religious man, and his strict fasts are carefully enumerated by Collins :—

" He never misses divine service. If he be well he goes to it ; if sick, it comes to him in his chamber. On fast days he frequents midnight prayers (the old vigils of the church), standing four, five, or six hours together, and prostrating himself to the ground, sometimes a thousand times, and on great festivals

fifteen hundred. In the great fast he eats but three meals a week, viz., on Thursday, Saturday, Sunday; for the rest he eats a piece of brown bread and salt, a pickled mushroom or cucumber, and drinks a cup of small beer. He eats fish but twice in the great Lent, and observes it seven weeks together, besides maslinetz (or cleansing) week, wherein they eat milk and eggs. Out of the fast he observes, Mondays,



NATALIA.

Wednesdays, and Fridays, and will not eat then anything that comes of flesh. In fine, no monk is more observant of canonical hours than he is of fasts. We may reckon he fasts almost eight months in twelve, with the six weeks fast before Christmas, and two other small fasts."

Alexis, who was succeeded by his eldest son Feodore, had been twice married: by his first wife, Maria Miloslavskaia, he had two sons, Feodore and Ivan,

and a daughter, Sophia, with other children ; by the second, Natalia Narishkina, a son, Peter. Feodore (1676-1682) had a short and uneventful reign ; he was of weak health and left no offspring. The only important occurrence of his reign was the destruction of the *rozriadnie knigi* or Books of Pedigrees. According to the pernicious custom of the *mesnichestvo* no man could fill an office which was inferior to any which his ancestors had held, or would accept a lower position than any man who counted fewer ancestors than himself. The country was weakened by the constant struggles which these rivalries brought about. At the suggestion, we are told, of Basil Golitsin, his minister, the Tsar caused the Books of Pedigrees to be sent to him, under the pretext of seeing if they were correct. No sooner were they handed over than he caused them all to be burnt. This holocaust took place on the 12th of January, 1682, Old Style. In this disturbance of the institutions of the country, which had lasted for centuries, there seemed a prophetic indication of the great changes which were to occur, when Russia was to throw aside the semi-Asiatic tradition which had possessed her since the occupation of the Mongols and become again a European power. The great regenerator was now in his boyhood, but his hand was soon to be felt.



VII.

IVAN AND PETER—THE REGENCY OF SOPHIA— REGENERATION OF RUSSIA UNDER PETER THE GREAT.

(1682-1725.)

ON the death of Feodore the country seemed to have arrived at a great crisis. The Court was broken up into several factions, the two most prominent being those of the Miloslavskis and the Golitsins. Ivan, the next son of Alexis in order of birth, was even more infirm than Feodore; had the Narishkins, the relatives of the second wife of Alexis, succeeded in their plans, he would have been set aside and Peter elected as Tsar in his place. But Sophia, the daughter of Alexis by his first wife, was a woman of remarkable talent and energy. She had been an affectionate daughter, and had carefully tended her father in his last illness. According to some writers she was tall and of a coarse appearance. Perry, however, Peter's English engineer, who if he did not see her himself, must have conversed with many in Russia who did, calls her a "handsome young lady." She was certainly a very clever woman, and Peter is said to have often regretted that her ambition should

have compelled him to immure her in a cloister. Her strength of character and self-reliance are the more remarkable from the secluded life which a woman was at that time compelled to lead in Russia. She did not wish to see her brother Ivan set aside, nor the triumph of the family of her step-mother. In conjunction with Basil Golitsin she fomented the



IVAN.

FEODORE.

revolt of the Streltsi, the most important of the Russian soldiers, like the Roman prætoriani. A sanguinary outbreak occurred which lasted three days, from the 15th to the 18th of May, 1682. In the course of it about seventy persons perished, including the two brothers of the Tsaritsa Natalia and the Boyar Matvéiev, her guardian. The same fate

befel a Dutch physician, who was practising at Moscow, and was considered a sorcerer by the multitude. Ivan and Peter were finally declared joint sovereigns, and Sophia was to be regent during their minority, an office which she actually held for seven years. Golitsin now obtained an unbounded influence over Sophia, and even pretended to her hand, but such a marriage was impossible; moreover, he was very unpopular among the Streltsi. In 1686 he signed at Moscow a treaty with Poland, by which the possession of Kiev was confirmed to Russia. In 1687 a Russian ambassador appeared at the Court of Louis XIV. We have already alluded to the French embassy of 1629, which was, however, not absolutely the first intercourse between Russia and France. In 1615, two years after his accession, Michael had sent a complimentary embassy to Louis XIII. But as the French were at that time very much occupied with their own affairs, and knew and cared but little about Russia, no notice was taken of this communication.

From the curious "*Mémoire touchant la conduite qu'ont tenue en France les ambassadeurs de Moscovie en 1687,*" we get a strange description of the conduct of the ambassadors. They behaved so badly that they were compelled to quit France without seeing the king. From the commencement of the eighteenth century we shall see that regular communications were kept up between the courts of France and Russia. The Russians found it so difficult to get ambassadors to send to foreign countries, who spoke anything beside Russian, that they frequently



PRINCESS SOPHIA.

in early times employed foreigners. Thus in the time of the Tsar Alexis, we have Patrick Gordon sent to Charles II., in 1666, and Menzies, of Pitfodels (called in some of the documents *Menesius*), sent to Berlin and Rome. As we shall only occasionally allude to the Russian ambassadors in England, we may here find place for the story of Matvéiev, who visited England in the reign of Anne in that capacity. In 1709 he was arrested for debt by one Thomas Morton, a laceman. This occurrence greatly surprised Peter and irritated him; in consequence an Act was passed which declared the persons and property of ambassadors absolutely free from process for any civil cause. We have seen this statute again put in force in the year 1888.

In 1687 Basil Golitsin conducted a campaign against the Tatars of the Crimea. Besides other sources, the details of this expedition are known to us from the diary of Gordon. He was quarter-master-general in the expedition, which ended in failure. By the middle of June the army had reached the lower steppes of the Dnieper, but want of forage compelled the invaders to beat a retreat, for the grass had been set on fire by the Tatars, or perhaps by the Cossack allies of the invading force, who looked upon the expedition with little favour. The troops were disbanded, and Gordon, as a reward for his services, was made general, but he was in some peril from the ecclesiastical powers, as the Patriarch prophesied disaster to the Russians so long as their best troops were commanded by a heretic. In 1689 Peter married Evdokia (*Eudoxia*) Lopukhina, but the



EUDOXIA.

union was not a happy one. By her he had two children, the elder being the unfortunate Alexis; the younger died in infancy. In the same year broke out the second revolt of the Streltsi and the great struggle for power between Sophia and her brother Peter. The latter fled for safety to the monastery of the Troitsa, and summoned the troops to follow him. Gordon by marching with the soldiers under his command to the aid of Peter earned his everlasting gratitude. Four days afterwards Peter entered Moscow in triumph, and put many of the conspirators to death. Sophia was sent to a convent, where she died after a seclusion of fifteen years. Golitsin, her chief minister, was tried for having carried out her orders, and for the mismanagement of the Crimean campaign. He had been long very unpopular both among the boyars and the people, as the stories told by Father Avril testify. According to this writer, when Golitsin was on one occasion going to the Tsar's palace, a man threw himself into his sledge, seized him by the beard, and tried to stab him, but he was prevented by the prince's servants, who were following the sledge and ran up in time. The would-be assassin persisted in his attempt till overpowered, and then burst into a torrent of abuse. He told the obnoxious minister that there were three hundred citizens ready to take his life, and that although he had escaped on this occasion, he would probably be slain on the next. The man was immediately dragged to prison and executed. On another occasion, just before his departure for the army, a coffin was found at his palace gate, with a note in it,

containing these words : "Golitsin, unless the campaign thou are going to open prove more successful than the former, thou canst not avoid this." The minister was sentenced to be exiled with his son Alexis to Jarensk, a town in the government of Vologda ; his titles and wealth were taken from him. In 1693 he was removed to the fort of Pustozersk in the government of Archangel and died at Pinega in 1713, aged eighty years. Alexis, his eldest son, received back part of his father's property, and died in 1734.

The imbecile Ivan was quite willing to give up all his authority to Peter, and from this time the rule of the latter may be said to begin. Ivan died in 1696, at the early age of thirty ; he had married Praskovia Saltikova, a member of one of the most celebrated Russian families, who long survived him, dying in 1723 at the age of fifty-nine. He had three daughters, Catherine, Anna, and Praskovia ; of the first two of these we shall hear much more in the course of our narrative. The sovereign who now really directed the affairs of Russia, was seventeen years of age, having been born in 1672. As he had been a great observer even in his childhood, he had amused himself with boats and military exercises, and he was much under the influence of the intelligent foreigners who surrounded him, such as Gordon and Lefort, a Genevese who had come to Russia in 1676.

Peter seems from his earliest youth to have had great fondness for practical mechanics. He lamented in the latter part of his life the superficial character of the education which he had received, and we have proofs how much reason he had for complaining

when we see his many mistakes in orthography. The Dutchman, Timmermann, gave him instructions in shipbuilding, and Gordon and Lefort in military tactics. Peter was also instructed in arithmetic; of languages besides his own, Dutch is the only one with which he can be said to have had any real acquaintance. This was the language in which he conversed with William III. when he paid his visit to England. He was fond of signing his name Peter or Pieter in Dutch fashion, as we see in many of his letters.

We begin to feel his activity about the year 1695, when war with the Turks having been decided upon, Gordon was ordered to march against Azov, the same fortress which had been offered by the Cossacks of the Don to the Tsar Michael when as yet the Russians were not strong enough to take it. His first attack was unsuccessful, owing, as Gordon alleges, to the defection of a German—or as others say, a Dutch gunner, named Jansen—who, on account of some fancied slight, deserted to the enemy. It was taken, however, in the following year, chiefly through the skill of Gordon, and the Tsar made a triumphant entry into Moscow, bringing with him the wretched engineer, whom the Turks were obliged to surrender, although he had turned Mussulman. After the great procession was over, he was executed. In the next year (1697), Peter, accompanied by the Swiss Lefort, who had entered his service, and two Russian generals, Golovin and Vosnitsin, set out upon his travels. He went to Saardam in Holland, where he worked in the dockyard under the name of Peter Mikhailov, or Peter Baas (Master) as the Dutch called him. The

certificate of his efficiency in various handicrafts, which he received from the head of the dockyard—one Gerrit Claesz Pool—is still preserved. He then went to England. A house was first provided for him in York Buildings by the water side. We are told that he visited the king—Queen Mary was at this time dead—the Princess Anne, and many of the nobility, but he especially liked the society of the



SAYES COURT, DEPTFORD.

Marquis of Carmarthen, on account of his nautical skill, and “would row and sail with him upon the water,” Perry adds, “of which obligations and kindness of my Lord Marquis to him I have many times heard him speak with great affection; as indeed he often does of England in general, and what he observed here. And I have often heard him say that he designs to take a turn hither again, when he has

peace settled in his own country, and has often declared to his lords, when he has been a little merry, that he thinks it a much happier life to be an admiral in England than a Tsar in Russia."

During his stay Peter worked at the dockyard at Deptford, and Sayes Court, the seat of Evelyn, was hired for him by the Government. It was spacious and agreeable, and possessed the further advantage of providing easy access to the dockyard by means of a back door, so that he could pass in and out uninterruptedly, and without being stared at by the curious, to which, as we shall find from many passages in his history, Peter always had a very great objection. Evelyn had previously let his premises to Captain Benbow, afterwards the well-known admiral, who underlet them to the Government for the use of the Tsar. Great was the scandal caused to the precise and fastidious Englishman, when he heard of the damage done by Peter to his elegant beds and curtains, and the demolition of his well-trimmed holly hedges. Benbow sent into the Lords of the Treasury an account of the injuries done by Peter, and demanded compensation. He alleges that the place is "in so bad a condition that he can scarcely describe it to your honours, besides much of the furniture broke, lost, and destroyed." A survey was accordingly made, and the total damages were assessed at £350 9s. 6d. Some of the items are very amusing. Nearly all the locks were broken; perhaps Peter had been studying practical mechanics. "All the grass worke is out of order, and broke into holes by their leaping and shewing tricks upon it." At the present

time there are only a few remains of the house which belonged to Evelyn ; it is surrounded by dwellings inhabited by the poorer classes, but a street which leads to it is still called after the Tsar. During his stay Peter had frequent interviews with the king, he also visited the Princess Anne and Burnet, the Bishop of Salisbury. In the evening he is reported to have sometimes visited incognito a little public-house on Tower Hill, where he smoked and drank brandy with Menshikov and some of his favourites.

Peter stayed only three months in England, and went little beyond London and Deptford. He appears, however, to have visited Oxford, although the records of the university contain no mention of his journey. The king, in order to please his royal visitor, caused a sham sea-fight to take place at Spithead. He was allowed to enlist such Englishmen as he chose into his service, and on his departure was presented by the king with the royal transport, "which was," adds Perry, "much the finest and best yacht then in England, built frigate fashion, carrying twenty-four guns, and contrived by my lord Marquis of Carmarthen, on purpose for the king's own use in crossing the sea during the war." On this journey Peter did not visit France : he seems, according to St. Simon, to have received hints from that government that he would not be cordially received. Only the year before the peace of Ryswick had been signed. He accordingly proceeded to Vienna, where he was received with honour by the Emperor Leopold, who, a few years before had owed the preservation of his crown to a Slavonic sovereign, John Sobieski. From Vienna

he was about to proceed to Venice, when news reached him of the revolt of the Streltsi. It was the last desperate struggle of the reactionary party before the reforms of the Tsar were placed upon a firm foundation. Peter had left Gordon in command during his absence ; he had marched against the mutineers, and defeated them : some he had put to death, others he kept in prison till the arrival of the Tsar. By September of the year 1698, the latter was already in Moscow, and had begun a series of cruel punishments, which stained his name, and have been minutely recorded by Korb and others who were present. And so the walls of the Kremlin were covered with the corpses, and the squares of Moscow were deluged with the blood of the Streltsi, old-fashioned Muscovite soldiers who had risen against the "foreign" Tsar and the "Germans," under which collective name the uneducated Russian includes all strangers. The Streltsi are still remembered in the popular songs :—

“ Out of the Kremlin the strong city,
 Out of the palace of the Emperor,
 That is on the Red Square,
 There lay a broad road ;
 And it was by the broad road
 That they led a fine youth to be put to death ;
 A fine youth, a great boyar.
 A great boyar, a chief of the Streltsi,
 For treason against the mighty Tsar.
 The youth comes—he does not falter—
 He looks quickly on all the people.
 But even there he does not humble himself before the Tsar,
 Before him goes the terrible executioner ;
 In his hands he bears the sharp axe.
 And after him come the father and mother,
 The father, the mother, the young wife.

They weep—as floweth the river—
They moan—as the brooks murmur,
And amid their groans they say :
Thou, our dear child,
Humble thee to the Tsar,
Bring to him thy confession,
In order that the Tsar may pity thee,
And leave thy rebellious head on thy sturdy shoulders.
But the heart of the youth is hardened :
He opposes the Tsar and is obstinate ;
He neither listens to father nor mother ;
He has no pity for his young wife,
He feels no pang for his children.
They have taken him to the Red Square,
They have cut off the rebellious head,
Which was on his sturdy shoulders.”

The reactionary party was silenced by Peter, but never quelled by him ; they lasted throughout his reign, and openly rejoiced at his death. We must bear these facts in mind in order to understand fairly, even though we cannot justify, the conduct of Peter to his son.

The year following the suppression of the revolt, Gordon died, and his eyes were closed by the Tsar, who honoured him with a splendid funeral. Lefort also died the same year. But no sooner had Peter found a little rest after breaking the force of the reactionary party, than we find him engaged in struggles with the Cossacks, who reluctantly endured any interference with their independent mode of living. The strange military republic of the Sech was still flourishing. In 1706 the Cossacks of the Don revolted, their rebellion being quelled with much bloodshed ; and in 1709, Mazeppa, the hetman of the Zaporozhian Cossacks, joined Charles XII. in his invasion of



CHARLES XII.

From a portrait in the British Museum.)

Russia. This last of the wikings, as he has been appropriately called, was roused into activity by the manifest designs of Peter to seize the Baltic provinces to which his ancestors had had some sort of claim, and we have seen how eager Ivan IV. was to secure a passage to the Baltic. By the treaty of Stolbovo in 1617, as has been already mentioned, Russia had been compelled to surrender to Sweden Ingermanland and Karelia. In 1660, Poland had ceded the greatest part of Livonia with all Esthonia to Sweden by the treaty of Oliva. Both Russia and Poland were eager to regain certain portions of this disputed territory. Accordingly, a secret treaty was entered into between Peter and the Polish king, Augustus, but the alliance was fruitful in disastrous consequences to the latter. Peter invaded Esthonia and Augustus Livonia, but they met with a foeman worthy of their steel in Charles, one of the foremost captains of the age, full of youthful vigour and eager to distinguish himself. The Russian Tsar was defeated at Narva in 1700, and both Warsaw and Cracow were taken by the victorious Swedes; Peter thirsted to repair this great disaster, which gave him a useful lesson in the art of war. The tide soon turned in his favour; his general, Sheremetiev, gained some successes over the Swedes, and by the conquest of Noteburg an important position was acquired. Close by the Neva discharges itself into the Baltic, and thus the Russians obtained the control of this river; in consequence of its strategic importance Peter changed the name of the place into Schlüsselburg, from the German *schlüssel*, a key, and such is its appellation



ROUBLES OF 1704 AND 1707.
Reign of Peter the Great.

at the present day. One cannot help feeling a little surprise that Peter should have given it a German, instead of a Russian name, but he followed the same plan in Cronstadt and St. Petersburg. Sheremetiev and his officers made a triumphant entry into Moscow (December 17, 1702). Success still attended the arms of Peter: he captured Dorpat, which in old times had belonged to the republic of Novgorod, and finally Narva in 1704, where he had previously suffered a defeat. The Russian soldiers committed great cruelties in the provinces of Esthonia and Livonia, which, like the Danubian territories, have often been the scenes of the struggles between rival powers. We have read in a previous chapter how much they suffered from Ivan the Terrible. Peter now marched to the assistance of Augustus, but got no farther than Grodno, where he remained in a fortified position. Hampered by the failures of his allies he was able to do but little, and finally fell back upon Kiev, but toward the end of 1706 Menshikov inflicted a severe defeat upon the Swedish general, Mardefelt, at Kalisch. In Poland, however, Charles had been completely victorious, and the contemptible Augustus was forced to sign the humiliating treaty of Altranstadt (1706), one of the conditions of which was the surrender of Patkul to Charles. The fate of this unfortunate man has left a deep stain upon the memory of the Swedish king; he was a Livonian nobleman, who had been deputed by the states of his native province to carry a complaint to Charles XI. of Sweden, father of the famous captain, of the pillage which his country had undergone under Swedish

rule. The king received him with affected sympathy ; touching him gently on the shoulder, he said, " You have spoken for your country as a brave man : I esteem you, go on." But a few days afterwards he caused him to be declared guilty of treason, and condemned to death. Patkul, however, had the good fortune to get out of the country before he could be arrested, and betook himself to the court of Augustus, before whom he narrated the griefs of his people, and showed how easily Poland might get possession of it. Augustus was exceedingly unwilling to give up the refugee, who was immured in the castle of Königstein, in Saxony. He accordingly sent guards to surrender the prisoner, but at the same time issued a secret order to the governor to let him escape. The governor, however, knowing that Patkul was rich, wished to get a sum of money from him as price of his liberty ; and the Livonian, knowing the intentions of Augustus, refused to pay for what he thought had been freely given him. While they were wrangling on the matter, the Swedish officers came up and seized Patkul ; he was first taken to Altranstadt, where he remained three months, fastened by a heavy chain to a gallows, and from thence was conducted to Casimir (Kazmierz), where he was broken on the wheel.

Charles now resolved to invade Russia ; he crossed the Niemen, advanced through Minsk, passed the Beresina — so famous in the great struggle with Napoleon — and at Dobroë, south of Smolensk, had his first encounter with the Russians, where he met with a stout resistance, and only escaped by a miracle. As the winter was approaching he was



MENSHIKOV.

advised to fall back upon Mohilev, and there await his general, Löwenhaupt, who was to follow with 18,000 men from Poland ; but yielding to the persuasions of Mazeppa, who offered to put 30,000 Cossacks at his disposal, he turned south in the direction of the Ukraine. At Lesna, on the banks of the Sozha, Löwenhaupt, who had failed to unite his forces with those of his master, was completely defeated : a stone, the inscription of which has been very much obliterated by time, now marks the spot. The Swedish general not only lost a great number of men but many waggons of provisions, which were to victual the whole army. When he reached Charles he brought nothing but the wreck of his army. The sufferings of the invaders were intense, but the mad king, who himself shared every privation, cared but little for all this. Meanwhile Menshikov sacked Baturin, the residence of Mazeppa, and razed the Sech to the ground. On the 8th of July, 1709, the armies of the Tsar and Charles met at Poltava. The rout of the Swedes was complete : they lost 10,000 men in the battle, and about 3,000 were taken prisoners. Charles had acted with consummate recklessness throughout : he was an example of a type of man which is probably by no means extinct in our own days, but becomes more frequent the further back we trace our steps in history the man of frank violence, who looks upon war as the only becoming occupation of kings and gentlemen. He is the "bully in his boots, who hides the march of men from us." By his mad exploits he undid the work of the great Gustavus Adolphus, and Sweden,



FLIGHT AFTER IOLTAVA.

which for fifty years had occupied the accidental position of a first-class European power, was now to recede into the background, and Russia was to rise upon her ruins. How often has this brave but unfortunate people had to suffer for the folly of its rulers! We see her towards the close of the same century exhausted by the extravagance of the foolish French fop, Gustavus III. ; and almost dismembered, like Poland, through the bewildered and fatuous policy of Gustavus IV.

Charles, who had been wounded some days before the battle of Poltava, and had been carried at the head of his troops in a litter, with difficulty reached the confines of Turkey, in the company of Mazeppa and a few Swedes. Peter severely punished the Cossacks for the assistance which they gave to Charles on this occasion. The office of hetman now became merely nominal, and, after being given to Court favourites, we shall find it abolished by Catherine in 1789. Mazeppa expired a few months afterwards, or would probably have met with his death at the hand of the public executioner, as the Russian ambassador had demanded his surrender from the Turks. His defection from Peter had been wholly unexpected, but shows that the Cossacks continued to be restless. He had always affected the greatest zeal for the service of the Tsar, and had been present with him at the siege of Azov. He had concluded, however, a secret treaty with Stanislaus Leszczynski, who had been put up as a rival king of Poland to Augustus, by which, on the appearance of Charles XII. in Russia—and he was to enter the country by way

of the Ukraine—his forces were to join those of the Swedish king, and the Cossacks were afterwards to remain under the government of Poland, the hetman himself receiving the towns of Vitebsk and Polotsk. Mazeppa, being childless, had adopted the son of his sister, named Voinarovski, and had sent him to Germany to be educated. On the uncle's death Charles appointed him to succeed as hetman, but he quitted Russia after the Swedish disasters, and lived for a time in great state at some of the German courts. Unfortunately he conceived the idea of returning to Russia, and throwing himself on the mercy of Peter. His life was spared; he was, however, banished to Siberia, and was seen there by the German traveller Müller in 1736, near the shores of Lake Baikal. The story of Voinarovski's life forms the subject of an interesting poem by Rileyev, one of the Dekabrists, about whom we shall hear more at the beginning of the reign of Nicholas. Peter now repudiated his wife Eudoxia, with whom he never seems to have lived happily, although some very affectionate letters from her to him are extant, and have been published in the collection of imperial epistles. She was placed in a convent; but we shall not hear the last of her till the reign of her grandson, Peter II. The Tsar now married in 1712 Martha Skavronskaya, a Livonian or Lithuanian peasant, who had been taken prisoner at the siege of Marienburg in 1702. Her previous history is very obscure, but enough is known to prove that she was of very humble extraction. During the life of Peter none of her relatives were allowed to visit Russia, but after his death we


shall find them invited by his widow, having handsome pensions settled upon them, and intermarrying with the aristocratic families of Russia. However strange this may appear to Western ideas, we must remember that it is in entire keeping with the traditions of a despotism, and especially an Oriental one, the theory of which is that all honour flows from the sovereign, who can make and unmake at his pleasure. Catherine appears to have been a good-tempered woman, who was always obedient to her husband, and knew how to soothe his irritability. To the day of her death she was unable to write her name. Some of our readers may remember the droll account given of her by the Margravine of Baireuth, the sister of Frederick the Great, in her memoirs, which have gained a wider celebrity by having been quoted by Carlyle in his life of the Prussian hero; but we ought to be careful how far we place confidence in the malicious gossip collected by this woman. Carlyle now and then shows a want of critical faculty. In the same way he accepted with complete credulity the fictitious Squire papers.

Peter now set about his great reforms, both ecclesiastical and temporal. He abolished the patriarchate because it was too independent of the sovereign, one of whose duties it was to lead the ass on which the Patriarch sat in solemn procession on Palm Sunday. Peter had studied the government of the Protestant Churches in the West of Europe, especially the English, and on his return issued his *Reglement*, or Regulation of the Church, in 1721—but concerning this subject more will be said in the chapter on Russian

Institutions. He based nobility upon civil and military service, and caused all the nobles to be employed in some office of state; he divided the merchants into guilds; but unfortunately did nothing for the amelioration of the condition of the peasant. We have already shown how the law of Boris had chained the free peasant to the soil. Peter had a mischievous influence in confounding in one category with the common serf the *odnovortsi*, a privileged class—like the *villenagium privilegiatum* of our own early law, and the *polovniki*, a kind of metayers. The subject of Russian serfdom will be fully considered in a subsequent chapter. Peter attempted to introduce primogeniture into the succession of property, but we shall find it repealed in the time of the Empress Anne. It seems to have been alien to the spirit of Russian institutions.

He now aimed at completely altering the usages of Russian society. The women had lived in their *terems* in old Russia in almost Oriental seclusion, ignorant and neglected. Peter established his *assemblées*, as he called them, in which the sexes associated as they did in the West. Oriental prostrations and the semi-oriental dress worn by Russians were forbidden. The caftan was exchanged for coats *à la Louis Quatorze*, and the heads of Russians were covered with flowing *perruques*. The beard, which the Russians regarded as almost a sacred ornament, was to be shaved by order of the Emperor, although by paying a tax a man was to be allowed to wear it, and a kind of metal token was given to those who had this permission, on which was re-

presented a beard. Some of these coins are still preserved in Russia. Never was there a more complete change in the organization of society or in its daily habits, or one more violently brought about, and hence some authors have not hesitated to say that Peter "knouted" the Russians into civilization. Most of these changes, however, were absolutely necessary, and many useful laws were enacted; thus, among others, the *praviozh*, or public flagellation of debtors, was abolished, a degrading custom about which more will be said in another part of our work. The Russians gave up the habit of counting from the creation of the world and beginning the year in September. Unlike his semi-Asiatic predecessors, who remained in a kind of Chinese isolation, Peter began to have a European policy. He took advantage of the exile of Charles XII. at Bender to drive Stanislaus Leszczyński out of Poland, and Augustus II., as contemptible a man as his competitor was worthy of esteem, was able to re-enter Warsaw. Peter was pursuing a gradual policy of annexing the Baltic provinces, so as to get a northern outlet for his huge empire. He conquered Esthonia and Livonia; and although he did not succeed in acquiring Courland, yet he paved the way for its annexation by negotiating a marriage between the Duke of that province and his niece Anne, daughter of his brother Ivan, as the following table will show:—



Livonia was of the highest importance, as being the key to the Baltic. Ivan the Terrible had already been eager to acquire it. The unfortunate country became the cockpit of the continual struggles of the Russians, Swedes, and Poles, and played the same part as the Duchy of Milan at the beginning of the sixteenth century, and the Low Countries and Roumania at various periods of European history. Since the peace of Westphalia (1648) Sweden had acquired a pre-eminence among the northern states of Europe, which lasted till she had been exhausted by the mad expeditions of Charles XII. By this treaty she gained on the Continent of Europe, in addition to her other possessions, Western Pomerania, Rügen, Wismar, and Bremen. Other portions of territory were added by the peace of Roeskilde in 1658, which she acquired at the expense of Denmark. We can thus realize how important was the trial of strength between Russia and Sweden which was put to the test in the time of Peter the Great. An expedition which he undertook against the Turks resulted in failure, and by the treaty of the Pruth in 1711 he gave back Azov to the Turks, after all the pains it had cost him to acquire it and the strategical skill of Gordon. It was not regained till the time of Catherine II., and its importance has been completely effaced by the rise of the flourishing town of Rostov. Grave doubts have been thrown in recent times upon the letter which Peter is said to have written to the Senate, telling them that if he was captured they were to pay no attention to any of the orders which he issued while under duress. This document is first

referred to by Staehlin, who quotes it as having been told him by Peter Stcherbatov. It is not mentioned in the "Memoirs of Moreau de Brasey," an adventurer in the service of Peter, who was present in the campaign, and has left us many interesting details. This officer tells us of the large bribes which the Turkish officials received, and some of them paid dearly for their betrayal of their master's interests. The Grand Vizier was sent to the island of Lemnos, and several of his subordinates were executed.

In 1717 the Tsar undertook another European tour; he had his wife with him during some part of the journey, visiting Copenhagen and Lübeck, and finally Amsterdam, where he stayed three months. Thence he made a journey to Paris, where he arrived in the month of May. He was well received by the regent who was governing during the minority of Louis XV.; his grandfather, Louis XIV., had died in 1715. Disliking all pomp, Peter refused the apartments prepared for him in the Louvre, and took up his abode in the Marais, at the Hôtel Lesdiguière, belonging to Marshal Villeroi. He surprised the courtiers by taking on one occasion the young king in his arms. He returned from his foreign tour to St. Petersburg on the 21st of October, 1717. In the following year his great enemy, Charles XII., was killed at Frederikshall in Norway. The Swedish Diet renewed the war with the Tsar, and in the years 1719 and 1720 the Russian troops committed great ravages in the Swedish territory. Finally in 1721 the Swedes were willing to treat for peace, and the treaty of Nystad was signed, which guaranteed to Peter Livonia, Esthonia, Ingria

Carélia, and the districts of Vyburg and Kexholm in Finnish territory.

We must now retrace our steps to describe the foundation of the new capital, St. Petersburg. This magnificent city was commenced in 1703; thousands of men were transplanted from their homes to carry out the gigantic work, the Malo-Russians contributing large quotas, for Peter was anxious to break the spirit of that people, and it must be confessed that the Tsar showed himself throughout the whole undertaking reckless of human life. The building advanced rapidly. From this time the sovereigns were no longer to be buried in the church of St. Michael of Moscow, but in that of the fortress of St. Peter and Paul at St. Petersburg; and such has been the case with all, with the single exception of Peter II., whose tendencies were reactionary, and who spent his days at Moscow.

But it was not only to the West that the eyes of Peter were turned; he had also his ambition in the East. In 1715 he sent an embassy to the Shah of Persia under the care of Artemii Volînski, one of the most capable statesmen of the time, whose sad fate fills one of the most melancholy pages of Russian history. In 1722 Peter became embroiled with Persia and seized Baku, now so celebrated for its oilwells and other strategical points, thus opening a way to the Caspian.

But we now come to the saddest event of his life, the mysterious death of his son Alexis. In order to explain the circumstances of this event we must a little retrace our steps. It has already been

mentioned that Peter had divorced his wife, Eudoxia Lopukhina. Of her he rapidly grew tired, even if he had ever felt any affection, and there is something pathetic in the poor woman's letters during her early married days which have been preserved. Moreover, she was in close relations with the reactionary party who opposed Peter's reforms, and she seems to have trained her son Alexis in the same opinions. After the siege of Azov Peter banished her to a monastery at Suzdál. Alexis grew up a stolid and obstinate youth, and spent his time with monks. Peter had originally built great hopes upon him, and had drawn up with his own hand elaborate plans for his education. One of his tutors was a German, named Weber, who wrote an interesting work on Russia. When Peter saw the idleness and folly of his son, he commenced a series of remonstrances which were wholly fruitless; his constant answer to his father was that he wished to become a monk. He had married the Princess Charlotte of Brunswick—an alliance planned by Peter—and although his wife was an amiable woman, and of considerable personal attractions, Alexis treated her with cruelty and neglect. She died at an early age, leaving two children—Peter, afterwards emperor, and a daughter named Natalia. While his father was on his second tour in the west of Europe Alexis fled into Germany and hid himself in various places, first at the castle of Ehrenberg in the Tyrol, and afterwards at St. Elmo near Naples. He was finally tracked by Tolstoi, the Tsar's emissary, and induced to return to Moscow. On his arrival a



ALEXIS.



PRINCESS CHARLOTTE.

deplorable scene occurred with his angry father. Peter compelled him to sign a formal act in which he renounced all his rights to the crown. He had discovered that his son was deeply implicated in a conspiracy, and was in close relations with his father's enemies. He had even betrayed his country's interests to foreign powers, such as Sweden and Germany; he had also openly expressed his desire of his father's death. Eudoxia, although nominally in a monastery and living as a nun, in reality held a little court in which she was surrounded by the discontented, especially the priestly element. To the latter class the reforms of Peter were beyond measure odious, and we are told by Perry that after some of his ecclesiastical changes, pieces of paper were found about the streets threatening him with assassination. Peter, to punish his rebellious wife, had sent her, under stricter surveillance, to the monastery of New Ladoga. His position toward his son was now of the most embarrassing nature. Alexis had avowed his intention, on the death of his father, of returning to Moscow and the old Asiatic life with its picturesque barbarisms. St. Petersburg was to be abandoned, and the territory which had been gained from Sweden. With St. Petersburg was to go also the infant navy of Russia, over which Peter had laboured so earnestly, and to create which he had undergone the drudgery of Saardam and Deptford. This foolish and vicious young man, without a generous impulse and without filial or conjugal affection, was sullenly relying upon time to put it in his power to reduce to a cypher the labours

of the great master-worker. Such was the son. On the other hand the father had by birth all the traditions of the Eastern despot, at whose disposal the lives of wife, children, and subjects are put unconditionally—such as Ivan had formulated the creed in his well-known letter to Prince Kurbski—he had also the Byzantine example of the sovereign who made Christianity a political institution, the Emperor Constantine, whom the Church has not hesitated to enrol among its saints. Peter laid aside all the feelings of a father, and saw in his miserable son only a traitor to the prosperity and dignity of Russia. Alexis was tried by a tribunal of the highest functionaries of the State, and was sentenced to death. The exact method of his execution is not known, even after the elaborate work of Ustrialov on the reign of Peter the Great, but it appears highly probable that he expired under the knout. Some have stated that he was beheaded, others that he was poisoned, but there is no doubt whatever that the miserable man met with a violent death. To Peter we can only apply the words which Virgil has associated with Brutus, the slayer of his son:—

“*Infelix, utcumque ferent ea facta nepotes.*”

In 1721 Peter promulgated a ukase (afterwards abrogated by Paul) that the sovereign had the right of naming his successor. On January 28, 1725, he died at the early age of fifty-three years. He had worn out his vigorous constitution by his vast labours and careless manner of living. The people thus lamented him in a contemporary folk-song:—

“ Ah ! thou dear bright moon,
Why dost thou not shine as heretofore,
Not as heretofore, as of old time ?
From the evening to midnight,
From midnight to the white day,
Thou hidest thyself altogether behind the clouds—
Thou art concealed by a dark mist !
With us in holy Russia.
In the glorious city of Petersburg,
In the cathedral of Peter and Paul,
On the right-hand side of the *kleros*,
By the grave of the Tsar,
By the grave of Peter the First,
Of Peter the First the Great,
The young sergeant prays to God,
He weeps like the river flows,
At the early death of the Tsar,
Our lord, Peter the Great,
With his groans he utters a word—
Open, thou damp, mother earth,
On all four sides !
Burst, thou coffin-plank,
Fall apart, thou golden pall,
Arise and wake, our Master,
Awake, thou our father, thou orthodox Tsar,
Look upon thy beloved soldiers,
Thy dear and brave ones ;
Without thee we are but orphans,
We are orphans, we are powerless.”

It is difficult to estimate accurately the Titanic figure of Peter. He was a strange mixture of virtues and vices. Inheriting all the traditions of despotism, we must not feel surprised if, like our own Edward I. he had no scruple in removing any obstacles which appeared in his path. To the same source must be traced his lack of self-rule and physical restraint. He was descended, we must remember, from a long line of semi-Asiatic barbarians, and if he had not been a

man of powerful genius, would have been content as they were with the idleness and luxury of a palace,

“Like one of nature’s fools who feed on praise.”

He was willing to abandon all these pleasures, so captivating to the ordinary mind ; to put himself, as it were, to school ; to endure privations and labour in order to break with a system against which his intellect rebelled. There was genius in his sense of the dignity of labour and his unquenchable thirst for knowledge. The active brain was ever at work : all persons who came in contact with him were struck with the vigour and originality of his mind—and not the least William III., one of the wisest sovereigns of his day. The reverse of the medal is less pleasing—his recklessness of human life, his intemperance, and the brutality shown to his miserable son. Had he been a Turkish sultan this last-mentioned crime would have been according to the natural order of things ; but the strong European side of Peter makes us forget his Asiatic training.

Many have challenged the utility of the innovations which he introduced into Russia. Would it not have been better, they say, to have allowed the country to develop itself gradually and not to force upon it a premature ripeness ? But to this it may be answered that all the best men who had written on Russia under the old order of things, saw that amelioration could only result from without. Such was the opinion of Krizhanich and Kotishikhin—two shrewd observers of the seventeenth century. At the beginning of his reign Peter found Russia Asiatic, he left her



MEDAL STRUCK TO COMMEMORATE THE TAKING OF NOTEBURG IN 1702

European. He created a navy and gave her an outlet on the Baltic, the attempt to force a passage by the embouchure of the Don at Azov having failed. Instead of the disorderly, badly-accountered regiments of the Streltsi, he gave Russia an army clothed and disciplined on the European model. He added many provinces to the empire, constructed canals, developed many industries, and caused serviceable books to be translated into Russian, so that his ignorant subjects might be instructed. He gave Russia libraries and museums, galleries of painting and sculpture ; and, finally, from an obscure barbaric power, isolated from her European sister kingdoms, he created a powerful empire, recognized as one of the most important States and able to make its voice heard in the councils of Europe. Many volumes of anecdotes about this remarkable man have been published. They show him abounding in lively sallies, quick-witted and shrewd, simple in his tastes, and with the natural contempt of a man of genius for pomp and millinery. No man enjoyed more—perhaps even in a boyish manner—scandalizing the proprieties of conventional persons, immersed in ignorance and conceit, such as those with whom Russia abounded. For the pompous boyars to see their Tsar sometimes going about without an attendant and wearing an old shabby blue coat—or showing them a pair of horse shoes which he had made—or sitting smoking a pipe with a newly-arrived Dutch or English skipper, must indeed have been an indescribable shock. He honours now and then heretics like Gordon and Lefort by accepting an invitation to dine at their houses,

navy

army



ROUBLE OF 1710.
Reign of Peter the Great.

and standing godfather to their children. But again we think of the reverse of the medal, the paroxysms of rage and the ruthlessly cruel punishments. The man is from beginning to end an enigma; but it is impossible to deny his claims to genius, or to the title which has been ungrudgingly assigned him—Peter the Great.





VIII.

THE REFORMS OF PETER IN ABEYANCE—REIGNS
OF CATHERINE I, PETER II, ANNE, IVAN VI,
AND ELIZABETH.

(1725-1762.)

THE death of Peter found the Russian Court divided into two powerful factions. The reactionary party, filled with Russians of the old school, who had looked upon the reforms of Peter with no favourable eye, such as the Golitsins and the Dolgorukis, were anxious to raise to the throne Peter, the son of Alexis a mere boy ; whereas the party of progress, led by Menshikov, wished that Catherine, the Tsar's widow, should succeed, so that the reforms of Peter might not be arrested as there seemed every chance would be the case. They urged that although he had made no will yet she had been solemnly crowned, and the Russians had taken the oath of fidelity to her. But these were poor arguments. She was popular with the army, as being the wife of the great general and Tsar, and as she was a woman of indolent disposition, Peter's favourites hoped to carry on the Government under her name. In reality the idea of a female sovereign

h. 202

Nothing important

*Grandson of Peter the Great
d. of Peter's eldest brother
Ivan*

*daughter of Cath I = Peter's great
nephew of Anne*



CATHERINE I.

*wife of Peter, Great
guided by Menshikov*

was a novelty to the Russians ; in the whole list of their rulers none as yet had appeared. We have seen how the ambitious Sophia failed, and the idea met with great opposition from several quarters. Put the party of reform finally triumphed. Catherine was elected the successor of her husband, and the chief authority fell into the hands of Alexander Menshikoy, the Tsar's favourite, who is said to have formerly when a boy sold cakes about the streets of Moscow. Being struck with his ability, Peter first made him his page and afterwards promoted him by gradations till he reached the highest offices of the State. We shall shortly have to contemplate the pathetic spectacle of his exile and death. He was now, however, virtually the ruler of Russia. Some legal proceedings which had been commenced against him for peculation were stopped ; he received an additional gift of fifty thousand peasants ; and, among other possessions, the town of Baturin in the Ukraine was conferred upon him, which had formerly belonged to the rebel Mazeppa. His son, who had only attained the age of twenty-one, was made groom of the chamber. Finally, Menshikoy was appointed Commander-in-chief of all the Russian forces, and colonel of three regiments which formed his special guard.

The guiding spirit of Russian reform was gone, and the brief reign of Catherine is distinguished only by two events which added any glory to Russia. The Academy of Sciences was founded in 1726, and Behring, a Dane, was sent on an exploring expedition to Kamchatka. He has left his name indelibly

written on the geography of the world. The Empress was weak in health, and being a woman of little or no education, allowed the government to be chiefly carried on by the Upper Secret Council (*Verkhovni Tainii Soviet*), which consisted of Menshikov, Apraksin, Golovkin, Tolstoi, Golitsin, and Ostermann. The last of these men will now be frequently heard of in our pages, and as he may be said to have governed Russia for almost a quarter of a century, we must say something of his antecedents.

He was the second son of a poor Lutheran clergyman of a little village of Westphalia. He studied at the University of Jena, and entered in 1704 the service of the Dutch Admiral Cruys, to whom Peter gave the command of his fleet. The Tsar marked the great talents of Ostermann, and employed him in very important business. He was created a baron in 1722, and was called under the Chancellor Golovkin to take the direction of foreign affairs, for which his familiarity with European languages especially fitted him. Catherine, on coming to the throne, made him the Governor of the Grand Duke, afterwards Peter II.

The Empress died on the 17th of May, 1727, a little more than two years after her accession to the throne, aged about thirty-nine years. Her life had exhibited the strangest vicissitudes, and many of the circumstances connected with it have not yet been clearly explained. It must be confessed that it is rather difficult to understand the attractions which she had for Peter, but she seems to have been amiable and cheerful, and never thwarted his wishes. Some affectionate letters from him to her are published in the collection



Р



SILVER ROUBLE OF 1725.
(*Reign of Catherine I.*)

of the correspondence of the Russian Emperors, to which allusion has previously been made. She had several children by him, but all died in youth with the exception of Elizabeth, who afterwards ascended the throne. A ukase of Peter permitted Catherine to choose her successor. She accordingly nominated Peter, the son of the unfortunate Alexis, and in default of Peter and his issue, Elizabeth and Anne, her daughters. Anne died in 1728, the year after her mother; she had married Karl Friedrich, the Duke of Holstein († 1739), and was the mother of the unfortunate Peter III. Menshikov was appointed the guardian of the young Tsar till he had reached the age of seventeen. The government was conducted by a council, in which he was still the leading person. His ambition was boundless: on its first meeting he brought with him the youthful Peter, exhibited to those present the sealed will of the Empress, unsealed it and ordered the Councillor Stepanov to read it aloud. Besides appointing Peter to succeed her under the guardianship of Menshikov, Catherine also directed that the daughter of the favourite should be betrothed to the boy sovereign. So completely was the will of the Empress in the interest of Menshikov, that the envoy from Saxony, Lefort, does not hesitate to say that the document was wholly concocted by him.

Under pretence of taking care of the young Tsar, Menshikov removed him to his house, and surrounded him with his creatures. He caused his own name and those of his wife and children to be placed in the public almanac together with those of the royal

family. The Princess Mary, the future bride of the Tsar, was also prayed for in the public services of the Church. In 1727 Menshikov was at the height of his power; foreign ambassadors remarked that even the great Peter himself was never feared so much. But the end of all this splendour was drawing near. We shall now for some time have to deal with these favourites, who triumph during the rule of women, and alternate with terrible rapidity in the various *revolutions de palais*. One follows the other in melancholy procession to the snows of Siberia.

Menshikov, in some instances, relaxed the severities of Peter; among others, he allowed the Malo-Russians to choose a hetman, which had been denied them on account of their insubordination. The young Tsar was wholly addicted to field sports, and used to spend his days in the woods around Peterhof, with his dogs and horses, in the company of his favourites Prince Ivan Dolgoruki and his father. Mere child as he was, he had never shown any affection for his affianced bride, Mary Menshikova, and the girl seems to have been equally apathetic. She was in love with a member of the Sapieha family before her father had betrothed her against her will. The Dolgorukis used the aversion of the young Prince to his *fiancée* as a means of augmenting his dislike to the father. Gradually the favourite fell into disgrace with his young sovereign, who was in reality a puppet in the hands of his rivals. The rule of Menshikov was destined to be short; only four months to a day had elapsed since he had produced the will of the Empress at the council of ministers, when the ukase

which disgraced the favourite was signed by the Tsar.

He was at once put under arrest, and is said to have been so overpowered when he received the intelligence of the blow directed against him, that he was seized with an apoplectic fit. In vain did he address letters to the Emperor and his sister Natalia; by the command of the former he was banished from St. Petersburg, and his decorations were taken from him. On the 16th of September the fallen magnate departed from the city, but his journey was like that of a nobleman retiring to his estate. He went accompanied by his family and a large retinue of servants in handsome carriages, each drawn by six horses. The streets were crowded with people who had come to see all this departing grandeur, which had been resplendent in the city since its foundation. But Menshikov had never really been popular. On the journey a courier was sent to bring back the Tsar's ring of betrothal from his daughter Mary. At first the exiles were conveyed to Oranienburg, in the Government of Riazan, but after a short detention there, an order was received for their deportation to Berezov, one of the most gloomy spots in Siberia. With Menshikov arrived his son Alexander, aged thirteen, and his two daughters, Mary, aged sixteen—the lately affianced bride of the Emperor—and Alexandra, aged fourteen. The wife of Menshikov never reached her husband's prison; she died of grief on the journey. The exiles were taken on their route partly in carts and partly in sledges made of bark. At one station the fallen favourite met with an

officer returning from the expedition under Behring, which he himself had sent out. The traveller was indeed astonished when he recognized Menshikov in a long beard and a coarse grey smock-frock, and his daughter, the affianced bride of the Emperor, in the young girl clad in a sheep-skin *tulup* (a dress worn by peasants).

The prisoners were at first lodged in the fortress of the little town, which had originally been the Monastery of the Resurrection. A great change came over the haughty spirit of Menshikov in his misfortunes; he looked upon his sufferings as a chastisement sent by heaven. He built at his own expense a small wooden church, and worked at its erection with his axe, just as he had used it with his imperial master at Saardam and Deptford. He did not, however, long suffer the horrors of exile in such a dreary place. According to Mannstein, who must have been well informed on the subject, he died of an apoplectic stroke because there was no one in Berezov, as he himself remarked, who understood how to open a vein. His death took place on the 24th of November, 1729, in the sixty-sixth year of his age, and he was buried near the altar of the church which had been built by him. At the beginning of the year 1730 the news was received at Moscow of the death of Menshikov; he had survived his great master about four years. In spite of his many shortcomings, his pride, and rapacity, he remains for all time a great figure in the pages of Russian history, as one of the most prominent coadjutors of Peter, and the lurid light which surrounds his exile and death only seems, as in

the case of Wolsey, to throw his whole career into greater relief. He had touched every extreme of fortune; the humble pastrycook's boy, the companion of the great Tsar, the regent of the kingdom, and at last the broken exile of the lonely Siberian village among the Arctic snows.

The young Tsar ordered the release from captivity of the two surviving children of Menshikov—for the eldest daughter had died in exile—and gave back some of their property to them, but they were not allowed to visit Moscow. We shall subsequently find the family completely restored to favour, and some of its members filling high posts as generals and diplomats. The Dolgorukis were in the ascendancy after the disgrace of the Menshikovs, and to one of the family of the former, named Catherine, the young Tsar was betrothed. The reign of this capricious boy seemed to bo'de little good to Russia, and as he was very much in the hands of the clerical party and the reactionaries, his next step was to remove the seat of government to Moscow. Among the letters of members of the Russian imperial family which have been preserved, are several addressed to him by his grandmother, the Tsaritsa Eudoxia, the first wife of Peter the Great. She had been released from her monastic confinement and was present at his coronation. She would probably not be without her influence upon him; his reign, however, was destined to be very short. In 1729, the Tsar was formally betrothed to Catherine Dolgoruki, the sister of his favourite. Mr. Rondeau, the English resident at the Russian Court, whose wife wrote an interesting book

on the country, says of her: "She is about eighteen years of age, is very pretty, and endowed with many good qualities." In the beginning of the year 1730, the young Tsar was attacked with the small-pox, from which at first he showed signs of recovery, but having rashly exposed himself to the cold air of a Russian winter, he died on the 30th of January.

/ During this reign Russia was at peace with her neighbours, and the foreign events in which she was involved were but trifling. The most important was the expulsion of Maurice of Saxony from Courland, of which he had attempted to get possession by offering his hand in marriage to Anna, the widowed duchess. She was quite willing to admit his suit, but the Russians were averse, and thus the projected union came to nothing. The Russians did not get Courland till the reign of the Empress Catherine.

On the death of the young Tsar the Council of the Empire assembled to settle the succession. By her will, as previously mentioned, Catherine had nominated in default of Peter II. and his issue, her eldest surviving daughter, Anne, and her heirs failing, Elizabeth. Anne, who had married the Duke of Holstein, had died in 1728, leaving a son, afterwards Peter III. No one seemed to trouble himself to support the validity of this will, and it was quietly put aside. There were still two daughters of Ivan, the elder brother of Peter the Great, surviving—Anne, the widowed Duchess of Courland, who had not been permitted to marry Maurice of Saxony; and Catherine, Duchess of Mecklenburg, the mother of another Anne, afterwards regent. A claim which Alexander

Dolgoruki put forward on behalf of his daughter Catherine, because she had been betrothed to the Tsar, was treated with the contempt which it deserved. Some proposed that the crown should be given to the son of Anne of Holstein, who was but three years of age. The fates had destined him to reign and to be destroyed, but his time had not yet come. This idea, however, gained little ground, because the Duke of Holstein had made himself very unpopular during his stay in Russia. Elizabeth, afterwards also destined for empire, was but little talked of, she led a life of indolent pleasure and was without ambition. Rondeau speaks of her 'as very beautiful and devoted to gaiety and amusement.

Prince Dmitri Golitsin then proposed that the crown should be offered to Anne, the Duchess of Courland. To this the Council agreed, and it was also resolved that it should only be offered to her on conditions whereby the imperial authority was limited, bearing, in fact, considerable resemblance to the *Pacta Conventa* of the Poles. This document would have converted Russia into an oligarchy, and put the supreme power into the hands of a few influential families. Anne had lived many years out of Russia; her elder sister, Catherine, on the contrary had never quitted her native country. She had been separated from her husband for nine years; and one reason why he was passed over was probably because there was a fear that her husband might return to share her authority; and the Russians, who had already suffered much from foreigners, had a presentiment that they were still to suffer. But they had not

realized to themselves all that was to happen under the rule of Anne. Moreover, Catherine was not at all popular, and, according to Rondeau, died in consequence of her fondness for brandy.

The conditions imposed upon Anne by this document are not known exactly, since it was destroyed, but the French resident Magnan sent an approximate copy to his Government. They were something of the nature of the following :

(1) She must consult the High Council on all Government affairs.

(2) She must not declare peace or war without the consent of the Council.

(3) She must not impose any new taxes without its consent.

(4) She must not confer an important office without its consent.

(5) She must not condemn nor execute any one of the nobility unless he is proved to have deserved death.

(6) The property of no nobleman shall be confiscated unless his crime has been proved.

(7) No property belonging to the Crown domains was to be aliened without the consent of the Council.

(8) That she should neither marry nor choose a successor without the consent of the Council.

Other plans which furnished a kind of a draft of a constitution were the following :

(1) The Empress shall have a fixed sum for the expenses of her house, and shall have under her control **only** the guard which is on duty at her palace.

(2) There shall be a Supreme Council composed of

twelve members from among the most considerable of the nobility, who shall direct all affairs of great importance, such as peace, war, and alliances. A treasurer shall be appointed who shall give an account to the Supreme Council of the use he has made of the State funds.

(3) There shall be a Senate consisting of thirty-six members, who shall examine all business before it is brought to the Supreme Council.

(4) There shall be a House of two hundred persons of the lesser nobility to maintain the rights of that class, in case the Supreme Council attempts to invade them.

(5) There shall be an Assembly of gentlemen and merchants, whose business it shall be to see that the people are not oppressed.

These important changes, which would have made Russia a constitutionally governed country, were hampered by the jealousy of the various orders among themselves. Thus the greater nobility wished to concentrate all the power in their own hands, and the lesser nobility were not willing to agree to this, preferring one master to several, as Rondeau said, and even in the Council itself there was not unanimity. There were men who were sure to lose everything if an aristocratic government or an oligarchy were established. Among these were the Chancellor Golovkin and the Vice-Chancellor Ostermann. The latter especially dreaded the overthrow of a system of government under which he had grown to great wealth. Under pretence of illness he avoided signing the articles, as did the other members, which were

sent to the Duchess at Mittau where she resided. Golitsin and the two Dolgorukis, who knew his character, went after him and forced a sort of adhesion from him.

Golovkin shared the views of Ostermann. He was a man of humble origin, his father having been the gamekeeper of Prince Khovanski. In a purely aristocratic government his influence would be *nil*. The two dissentients found a tool in Yaguzhinski, the son-in-law of Golovkin, who wrote to Anne, urging her not to subscribe to the conditions proposed, but that she should only take the crown on the same terms on which her ancestors had taken it. This letter was detected, and the agent of Yaguzhinski was brought back to Moscow in irons. His name was Sumarokov; he had previously been in the service of the Duchess of Holstein. Nothing was decided when the new Tsaritsa arrived on the 21st of February at Vsesvetski, a little village about eight versts from Moscow, where she was to await the preparations for her solemn entry into the capital. The following morning, a battalion of the regiment of Preobrazhenski Guards and a detachment of the company of Horse Guards were sent to her. She reviewed them, exhorted them to be faithful, took the title of Colonel of the Preobrazhenski regiment and Captain of the Horse Guards, and gave with her own hand to all the officers and soldiers a glass of wine or brandy, as Sophia had done half a century before.

There was now a regular plot against the Supreme Council, and Yaguzhinski, although in prison, was still able to direct it actively. Prince Cherkaski,

who had been one of the most vigorous reformers, went over to them. The Duchess of Mecklenburg, who could not pardon the Grand Council for not having offered her the crown, urged her sister to resist the conditions preferred. Anne, seeing the chance before her of upsetting the engagement into which she had entered, refused to present herself before the Supreme Council for its ratification, and when they offered to excuse her the formality, she said—according to the report of the Saxon minister, Lefort—"that it was not enough for her to have been declared sovereign by *eight people*." On the 8th of March a tumultuous scene took place in the Kremlin. The hall had been packed with the supporters of the Tsaritsa, and according to the words of the French agent, M. de Bussy: "The officers of the Guard and the others who had presented themselves in great numbers before the Tsaritsa mutinied, and began crying out that they did not wish any laws to be imposed upon their sovereign, who ought to be as absolute as her predecessors. The riot lasted so long that the Tsaritsa was obliged to threaten them. But, prostrating themselves before her, they said: 'Madam, we are your Majesty's faithful servants: we have faithfully served your predecessors, and we will sacrifice our lives in your Majesty's service; but we will not allow you to be tyrannized over. Only let your Majesty give the order, and we will lay the tyrants' heads at your feet.' Then the Tsaritsa ordered them to obey Lieutenant-General Saltikov, Lieutenant-Colonel of the Guards, who, at their head, saluted her Majesty as absolute sovereign. When the rival

faction had requested Anne to resume the power which her ancestors had enjoyed, she sent for the articles which she had signed at Mittau, and tore them to pieces before the assembly."

Thus ended the second attempt to procure a constitution for Russia, if we are to pay any attention to the supposed charter at the accession of Michael Romanov.

The new Empress did not neglect to reward Yaguzhinski, who had endured so much for her. He was appointed Procuror-General of the Senate; her vengeance fell upon her opponents. Alexis and Ivan Dolgoruki were accused of having appropriated the crown jewels during the reign of the late Tsar. The father was exiled to Yakutsk, and all the rest of the family to Berezov. Their goods were also confiscated. Prince Vasilii was immured in a monastery at Archangel, and a short time afterwards Field-Marshal Dolgoruki was taken to the citadel of Schlüsselburg. The Empress threw herself entirely into the hands of German favourites, especially a Courlander of low extraction, named Biren, said to have been the son of a groom. The period during which this detestable man tyrannized over and exploited the Russians has been called the Bironovtschina. Owing to the pernicious advice of Biren, the Minister Volinski, one of the most trusted friends of Peter the Great, was executed, with two companions, under circumstances of great cruelty, his tongue having been previously cut out. In our own days Russia, arriving at a just estimate of one of her best sons, has erected a monument to him. Many members of noble Russian families were sent to

Biren

Siberia. It was Biren who planned the dastardly murder of the unfortunate Major Malcolm Sinclair, an officer of Scotch extraction, who was sent in the year 1739 to make a treaty between Turkey and Sweden, with a view to resist the encroachments of Russia. On his way back from Constantinople the imprudent officer was waylaid near Altschau, in Silesia, and murdered by two emissaries of Biren, but it does not appear that the Empress Anne was cognisant of the matter, which probably was entirely planned and carried out by the German adventurer. On the news of the crime reaching Stockholm, the popular indignation was great, but hostilities were deferred, and the death of the Empress followed very quickly after in 1740. They broke out, however, in the following year, and were disastrous for Sweden, so that the country was willing to make peace with Russia in 1742. It was in this way that the Russians gained some more territory in Finland.

The Empress was a woman of vulgar mind, and the Court was given up to unrefined orgies, conspicuous only for the large sums of money lavished upon them. She was selfish and exacting to her attendants, and many stories are told of her hard and unfeeling nature. She is described by contemporaries as a woman of tall stature, coarse features, and staring eyes. During the latter part of her life—and she did not live till old age—she was fond of sitting in her chair, and listening to the jest of her buffoons. After these exhibitions her maids of honour would sing to her, and sometimes she would watch them dance. On one occasion, we are told in the "Memoirs of the

Princess Dashkov," she ordered four of the principal beauties of St. Petersburg to perform a Russian dance in her presence. They became so intimidated, and trembled so much at the severe glance of the Empress, that, losing all presence of mind, they forgot the figure of the dance, and, amidst the general confusion, were suddenly electrified by the approach of her Majesty, who had risen from her seat in a rage, and advancing towards them with the utmost dignity, gave each a sound box on the ear, commanding them instantly to begin over again, which they did, half dead with terror.

Her reign was not an important one for Russia either as regards internal or foreign affairs. The right of primogeniture which had been introduced into the Russian law of real property by Peter the Great was abolished; it was altogether alien to the spirit of Slavonic institutions. A four years' war with Turkey led to no important results, and no additions of value were made to Russian territories. The Russians were commanded by two foreigners, Munich and Lacy, the first a German, and the latter an Irishman. Lacy was a regular example of the soldier of fortune. He was at the siege of Limerick, and had followed James II. to France. He first entered the German and afterwards the Russian service. He ultimately died as Governor of Riga in 1751. Of Munich we shall hear much more in the course of our narrative. A minute and interesting account of this war has been written for us by a certain John Cook, a Scotchman, who was attached to the army of Lacy as a surgeon. This book does not seem to be much

known among us, or in Russia. The worthy author does not fail to mention many others of his countrymen, and his sketches would furnish valuable material for a history of Scotchmen in the Russian service, to whom we have frequently called attention in these pages. In 1736 Lacy took Azov, but it was not retained, and the following year Munich had the same good fortune at Ochakov. In 1739 he completely defeated the Turks at Khotin, a place frequently mentioned in Slavonic history. Cook describes this battle very minutely, and tells us that the priest of one regiment got on horseback, with a large brass cross in his hand, and rode with the foremost of the soldiers, encouraging them to follow the cross, and assuring them that no hurt could happen to them who did so. The priest was not wounded, and received a handsome reward from the Empress. Another Scotchman, who has left details of this war in which he participated, was John, Earl of Craufurd. He expresses himself in the highest terms of the skill and bravery of Munich, with whom he was in very close relations. The battle of Khotin furnished the subject of the first ode of the celebrated Lomonósov, who may be styled the father of modern Russian literature, a further account of whose writings will be found in the chapter which we have devoted to that subject. Authors of talent now began to make their appearance. Kantemir and Trediakovski, men of very different merit, had already appeared, and Tatistchev was writing his history of his native country, the first attempt at anything of the kind. He is repeatedly mentioned in the interesting memoirs of Cook.

The value of the Russian victories was entirely destroyed by the haste with which the Austrians, who had been the Russian allies, made peace with the Turks. They ceded all Servia and Wallachia by the Treaty of Belgrade (1739), and thus Russia, after having shed the blood of so many of her soldiers, and spent so much money, only gained a strip of territory between the Bug and the Dnieper; and the Turks agreed to raze the fortress of Azov.

The Empress died in 1740 at the age of forty-seven. The recollections of the tyranny of Biren long remained in the memory of the Russians. It has already been mentioned that Anne had a sister, Catherine, Duchess of Mecklenburg. This sister was dead, but had left a daughter, Anne, who had married Anthony Ulrich, Prince of Brunswick, in 1739, and had an infant son, Ivan. The Empress, when she felt her health failing, had named him her successor, and appointed Biren as Regent. But the adventurer had raised up against himself a host of enemies. Already, just before the death of the Empress, a conspiracy had been detected, the object of which was to drive the Germans out of the country, and to proclaim Elizabeth, the daughter of Peter the Great, Empress. Among others who were at the head of it were the Princes Dolgoruki, who managed to direct it even from their place of exile in Siberia. The promoters, however, paid dearly for their attempt. Basil, Sergius, and Ivan Dolgoruki were brought to Novgorod and publicly beheaded. Ivan, who had been the favourite of Peter II., was broken on the wheel before being decapitated.

On the death of the Empress Anne Biren enjoyed the Regency for a short time. He held the Prince of Brunswick in great contempt, but studied to be on good terms with his wife. But a plot against his government was soon entered into, and we have one more of those *revolutions de palais* which throw such a lurid light over Russian history during last century. Anne, the mother of the Emperor, was weary of his yoke, and München undertook to release her. The circumstances of this conspiracy have been carefully recorded in the "Memoirs of General Manstein," which are a valuable authority for this period of Russian history.

Field-Marshal München and Biren continued to live on good terms, at least to all outward appearances. Biren had a kind of mistrust of München; but frequently asked him to dinner, and in the evenings they sometimes remained talking till quite late. The following anecdote told by Manstein is curious. "The day before the revolution, which happened on the 18th of November, Marshal München dined with the Duke, who desired him to come back in the evening, where they stayed very late together, talking of many things relative to the current times. The Duke was restless and thoughtful the whole evening, often changed the discourse like an absent man, and abruptly, quite for the purpose, asked the Marshal, 'If in his military expeditions he had ever undertaken any affairs of consequence in the night?' This sudden question had nearly disconcerted the Marshal, who imagined upon it that the Duke had some suspicion of his project. Recovering himself,

however, quick enough for the Duke not to have observed his uneasiness, he answered, 'That he did not remember to have undertaken any extraordinary things in the night-time; that he was not fond of night-work, but that his maxim was to seize all occasions that appeared favourable to him.'

That same night Biren was arrested in bed, tried, and sentenced to banishment to Pelim amid the dreary snows of Siberia, from which, however, luckier than his predecessor, Menshikov, he was destined to return when old and broken by time.

Anna Leopoldovna, as she was called, the mother of the infant Emperor, was now made Regent; the prince, her husband, a man of contemptible abilities, was declared Generalissimo of all the Russian forces, Münich was made Prime Minister; and Ostermann High-Admiral. But their tenure of office was in each case very short. The Germans had made themselves thoroughly hated in Russia, and taking advantage of their unpopularity, the Russian party raised to the throne Elizabeth, now the only surviving child of Peter the Great. The soul of this new plot was the French surgeon, Lestocq. The English resident Finch informed his Government in a despatch of the 20th of November, 1741, that on the day before, at one o'clock in the morning, the Princess Elizabeth went to the barracks of the Probrazhenski Guards, accompanied only by Vorontzov, one of her chamberlains, a very young man; Lestocq the surgeon; and Schwarz, her secretary. She put herself at the head of three hundred Grenadiers, and marched straight to the palace; the troops were then

stationed at suitable posts, and the avenues to the palace blockaded, and the Regent, his wife, the infant Emperor, and his sister were all seized in their beds. The Princess thereupon gave orders to arrest Münich and his son, Ostermann, Golovkin, and several others. All these orders were executed with the greatest celerity, and the Princess returned to her palace, whither an immense multitude followed her. Before it were drawn up in line the regiment of the Horse Guards and three regiments of infantry. She was unanimously proclaimed Empress of Russia, and the oath of allegiance was taken to her. At seven o'clock in the morning she took possession of the Winter Palace. Proscriptions, arrests, confiscations, and exiles followed upon this dramatic scene; Finch tells us of the insolence of the Guards; they were the prætorians of Russia.

Elizabeth, who was born in 1709, was at this time about thirty-two years of age. It is difficult to see what she had to recommend her besides being the daughter of the great Peter. That was indeed a name to conjure with, and moreover the people were heartily tired of being exploited by the Germans. A national feeling lay at the bottom of the revolt. In her youth Elizabeth had considerable pretensions to beauty. We have heard the account of Rondeau; and Cook, whose interesting memoirs have already been alluded to, saw her, in 1735, at St. Petersburg, with the Empress Anne and her Court. "The Empress Anne," he says, "was no beauty, but had something so graceful and full of majesty, that it had a strange effect upon me. . . The Princess Elizabeth and Anne



THE EMPRESS ELIZABETH.

(From a print in the British Museum.)

made very fine appearances, and were both very beautiful." At an earlier period an attempt had been made by Campredon, the French Minister, to arrange a marriage between Elizabeth and Louis XV., but the scheme fell through. The new Empress was not very willing to hamper herself with the shackles of marriage, and the irregularities of her private life are well known. She was wholly given to frivolity and pleasure, and her indolent temperament would have probably induced her to be content with a private station had she not been the bearer of a great name, and been urged forward by conspirators.

We must now say a few words about the destiny of those who had been dispossessed by this new *revolution de palais*.

The fate of the dethroned Emperor and his family was terrible; Elizabeth had first resolved to send them to their home in Germany, but afterwards, fearing that the young prince might become a dangerous claimant to the throne, she altered her plans. Anne and her family were suddenly detained at Riga on their way to Germany, and conveyed under a strong guard to the fortress of Dünamunde, where they remained a year. They were then brought to Ranenburg in the Government of Riazan; here Anne was separated from her child, and she and her husband were conveyed to Kholmogori, a town in the north of Russia, on the White Sea. Here she only lived five years, dying in 1746; her husband, Anthony Ulrich, a dull man, spent his time in drinking and card-playing, and contrived to eke out his existence for thirty years after the death of his

wife. The young Prince Ivan was confined in the fortress of Schlüsselburg; of his subsequent fate we shall hear more in the reign of the Empress Catherine. Four more children were born to the unhappy pair during their captivity, two sons and two daughters. They received their liberty in 1780, but are said to have become so attached to their lonely home, and so utterly unused to the world, that they petitioned to be allowed to remain at Kholmogori. Their wish, however, was not granted, and they were despatched to Denmark; one of the daughters survived till the commencement of the present century. The same fate as that of Anne befell also her German supporters, Ostermann and München among the number, the latter of whom had performed such distinguished services for Russia, and was one of the best generals of the day. They were at first sentenced to be executed. Finch, the English envoy, wrote to his Government on the 19th of February:—

“Yesterday, Count Ostermann, München, Golovkin, the president Mengden, Löwenwolde, and the secretary Jacoblitz were conducted to the scaffold. Ostermann arrived about ten o'clock in the morning, for the Empress detests him the most. He was brought in a chair, for he was unable to walk. The act of accusation was read to him, which filled six sheets of paper. He heard it standing up, bare-headed, with a firm and attentive countenance. Then his sentence was made known to him. He was condemned to be broken on the wheel, but the clemency of the Empress had commuted it into decapitation. Blocks

and axes were got ready. To one of these blocks the soldiers dragged him ; he laid his head on it, the executioner approached, unbuttoned the collar of his shirt and of the dressing-gown which he wore, and uncovered his neck. This ceremony lasted about a minute. Then it was announced to him that her Majesty granted him his life, but condemned him to perpetual exile. Ostermann inclined his head and said immediately (they were the only words which he uttered) : ' I pray you give me back my wig and my cap.' He put them on his head and buttoned the collar of his shirt and of his dressing-gown, without his countenance betraying the slightest emotion. The five other victims who were at the lower end of the scaffold then heard their sentence. Munich had been condemned to be quartered, and the others to lose their head. As in the case of Ostermann, their penalty was commuted into banishment for the rest of their lives. All had allowed their beards to grow with the exception of Munich, who was shaven, well dressed, with a firm, intrepid, and careless countenance, as if he had been at the head of an army or a parade. From the beginning of the trial up to this last moment he was never seen to show the least fear or inquietude. While passing from the citadel to the scaffold he made a pretence of jesting with his guards, and told them that when he had had the honour of conducting them into battle they had found him brave, and they would find him so till the end."


Munich was banished to Pelim in Siberia, where he had for a prison the house which they say had been built from his plans for Biren, who was allowed to go

to Yaroslavl. He remained in exile for twenty years, till the Emperor Peter III. recalled him. Ostermann was exiled to Berezov, where Menshikov had died, and where he himself died in 1747. During the feeble reigns of Catherine I., Peter II., and Anne, the work of the great Peter is in abeyance. The country is harassed by foreign adventurers, and makes no important conquests. It suffers from minorities and female rule when there was need of more vigorous hands to wield the sceptre. The reign of Elizabeth, although thrown into obscurity by the glories of the times of Catherine II., was in many ways a period of progress—certainly for literature and art.

We have already spoken of Elizabeth as having been in her youth a woman of some personal attractions ; such is the testimony of many foreigners. She was, however, lax in her morals, indolent, fond of gaiety, and grossly superstitious. Peter the Great, in his attempts at reforming the clergy, had introduced civilians into the body which directed the estates of the Church, but Elizabeth again transferred the management of them entirely to ecclesiastics. She was probably secretly married to Count Razumovski, and if she had any heirs, had none capable of succeeding, and accordingly summoned to her Court the son of her sister Anne, by the Duke of Holstein, with a view of appointing him her successor.

Peter, as he was called, became a member of the Greek Church and was duly nominated her heir. He was a man of coarse habits, grossly addicted to the pleasures of the table, and entirely without capacity for government. In 1744 he married the Princess

Sophia of Anhalt Zerbst, a bride who had been chosen for him by Frederick the Great. On entering the Greek Church she took the name of Catherine, and was destined to become one of the most famous sovereigns of her adopted country. The descent of the imperial rulers was now again fixed in the direct heirs of Peter the Great. Catherine and her husband, as we shall shortly see, were ill-mated, and in her memoirs, which were published by Herzen in 1859, we get a sad picture of their married life, spent in constant altercations, the Grand Duke not hesitating on some occasions to strike her. The genuineness of these memoirs, it is but fair to say, is not absolutely proved, but there appears to be little reason to doubt their authenticity. In 1743 the treaty of Abo was signed between Russia and Sweden, and Russia acquired the southern part of Finland as far as the river Kiumen—the part about Vyborg had been gained in the time of Peter the Great—thus Russia was gradually removing her enemy further from the vicinity of St. Petersburg. She had up to this time encountered only Tatars, Turks, Poles, and Swedes, but by joining Austria and France in the Seven Years' War she was destined to try her strength against the Prussians, now led by Frederick the Great, the most celebrated general of the age. Bestuzhev, the Russian minister, affected to see a dangerous neighbour in Prussia on account of its rapid growth, and moreover Frederick had offended Elizabeth by some sarcastic remarks upon her. Frederick tried for some time to stave off the war by offering bribes to the leading councillors of the Empress. Mitchell, the English envoy, writes as



(1) SILVER ROUBLE.
 (2) TWO COPEKS.
 (*Reign of Empress Elizabeth.*)

follows on the 8th of January, 1757: "General Apraksin [he had been appointed commander of the army which was to invade Prussia] is, or at least pretends to be, completely devoted to the Grand Duchess Catherine. He is no soldier, and has a very poor opinion of the army which he commands. Apraksin is besides very extravagant and in great straits, in spite of the large presents which he has received from his mistress. In consequence the King of Prussia thinks that at the present crisis it might be useful to give him a sum of money, so that he should delay the march of his troops, which a General-in-chief can do under various pretexts. The Grand Duchess is to have this business committed to her if she is willing to undertake it." Apraksin would no doubt have been willing to remain inactive, but the orders of the Empress were pressing, and he set out with his army of 83,000 men. The frontier of Prussia on the side of Russia was almost without defences. On the 30th of June the Russian general presented himself before Memel, which was obliged to capitulate on the 30th of August. He defeated the Prussian general Lewald at Gross-Jaegersdorff; the Germans lost 4,000 in slain, 600 prisoners, and 29 cannons. But to the astonishment of everybody Apraksin made no use of his victory, and retired as soon as possible across the Niemen. The cause of this treason was unravelled when an examination of the papers of the Chancellor Bestuzhev Riumin took place; he had been tampered with, and the Grand Duchess was implicated in the treason. The Chancellor might have had a severe punishment, but was only deprived of his office and

banished to his country seat. Ustrialov, the Russian historian, finds the cause of this leniency in the illness from which the Empress Elizabeth was now suffering.

In the place of Apraksin, General Fermor, who was one of the many foreign generals in the Russian service during the past century, having been of English origin, took the command of the campaign of 1758; he had already distinguished himself in the Turkish wars under Munich. He took Königsberg, Thorn, and Elbing, and laid siege to Küstrin, but his forces were encountered by Frederick at Zorndorf, near that place, and suffered a complete defeat. The Russians fought bravely, but were outgeneralled. They lost 20,000 men, 100 cannons, and 30 flags. Frederick, however, could not prevent them from retreating in good order across the Russian frontier. The command was now taken from Fermor and given to Peter Saltikov, who had not distinguished himself up to that time. In the third campaign (1759) Frederick was completely routed at Kunersdorf; he lost 8,000 men and 172 cannons. It was on this occasion that he composed an ode in very bad verse, and is said to have been on the point of committing suicide. Next year the Russians entered Berlin (under Tottleben), where they committed terrible ravages, and were, as Frederick graphically said, "engaged in digging the grave of humanity." The fortunes of the great king were now at their lowest ebb; he saw Pomerania wrested from him, and other disasters must have followed had it not been for the death of the Empress, and the complete change of foreign policy which at once followed. She expired on the 25th of December,



FOUNDING OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MOSCOW IN 1754.
(Medal, with bust of Empress Elizabeth.)

1761. Her character has already been fully described. Pietism was one of its pronounced features. She was indefatigable in building churches and performing pious pilgrimages. In her reign, accordingly, there was a great reaction under clerical influence, and the *raskolniks* or dissenters were severely persecuted. In 1755* the first Russian University, that of Moscow, was founded through the influence of Ivan Shuvalov, the Russian Mæcenas of his age. The country under foreign influence, especially that of a number of ingenious Frenchmen, made great progress in the arts and sciences, and showed a marked contrast to the dull and illiterate period of Anne. Many handsome buildings were erected at St. Petersburg, which now began to vie with the most luxurious European capitals. The Empress herself seems occasionally to have written verses, if the rather pretty lines are really by her which are given by Bezsonov, beginning—

“ Chistii istochnik ! Vsiekh tsvietov krasivei
Vsiekh priatnei mnê lugov.”

(“ Pure spring ! more beautiful to me than all flowers,
More agreeable than all meadows.”)

Her reign was, on the whole, one of progress, in spite of her personal foibles. It was made celebrated by the genius of Lomonósov and Sumarokov, of whom we shall have much more to say in our chapter on Russian literature. Volkov opened a theatre at St. Petersburg under the protection of the Empress. We shall see that for some time, owing to the absence of a large reading public, the authors whom Russia began to produce in such numbers were mainly sup-

* See note, page 209.

ported by the Court. Altogether there was more taste and elegance to be found under the rule of Elizabeth than under the favourites who exploited Russia while the ignorant and tasteless Anne reigned.

The worst feature of her reign was the establishment of a political court of inquisition, empowered to examine and punish all disloyal remarks upon and criticisms of the Government, thus opening new carcens to informers, who were not slow to make use of them. Accusations of this sort, under the ill-omened name of "*Slovo i dielo*" (lit. the word and deed), had been known since the days of the Emperor Alexis, but now they were placed under the administration of a public Chancery.

* 1755, so in Polevoi's "History of Russian Literature," but meda gives 1754.—(See page 208).





IX.

THE PLANS OF PETER DEVELOPED. PETER III.
(JAN. 5—JULY 19, 1762). CATHERINE II. (1762—
1796). 244

ON the death of Elizabeth, her nephew Peter, son of her sister Anne and Charles Frederick, Duke of Holstein, succeeded. He was an ardent admirer of his cousin, Frederick the Great, and to the astonishment of all Europe one of his first acts was to make a peace with the Prussian king and to renounce all the conquests which Russia had made and the fruits of her victories. Frederick, who had been in the lowest depths of despair, now breathed again. A treaty was concluded between Russia and Prussia in 1762. We must remember, even if we blame Peter's violent change in the policy of his country, that Russia was in reality gaining nothing by the war, which was a constant drain to her in money and men. Two other measures of Peter were more popular among his subjects—he put an end to the secret Chancery established by the Empress Elizabeth, and to the law of Peter the Great, by which all members of the aristocracy were compelled to take some civil employment. The only restriction made by the Emperor was that they were

not allowed to enter any foreign service without the permission of the Emperor.

Peter now ordered the State prisons to be opened and many exiles to be recalled. Lestocq, once the favourite of Elizabeth, but who had afterwards fallen into disgrace, now returned from Siberia. Keith, the English resident, tells us that, in spite of his seventy-four years of age and fourteen years of exile, he returned with all the vigour of a young man. The old general, Münich, and his son also reappeared, and after them the strange adventurer, Biren—whose career was not closed. These men came upon the changed scene like phantoms from the under world. The English minister gives such a curious account of this occurrence that our readers will no doubt be glad to read it :

“The Duke of Courland and his wife have come back from their exile. He appeared in the court-circle with the blue ribbon of the order of St. Andrew, which the Emperor had sent back to him ; and his Imperial Majesty paid marked attention to the whole family. I went yesterday afternoon to pay my respects to this prince and to Field-Marshal Münich, who had arrived the previous night in excellent health and with all his faculties, although he has been more than twenty years in exile, or rather in prison, for during all that time he has only been permitted to see his wife and some servants, and very rarely the soldiers who had the care of him.

“Marshal Münich was presented to the Emperor, and at night the Duke of Courland and he appeared together at the Court, and both were treated by the

Emperor with great distinction. It was really a touching spectacle to see these two illustrious persons, after having survived so many and such protracted misfortunes, reappear at such an age at a Court in which they had once cut such an important figure, and to see them, when meeting after so many years, conversing with considerable politeness and without the least trace of that jealousy and animosity which were the cause of their misfortunes. The two sons of the Duke of Courland have been made major-generals and Count Münich appointed first field-marshal."

These were indeed extraordinary scenes, and the recollections of the exiles must have been strange. Biren was then 72 years of age and Münich 79. The latter died five years afterwards at Riga, Governor of Esthonia and Livonia. Biren protracted his existence till 1772, after Catherine had put him into possession of the Duchy of Courland. Thus in the whirligig of fortune he was destined to be the ruler of a duchy, the nobles of which had treated him with scorn as the son of a groom. In spite, however, of some sensible laws and generous treatment of fallen greatness, the German propensities of the Emperor made him disliked. The Russians had been too thoroughly exploited by this people to have forgotten the disagreeable traditions associated with their name. Peter, moreover, attempted to interfere with the property of the Church, a vigorous measure for which he had hardly the requisite strength. He reunited to the Crown lands all those throughout his empire which belonged to the monasteries, and he made over in exchange to the archbishops and abbots



ПЕТРЪ ТЕОДОРОВИЧЪ

Великѣи Князь Всероссійскїи .

PETER III.

a fixed revenue and a sum for the maintenance of the monks. We shall see that this measure was carried by Catherine afterwards, but it only added to the load of unpopularity of the unfortunate Emperor. Indeed, when we contemplate this scheme and some of the other actions of Peter, it is difficult to believe that he could have been a man of such weak mental powers as he has been represented. His face, as we see it in his portraits, is decidedly feeble and irresolute, but he had already begun to suffer from the low orgies with which he disgraced himself. The Memoirs of Princess Dashkov, previously alluded to, are full of stories of his excesses. M. de Breteuil, the French minister, writes of him on the 18th of January: "La vie que l'Empereur mène est la plus honteuse. Il passe les soirées à fumer, à boire de la bière et ne cesse ces deux exercices qu'à cinq ou six heures du matin et presque toujours ivre-mort." We must probably assign drunkenness as the cause of some of the absurd actions with which he is associated in contemporary memoirs. Thus Rulhière, who was an eye-witness of the revolution of 1762 and has left us an interesting book on the subject, tells us that his military mania knew no bounds: he wished that a perpetual noise of cannon should give him in representation a foretaste of war. He one day commanded them to let him hear a hundred large pieces of cannon fired at once; and it was necessary, in order to prevent the execution of this whim, to represent to him that it would shake the city to the centre. He often rose from table to prostrate himself on his knees, with a glass in his hand, before a portrait of

Frederick of Prussia, exclaiming, "My brother, we will conquer the universe together."

The young Empress Catherine saw herself surrounded by spies and in great danger of her life. Among the mildest punishments in store for her, her husband is said to have intended to imprison her for the rest of her days. Catherine, as her subsequent career showed, was a woman of great strength of character, and although a German herself by origin, she succeeded in making her subjects forget her former nationality by warmly embracing the cause of the old Russian party. She also showed a scrupulous fidelity in the observance of the rites of the Greek Church.

In the month of April, Peter III. visited the fortress of Schlüsselburg, where Ivan, who had for a short time been Tsar, was still confined. He is said to have found the poor youth incoherent in his conversation, and almost in a state of idiocy. According to Rulhière he proposed to restore him to liberty and to make him his heir. Catherine had long lived separated from her husband, and was gradually forming a party devoted to her interests. With the help of the Orlovs, Potemkin (pronounced in Russian Patyomkin), and others, she succeeded in gaining over the troops—those prætorians who had so often decided the fate of the Russian Empire. She was also greatly assisted by the talent and wit of the Princess Dashkov, who lived to dictate the narrative of the whole affair, but was, from some cause or other, treated by Catherine with ingratitude. The revolution, which has been described with great exactness

by Rulhière, broke out on the 8th of July. The plot had almost failed from the indiscretion of one of the conspirators ; but the Princess Dashkov, seeing that there was no time for hesitation, went at midnight to the house of one of the Orlovs, who set out for the Empress and fetched her from Peterhof, where she was staying. She visited the various barracks, and the soldiers went over to her side with their commanders. One regiment alone had a serious and melancholy appearance. It was a very fine regiment



ROUBLE OF PETER III.

of cavalry, of which the Emperor had been colonel from his infancy, and which he had ordered to the city as soon as he came to the throne. The officers of this corps refused to march, and were all put under arrest. The revolution was graphically described by Keith, the English minister, to his Government. He said it was over in two hours, without a drop of blood being shed. At first the Emperor, on being informed of the conspiracy, treated the intelligence with contempt. Finding his companions gradually

deserting him he retired to Oranienbaum. Hearing that the Empress was marching thither at the head of twenty thousand men, he made but a feeble resistance, and signed an act of abdication on condition that he was allowed to retire to Holstein. Keith, in the long despatch which he sent to his Government, does not fail to inquire into the causes of this strange revolution. His remarks appear singularly just. He considers that the principal cause was the confiscation of the Church property and the contempt with which the clergy were treated. The second was the severe discipline which the Emperor had tried to introduce into the army, especially among the Guards, who had been accustomed to a great deal of licence. Their discontent was increased by the determination of the Emperor to take with him into Germany a great part of this regiment for his expedition against Denmark. Moreover, that war was disagreeable to the whole nation, who saw itself with regret dragged into fresh expenditure and new dangers for the conquest of the Duchy of Schleswig, which was considered a worthless object, and of no importance to Russia, and that, too, after the Emperor had just sacrificed to his friendship for Frederick the conquests which the Russian armies had made.

The wretched Peter was taken from Oranienbaum to Peterhof, and thence on his way to Schlüsselburg he stayed at a little place called Ropsha, where he died four days afterwards from a colic, according to the official announcement, but is supposed to have been strangled by one of the conspirators, perhaps



ALEXIS ORLOV.

Alexis Orlov. This event took place on July 19, 1762.

From this time dates the reign of Catherine II. Two years later the young Prince Ivan, who had been confined, as has already been mentioned, at Schlüsselburg, was murdered by his guards, owing to a conspiracy of a certain Lieutenant Mirovich,



MEDAL STRUCK ON THE ACCESSION OF CATHERINE II., 1762.

whose object was to set him free. The whole story of this assassination is involved in mystery as difficult to unravel as the Gowrie Plot. According to some writers the conspiracy was instigated by the Government, because Catherine was desirous of removing from her path so direct a claimant to the Crown. As proof of this among others, it is urged that the

manner of Mirovich on the scaffold showed that till the last minute he expected a reprieve. It seems, however, more reasonable to think that he was a man of a reckless and ambitious temperament, who, having from a condition of comparative wealth fallen into great poverty, thought that at a period of revolutions and surprises he also could attempt another and thereby better his broken fortunes. He was publicly executed on September 26, 1764. "According to the information," says Coxe, "I received from those who had seen the body of Ivan, he was six feet in height, handsome, and athletic; he had small fiery eyes, and a complexion uncommonly fair, which had been rendered pallid by confinement."

Such was the fate of this unhappy youth, who was slain by his guards to prevent his escape, according to orders which they had received in case any one should attempt to rescue him. He is said to have exhibited signs of imbecility, owing to his long confinement. In Russia at this time the reign of a minor was not likely to last long: it required the sceptre to be grasped by a firm hand: and had Paul, the son of Catherine, been placed on the throne, according to the law of primogeniture, on the death of Peter III., his position would have been a perilous one. He was only eight years of age at the time, having been born in 1754. His mother was enabled during her reign not only to exclude him from the succession, but to keep him in an insignificant position. She was, however, in continual fear that a party would be formed to make him Emperor, and appears to have regarded him with aversion

In the same year in which the conspiracy of Mirovich occurred, took place one of the boldest of the measures for which the reign of Catherine is celebrated—the resumption of the ecclesiastical lands by the State. This had been attempted by Peter, and had been one of the chief causes of his downfall. Catherine had in a great measure owed her election to the clergy, one of whom, the Archbishop of Novgorod, had especially advocated her cause. This important measure fully shows the fearlessness of her genius and her self-reliance. The clergy had grown enormously rich in Russia. Fletcher, previously quoted, speaks of the great quantity of monasteries in the country. "They have wrought that if any part of the realm be better and sweeter than other, there standeth a friary or monastery dedicated to some saint." Ustrialov, in his "History of Russia," tells us that forty-one monasteries were erected in the twelfth century, twenty-two in the thirteenth, eighty in the fourteenth, and seventy in the fifteenth. The number of peasants belonging to the clergy amounted in the time of Catherine to nearly a million. It may well be imagined that the Russian sovereigns had looked with a jealous eye upon this great accumulation of property. Ivan III., had formed a plan of confiscation, just as the Commons did in the reigns of Henry IV. and V. in England. Peter the Great established a college charged with managing the funds of the ecclesiastics, but he did not dare to proceed any further. (The whole subject of the Church in Russia will be discussed in the chapter on Russian institutions.) Catherine appointed a



CATHERINE II.

mixed commission, lay and ecclesiastical, which, making the land and peasants the property of the State, settled an income on the clergy and assigned stipends to the monks. The Church thus became entirely subordinate to the State, especially from the beginning of the eighteenth century, when Peter abolished the patriarchate.

In the year 1768, at Uman, in the Government of Kiev, took place the terrible massacre under Gonta the Cossack of many Jewish children. This is one of the most appalling stories to be found in Russian history, and has been made more familiar by the powerful realistic poem of the Malo-Russian Shevchenko, who will be spoken of in another place. Gonta was himself afterwards taken and put to death by a just retribution.

The affairs of Poland now occupied the attention of the Russians, that unfortunate country having long been gradually breaking up. On the death of the contemptible Augustus III., in 1763, Stanislaus Poniatowski had been elected king—a weak man and one of Catherine's favourites. Many attempts at reform in the anomalous constitution of the country were now made, but its destruction had been resolved upon. A great deal of abuse has been lavished upon Russia for her share in these unlawful transactions, but we must not forget that the first person to plan the spoliation and to suggest it to Russia was Frederick the Great, by the agency of his brother, Prince Henry, at St. Petersburg. In the partition of 1772, Russia took Bielo-Rossia, or White Russia, and all the part beyond the Dnieper; by the second

794
partition of 1793 her boundary was advanced to the centre of Lithuania and Volhynia. The patriot Thaddeus Kosciuszko, who had displayed such vigour in the war, was defeated by the Russian General Suvórov in 1794, at the battle of Maciejowice; and Poland lay at the feet of the conqueror. Suvórov now advanced upon Warsaw and stormed the suburb of Praga, which is connected with the city by a bridge over the Vistula. After this had been taken the city surrendered, and was sacked with all the horrors of war. The kingdom of Poland was now at an end, and the country was parcelled out for the third time. Austria took Cracow, with the country between the Pilica, the Vistula, and the Bug. Prussia had Warsaw, with the territory as far as the Niemen; the rest was handed over to Russia. Stanislaus Augustus was sent to live at Grodno, and afterwards retired to St. Petersburg.

We have only given a brief sketch of these wars, as the minute discussion of Polish constitutional questions more properly belongs to the history of that country. Had it not been for the perfidy of the confederates of Targowica, the chief of whom were Felix Potocki, Xavier Branicki, and Severin Rzewuski, the country might have entered upon a new life by the abolishment of the absurd *liberum veto*, and many wholesome reforms. But among the most bitter foes of Poland were to be found some of her own sons, who were sold to foreigners.

We must now somewhat retrace our steps to show how Russia fared with her old enemy the Turks, who in 1767 were so ill-advised as to declare war against



СУВОРОВЪ

SUVÓROV.

her. They were defeated by Rumiantsov and other generals, and in 1771 suffered a severe repulse in a naval engagement off Chesmé on the coast of Asia Minor. At this time Russia swarmed with English adventurers, who took service under her as readily at that time as they have in the present century under her rivals the Ottomans. Thus among the sailors we have Greig, Dugdale, and Billings; and it was an Englishman, Mackenzie, who first showed the capacities of Sevastópol as a harbour. In 1774 the peace of Kutchuk-Kainardji was signed, whereby the Sultan acknowledged the independence of the Crimean Khans. Azov and Kinburn were also ceded to the Russians. We shall remember that Azov had not belonged to them since the days of Peter, when the Turks reconquered it. The Russians were now advancing rapidly to the shores of the Black Sea.


In 1771 the plague broke out at Moscow, and committed fearful ravages in that city. The authorities found the same difficulties in dealing with an ignorant population as Nicholas at a later period did during the outbreak of the cholera. The people showed their usual hostility to all sanitary measures, and while endeavouring to enforce them the Archbishop Ambrose was killed.

In 1773 broke out the insurrection of Pugachev, a Cossack of the Don, who gave himself out to be the Emperor Peter III. The notion is said to have been put into his head by his having been told that he bore a great personal likeness to the late Emperor. In a country like Russia, where so many *revolutions de palais* had occurred, and so many persons of the






ÁKOV.



highest rank had mysteriously disappeared, a fine field was offered to these adventurers, and accordingly we find them swarming in the pages of her history. The imposters connected with the name of the son of Ivan IV., supposed to have been assassinated at Uglich, will readily occur to the reader, to say nothing of those who appeared on other occasions. Moreover, the country was vast and thinly peopled, and the population, on the whole, grossly ignorant. All these circumstances favoured deceit. Again, there were many peasants groaning under the tyranny of serfdom, *raskolniks* who had been visited with severe chastisement for heresy, and many of the Mongolian races of Southern Russia were eager to shake off her yoke. It was essentially a peasants' war—a kind of fierce *jacquerie*—and the persons who suffered from it were, in the main, the upper classes, who were on many occasions betrayed to the rebels by their revengeful serfs. Instances, however, occurred in which the serfs saved the lives of masters and mistresses for whom they felt affection, by mixing them up among themselves, and disguising them in the clothes of peasants. Besides this wholesale slaughter of the landed proprietors and their families, the officers of the garrisons which were taken by Pugachev were at once put to death. An astronomer who had been sent by the Government into South Russia, fell into his hands, and was ordered by the imposter to be hanged on a very high gallows, that he might be nearer the stars. Still Pugachev must have had some supporters in his camp of the higher ranks. Some one had given him a Holstein flag, and educated men



Подлинное изображение бунтовщика и обманщика ЕМЕЛЬКИ ПУГАЧЕВА. *Wahre Abbildung des Rebellen und Betrügers* EMEELKA PUGATSCEW.

PUGACHEV.

alone could have written out his decrees, as he was a grossly illiterate peasant, who had never been taught to read. He gave out that he was the Emperor Peter, who had in some mysterious way escaped from the hands of his would-be assassins. The first generals sent against him were unsuccessful, and he got possession of several cities, including even Kazan. Catherine had already begun to dread the march of the impostor upon Moscow, but his revolting cruelties soon estranged from him all but the most desperate cut-throats and robbers. Bibikov and other generals defeated him in some petty battles, but he was able to retreat into the dense forests, where he was concealed by his supporters. Driven about from place to place, he was finally surrendered to Suvórov by some of his followers, who had grown weary of him, and were anxious to make peace with the Government. He was taken in an iron cage to Moscow, and there publicly executed in 1775, with four of his accomplices. Pushkin, the Russian poet, has left us a detailed history of this peasants' war, but a more enduring monument of his fame will be the graceful tale which he has founded upon its incidents, entitled "The Captain's Daughter" (*Kapitanskaya Dochka*).

The Empress was resolved, as much as possible, to stamp out all the elements of this rebellion, and accordingly the military republic, or *sech*, as it was called, of the Zaporozhian Cossacks, established on some islands of the Dneiper, was put an end to. It had long been sinking since the severe blow dealt against it by Peter after the rebellion of Mazeppa.

The hetmanship had become in course of time merely an honorary office ; thus we find it held by Kazumovski, the favourite of Elizabeth.

Catherine appears also as a legislator. In her reign there was a great codification of Russian law, but the serfs saw no amelioration of their condition, although the Empress occasionally punished severely landed proprietors who ill-treated their slaves, as in the case of one of the ladies of the Saltikov family, a name celebrated in all the annals of Russian history, who was condemned to perpetual imprisonment for gross cruelty to her female serfs. In 1783 the Crimea, which had for some time been under the rule of an independent Khan, was annexed to Russia, and four years later the Turks declared war, owing, no doubt, to the apprehensions which they had formed from the meeting of Catherine and the German Emperor Joseph, which seemed to bode them no good. But they were everywhere defeated, owing to the military talents of Suvórov.

On the 14th of January, 1787, Catherine set out from St. Petersburg on her memorable expedition to the Crimea. She was accompanied by a brilliant suite, and took with her the two eldest sons of Paul, the Grand Dukes Alexander, afterwards Emperor, and Constantine. But the young princes could not bear the fatigues of the journey ; Constantine fell ill of the measles, and they were both left behind after having travelled but a short way. The plan of the journey had been arranged by the gorgeous and fantastic Potemkin, who had long virtually ruled Russia in the name of the Empress. The procession

stopped at Tsarskoe Selo till the eighteenth of January; after that date the Empress travelled at the rate of about forty English miles a day. On the boundaries of each Government she was received by the Governor-general of the province, who escorted her to the next Government. In towns of some importance she rested one or two days to inspect the place. At Kiev she was joined by Potemkin. It was here that she went on the Dnieper, being escorted by a fleet of fifty galleys, elegantly furnished. In each of the principal vessels there were thirty musicians. Potemkin had contrived that the territories through which Catherine passed, and which had only recently been acquired by Russia, should wear an appearance of extreme prosperity. To effect this he is said to have employed every artifice. After a short voyage, the fleet cast anchor at Kaniev, on the Dnieper, where the King of Poland, Stanislaus Poniatowski, had been waiting three months to see her. From Kaniev she proceeded along the Dnieper to Kremenchug, where she lodged in a splendid palace. The German Emperor, Joseph II., who travelled by the name of Count Falkenstein, had already arrived some time before Catherine at Kherson. He now came to meet her at Kudak, the ancient metropolis of the Zaporozhian Cossacks, where she landed near the cataracts, to continue her route by land with the Emperor. As the Empress was walking through the streets of Kherson, she was led to a gate facing the east, over which was a Greek inscription: "This is the road that leads to Byzantium. At Bakchisarai, the picturesque old capital of the Khans, the Empress



ПОТЕМКИН.

resided in what had formerly been their palace. The limit of her journey was Starii Krim. From this point she proceeded slowly back by way of Poltava and Moscow. At the latter place Joseph left her. The Empress reached St. Petersburg on the 22nd of July.

On the 15th of October, 1791, Potemkin died. He had been for some time residing at Jassy, where negotiations for peace with Turkey were now being carried on. This remarkable man was a native of Smolensk. He had been an accomplice in the plot of the Orlovs, which overthrew the Emperor Peter, and is said to have first attracted the attention of the Empress in the following way. On the day of the revolution, Catherine, dressed in the uniform of the Guards, and decorated with the insignia of the order of St. Andrew, inspected the troops on horseback, and rode through the ranks with Princess Dashkov, also in uniform. Potemkin, perceiving that Catherine had no plume in her hat, rode up to offer his own. For a long time he had been the chief favourite, and had virtually ruled Russia. His constitution was now quite worn out ; but instead of adopting a temperate manner of life, he pretended to overcome his ailments by the mere strength of his constitution. He paid no attention to his physicians, lived upon salt meat and raw turnips, and drank hot wines and spirits. Finding that his health did not improve in this way, he removed to Ochákov. He set out on the 15th of October, 1791, at three o'clock in the morning. Scarcely had he travelled a few versts, when he felt unable to bear the motion of the carriage. He

*Potemkin
was not
in the
Empress's*

alighted ; a carpet was spread at the foot of a tree, and upon this his attendants laid the dying man. He could no longer speak, and had barely time to squeeze the hand of his niece, Countess Branicki, when he expired, aged fifty-two years. He was interred with great pomp at Kherson, but when Paul, who regarded his memory with loathing, came to the throne, he ordered the coffin of this minion of fortune to be taken from the vault and thrust into some obscure corner. When Clarke visited the place at the commencement of the present century, the site of his burial was unknown.* He was unquestionably a man of considerable ability, but his licentiousness, extravagance, and haughtiness have caused his name to be regarded in Russia with but little respect. His influence over the Empress had been boundless.

While hampered with the war with Turkey, Russia found herself in conflict with Sweden, at that time governed by the vain and foolish Gustavus III., who was destined to terminate his career by the pistol of Ankarström. His ruling idea seems to have been to raise Sweden to her former high position among the nations of Europe. He saw that Russia was the greatest obstacle to this, and he only waited for an opportunity of declaring war. He is said to have meditated doing so even as early as 1773, when Russia was disturbed by the rebellion of Pugachev. The time chosen was a dangerous one for that country, the greater portion of whose army was in the south ; in St. Petersburg there were not more than fourteen thousand soldiers. The condition of the country would have been worse if Gustavus had

* See note, page 248.

delayed the declaration of war a few days, by which time the fleet would have quitted the Baltic for the Archipelago. Fortunately, the enemy had not observed this, and Greig, the Russian admiral, met the Swedish fleet, under the command of the Duke of Sudermanland, off the island of Hogland. The Swedes were defeated, and forced to seek refuge in the harbour of Sveaborg, where they were blockaded by the Russians. On land the king was equally unsuccessful, owing to his bad generalship and the intrigues of the nobles, who were averse to the war, and with considerable reason, since it was draining Sweden, a poor country at best, of its resources. Murmurs arose among the Swedish soldiery; the Finns were in a state of revolt, and when Gustavus came to Stockholm he found the diet arrayed against him because he had declared war without their consent. In 1790 the contest was renewed with great eagerness, and the Russian Empress was more ready for it. Although Gustavus could boast of one great victory at sea, he had in a previous engagement lost many of his best men-of-war. Seeing how impossible it was for him to carry on the struggle any longer, he made peace. Everything remained on the footing on which it had been in the spring of 1788. ✓

Such were the terms of the treaty of Verela. On the night of March 16, 1792, at a masked ball held in the Opera House, Stockholm, Gustavus was mortally wounded. The details of the conspiracy by which he was killed are well known.

In the last year of her life, a mortification was

prepared for Catherine which she had but little expected. Eager to keep up her influence in Sweden, she had formed a project of marrying her granddaughter Alexandra to the young King Gustavus IV. He accordingly visited St. Petersburg with his uncle, was betrothed, and everything was arranged for the marriage. But when the day on which the ceremony was to take place had arrived, and the Empress surrounded by her Court, was ready to receive the young king, he did not come, and after waiting for some time the assembly dispersed.

The scene has been graphically described by Masson :

“The King was to make his appearance at court at seven o'clock in the evening : at six the diplomatist Markov brought him the contract and the terms of alliance which he had just drawn up with the help of Zubov. Gustavus, on reading it, appeared much astonished to find things in it about which he had made no agreement with the Empress, and asked if they were offered him to sign on her part. Upon Markov's replying in the affirmative, he answered that the thing was impossible. He observed that he did not wish to wrong the conscience of the princess, that she could privately profess her religion, but that he could not allow her a chapel nor clergy in the royal palace, and that in public and in all external observances she must, on the contrary, profess the religion of the country. Let us imagine the surprise and embarrassment of Markov. He was obliged to betake himself off with his papers, and to report to Zubov that the King refused to sign. He soon

returned in greater agitation, and announced that the Empress was already in the throne-room, surrounded by all her Court, that it was no longer possible to speak with her, that she was waiting for the prince, and they flattered themselves that he would not cause a scene which would constitute an unheard-of affront to the sovereign, the young princess, and the whole empire. Bezborodko and several others arrived in succession, exhorting, pressing, and entreating the King to give way. All the Swedes whom they addressed felt inclined to yield. The Regent contented himself with saying that all depended upon the King. He took him aside, walked round the room with him, appearing himself to urge him in a low tone. But the King answered him aloud, 'No, no, I will not have it; I cannot do it; I will not sign.' He resisted all the remonstrances and all the importunities of the Russian ministers; and finally, wearied with these importunities, retired to his chamber and shut the door, after again giving a clear and peremptory refusal to sign anything against the law of his country."

The Empress declined after this to hold any intercourse with him, and he returned home, marrying in the same year the Princess Frederika of Baden. We shall find Russia again at war with Sweden in the reign of Alexander.

In 1795 Courland was definitively united with Russia. We have already learned how Anne, the daughter of Ivan, was married to the duke, and resided at Mittau till she was made Empress. The

duchy was regarded more or less as a dependency of Russia, and this fact explains the determination of the Russians not to allow Maurice of Saxony to marry the widowed duchess. On his return from exile the notorious Biren had been made duke; on his death he was succeeded by his son Peter, a man of feeble character, and thoroughly despised. The members of the Courland nobility who had visited Russia had been received by Catherine with great distinction, and they felt how much more dignified it would be to belong to an important empire than to an obscure province. Accordingly, on March 18, 1795, an act was drawn up by which Courland Semigallia (literally "the end of the earth," from the Lithuanian words, *zeme*, land, and *galas*, end; cf. Finisterre), and the circle of Pilten were formerly surrendered to the Empress of Russia. With the exception of Mittau and the few German towns scattered over the province, the population consists of Letts, as described in the first chapter of this book. In the time of Adam of Bremen, in the eleventh century, Courland belonged to the Swedes. We have spoken of the Sword-bearers, who succeeded them as owners, and were afterwards amalgamated with the Teutonic knights. Finally, Courland was turned into an hereditary duchy under the suzerainty of Poland. Its subsequent fate we have already seen. Livonia or Liefland had been acquired by Peter the Great at the treaty of Nystad, together with Esthonia; and thus the so-called Baltic provinces were united in process of time with Russia. The bulk of the inhabitants of Livonia consists of Finns, and the

descendants of the German settlers only form a very small element of the population. We must bear this in mind when we read of the so-called encroachments of the Russians on the German preserves. Livonia, before passing into Russian hands, had belonged to the Sword-bearers, and afterwards Poland and Sweden. Prince Kropotkin, in his valuable article on Russian ethnology in the last edition of the *Encyclopædia Britannica*, gives the following statistics of the relation of the German element to those of the Letts and Finns in the Baltic provinces. "The prevailing population is Esthonian, Curonian, or Lettish, the Germans (landlords or tradesmen and artizans in towns) being respectively only 3·5, 6·8, and 7·6 per cent. of the population. In the three provinces, Riga included, they hardly reach 120,000 out of 1,800,000 inhabitants. The relations of the Esthonians and Letts to their landlords are anything but friendly." By the treaty of Jassy with Turkey in 1792, Catherine kept possession of Ochákov and the shore between the Bug and Dniester. The stately Odessa soon began to rise where had originally been the alleys and shanties of the filthy Turkish village of Hadjibey.

The last moments of Catherine have been graphically described by Masson.

On the sixteenth of November, she had what she called her *little hermitage*, and appeared in unusually high spirits. She had received by a vessel from Lübeck the news that General Moreau had been compelled to recross the Rhine, and she wrote a jocular letter to Cobenzel, the Austrian minister. She

amused herself a great deal with Leo Naris'hkin, her grand écuyer and chief buffoon, buying of him all sorts of trifling articles, which he generally carried in his pockets to sell to her, as a wandering pedlar, whose character he personated, would do. She retired, however, somewhat earlier than usual. The following morning she rose at her customary hour, and sent for her favourite, who remained a short time with her. She then transacted some business with her secretaries, and dismissed the last who presented himself, telling him to await her orders in the ante-chamber, and that she would recall him to finish the work. He waited some time; but the *valet de chambre*, Zacharia Konstantinovich, being uneasy at not being called, and hearing no noise in the chamber, finally entered. He saw with terror the Empress prostrate between two doors which led into her dressing-room. She was insensible, and did not move; every effort was made to rouse her to consciousness, but she remained in a kind of lethargy. She moved, however, one of her feet, and pressed the hand of a *femme de chambre*. After some time she uttered a deep groan and expired, on the 17th of November, 1796.

Her reign had been fruitful in events. In the first place, the gain in territory had been immense, especially in the west and south, and Russia had now that outlet to the Black Sea which had been so much desired by Peter. The progress of the country in literature was hardly less rapid, and although some of the authors have not been able to maintain their reputations in our own days, yet many possessed considerable merit. Such were Kheraskov, Bogdanóvich, and

Khemnitser. Gradually a thoroughly national drama was created, as seen in the comedies of Von Visin. Derzhávin was the great laureate of Catherine's victories, but we shall leave the further discussion of the merits of these writers to the chapter on Russian literature. In spite of her pretended sympathy with the French *encyclopédistes* and her correspondence with Voltaire, the Empress became reactionary and conservative at the close of her reign, owing to the terror caused by the excesses of the French Revolution. This was especially shown in her treatment of Alexander Radistchev, a *chinóvnik* and a man of liberal ideas, who had been educated abroad, and was able to contemplate his native country from another standpoint. He published a little work, entitled, "A Journey to Moscow," perhaps suggested by the "Sentimental Journey" of Sterne, then one of the most popular authors of Europe. In this work there were some pungent remarks on the condition of the serfs, whose sufferings were described too faithfully. The writer was sent to Siberia, and the printer severely punished, and when Radistchev was allowed afterwards to return to Russia, his health was broken by the sufferings which he had undergone. Novikov also, who had done much for education in the country, was imprisoned for some time. It might have been expected that Catherine would have shown more sympathy with literature, for she herself was an authoress. She wrote fairy and moral tales in the style of Marmontel, then so popular; little comedies; and, strangest of all, a kind of Russian adaptation of Shakspeare's "Merry Wives of Windsor." Her friend,

the Princess Dashkov, who was appointed President of the Academy of Arts, co-operated with her in these studies. A large number of new towns were built, and Russia was divided into governments (*gubernii*), which were again subdivided into districts or *arrondissements* (*uyezdi*). If we examine the results of the reign of Catherine, we shall see that she was the first worthy successor who appeared after Peter's death. His plans for the development of Russia on the model of a European state were carried out, and she was thoroughly established as a great European power. Russia had now a magnificent footing on the Black Sea, and we shall find thriving ports created on the sites of miserable Turkish villages, which before had only been noted for barbarism and squalor. Odessa rises on the ruins of Hadjibey, and Sēvastópol on Aktiar, just as we may expect to see in a short time a handsome city on the site of Batoum.

The military glories of the reign of Catherine have been amply described in the preceding pages. Among the celebrated generals who threw a lustre over her reign are Suvórov and Rumiantsov. The former has obtained a considerable reputation owing to his being engaged in the wars with the French Republic, which will be recorded under the reign of Paul. He was born in 1729, and was descended from a Swede who had emigrated to Russia in the earlier part of the seventeenth century. He is first heard of at the battle of Kunersdorf, and distinguished himself in the war against Frederick, and the battles between the Russians and the Poles at the time of the first

partition. But it was as a commander in the Russian wars against the Turks that he made his name known. In 1790, on taking Ismail, he sent the following couplet to the Empress :—

“Slava Bogu ! Slava vam !
Krepost vsiata, i ya tam.”

(“Glory to God ! Glory to you !
The fortress is taken, and I am there.”)

This was quite in keeping with his character, for he always affected to be a humorist. His storming of Praga, the suburb of Warsaw, we have already mentioned.

The following description of the Empress Catherine is given by Coxe in his travels as he saw her in 1778, when she was at the height of her power and magnificence :—

“The Empress wore, according to her usual custom, a Russian dress ; it was a robe with a short train, and a vest with sleeves reaching to the wrist, like a polonaise ; the vest was of gold brocade, and the robe was of light green silk ; her hair was dressed low, and lightly sprinkled with powder ; her cap ornamented with a profusion of diamonds ; and she wore a great deal of rouge. Her person, though rather below the middle size, is majestic, and her countenance, particularly when she speaks, expresses both dignity and sweetness.”

The splendour of her Court has been graphically described by the same author, and if we wish to reproduce to ourselves the Russia of those times, we shall find it in his pages, as we do the Oriental

luxury of that of Ivan the Terrible in the diary of Horsey, and of Alexis in the descriptions of Olearius, and the account of Earl of Carlisle's embassy.

As regards the private character of Catherine we must be content to forget it for the sake of the great services which she rendered to her country. It would be to no purpose to reiterate for the thousandth time these ancient scandals. Judging from the results of her reign, it would be impossible for a Russian not to admire the vigour of her genius ; she was a worthy successor of the man who founded the greatness of modern Russia.

* In 1874 the remains of Potemkin were discovered and buried in a vault in the church at Kherson ; an inscription to his memory was placed on a marble tablet ; see *Starii Peterburg* (Old St. Petersburg) by M. Pilayev. St. Petersburg, 1889, p. 318.—(See page 238).





X.

REIGNS OF PAUL (1796-1801) AND ALEXANDER I. (1801-1825). RUSSIA IN COLLISION WITH THE FRENCH REVOLUTION AND NAPOLEON.

PAUL, the only child of the Empress Catherine, ascended the throne in his forty-second year, having been born in 1754. Up to the time of his succession he had led a retired life, neglected by his mother and the courtiers. The former regarded him with dislike, and the latter copied faithfully the feelings of their sovereign. The Empress seems to have had great fears that he might be called to the throne by the voice of the nation, as her position was, to say the least, a very uncertain one. There seems every reason to believe that Catherine had removed him from the succession, leaving the throne to her grandson Alexander ; but Kurákin, one of Paul's most intimate friends, contrived to gain access to the private apartments of the Empress and burnt her will. The only event of his life of any significance while Grand Duke had been a tour in the West of Europe in 1780. He was twice married, first in 1772 to Augusta, Princess of Hesse Darmstadt, who died three years after, leaving no issue ; secondly, in 1776, to Dorothea



Son Altesse
PAUL

Imperiale
PETROVITCH

GRAND DUC

de Russie. &c &c. &c.

Dedie' a Son Altesse Imperiale

MADAME LA GRANDE DUCHESSE,

Par Son tres Humble et tres Obeissant Serviteur, Gab. Sarcodoumoff

Published as the Act directs 22^d April 1781.


PAUL.

Sophia, Princess of Würtemberg, who was received into the Greek Church as Maria Feodorovna. Paul's first act was one of pious regard for the memory of his father, whose dishonoured remains had long lain in the Nevski monastery, and not with the bodies of the other Tsars and Tsaritsas. The Tsars from the time of the Grand Duke Ivan Kalitá, who died in 1341, were buried in the Cathedral of St. Michael the Archangel at Moscow. Here the traveller may contemplate the tomb of the terrible Ivan, who was interred in 1584. From the time of Peter the Great they have been buried in the church of SS. Peter and Paul at St. Petersburg, with the single exception of the boy Peter II., who died at Moscow and was buried there. The Monastery of St. Alexander Nevski, where Peter III. had been interred, contains the tombs of many of the most celebrated Russian authors. His remains were now exhumed; we are told that on the opening of the coffin nothing was found but pieces of bone and the Emperor's boots. The body of Peter was buried with that of the Empress in the church of the Petropavlovski fortress after a magnificent funeral ceremony, in which Orlov and the other supposed assassins of the Tsar had been compelled to follow the coffins. After this ceremony was over they were for ever banished from the empire. The strange fantastic character of Paul soon began to display itself; insane as he probably was, he alternated between excessive generosity and capricious tyranny. He released the Polish hero Kosciuszko from his imprisonment, and many unfortunate persons who had been incarcerated during the

greater part of the reign of Catherine for State reasons not explained. But we need not shudder particularly at their treatment as a proof of Russian barbarism and despotism, if we reflect that precisely the same thing had been going on in the capital of her contemporary, Louis XV. ; and it may be doubted whether a Russian peasant with his communal piece of land was not a great deal better off than the French peasant of the same period.

In spite of these benevolent acts Paul soon became unpopular. He revived many obsolete imperial privileges, which were disagreeable to the nobility, such as making people get out of their carriages and kneel in the mud when they met him. In fact he had ridiculous semi-oriental ideas of dignity. Pushkin the poet, who was born in the year 1799, used jocosely to say that he had been presented to the Emperor Paul. The fact was that the Tsar one day met the nurse carrying the future author, then a mere infant, and because she was not quick enough in doing it, angrily snatched the cap from the little boy's head. The army also disliked his German innovations ; the soldiers were dressed in pigtailed with powdered hair, and Suvórov got into trouble for an epigram which he made on the subject. In fact the conduct of Paul throughout was eccentric, and we can probably best explain his vagaries by the theory that he was insane. One of the quaintest stories about him is that told by Kotzebue. He was once summoned into the presence of the Emperor, who said to him in German : " You know the world too well not to be adequately informed about political occurrences, and must, there-

fore, have learned how I have figured in it. I have often made a rather foolish exhibition of myself,' continued he, laughing; 'it is right that I should be punished, and I have imposed a chastisement upon myself. I want this'—he held a paper in his hand—'to be inserted in the *Hamburg Gazette* and other newspapers.' He then took me confidentially by the arm and read me the following paper, which he had written in French." Kotzebue then gives the document in the actual orthography of the Emperor, as follows:—



ROUBLE OF PAUL I.

“On apprend de Petersbourg que l'Empereur de Russie voyant que les puissances de l'Europe ne pouvoit s'accorder entre elle et voulant mettre fin à une guerre qui la desoloit depuis onse ans vouloit proposer un lieu ou il inviteroit tous les autres souverains de se rendre et y combattre en champ clos ayant avec eux pour ecuyer juge de camp et héros d'armes leurs ministres les plus éclairés et les generaux les plus habiles tels que M^{rs}. Thugust, Pitt, Bernstorff, lui même se proposant de prendre avec lui les

generaux C. de Palen et Kutusof, on ne sçait si on doit y ajouter foi, toute fois la chose ne paroît pas destituée de fondement en portant l'empreinte de ce dont il a souvent été taxé."

On another occasion we are told that being very much offended with the want of discipline in a regiment which he was reviewing, he told them to march off at once to Siberia. This order the officers and soldiers implicitly obeyed, and had advanced a considerable way on their journey when they received a command from Paul that they were at once to return. Volumes might indeed be filled with stories of this kind.

Paul, however, passed one very good law in the midst of his many follies. He altered the ukase of Peter the Great, which made the succession to the throne dependent upon the will of the reigning sovereign, and settled it in the eldest son; thus barring the way to pretenders and adventurers. He was a man of singular ugliness, and was at last unwilling that his portrait should appear on the coins; thus since his time the two-headed eagle has by degrees supplanted the likeness of the Tsar previously found upon them.

His foreign policy seemed to be inspired by a desire for peace, thus in the circular which he dictated to foreign powers he declared that after forty years' continual battles Russia had need of tranquillity. But he was gradually drawn into a war with France. He detested the republican form of government and offered a retreat to Louis XVIII. at Mittau. Moreover, the French had taken Malta, and in 1798 the Knights sent an embassy to Paul, offering him the dignity of Grand Master; he now joined Turkey,

England, Austria, and Naples in a coalition against Bonaparte. Suvórov, who had retired in disgrace to his country-seat, was summoned to take the command of the army; he entered upon his duties at Verona, where the combined Russian and Austrian forces awaited him. In 1799 he defeated Moreau on the banks of the Adda, and made a triumphant entry into Milan; Moreau was compelled to evacuate the town with the exception of the citadel. Suvórov then turned upon Macdonald, the other French general, who had marched from the south to the Trebbia, and in a battle on the banks of this river, which lasted three days, completely defeated him, with a loss on the French side of eighteen thousand men.

Moreau soon afterwards retired beyond the Bochetta to Novi, and in a short time was succeeded in the command by Joubert, through intrigues in the Directory. On his arrival the new general gave battle to the Austro-Russians under Suvórov at Novi, Aug. 15th, and was killed at the very commencement of the action in which the French were defeated with great loss. Tortona surrendered on the 23rd of August. Soon after this battle Suvórov received orders from his government to proceed into Switzerland, to act in conjunction with another Russian army which had been sent under the command of Korsakov. Before, however, he could join him, the latter, who was but an indifferent general, had been defeated by the French. Suvórov succeeded in passing the Alps by the St. Gothard, but lost a great many men; he then learned the disaster of Korsakov, and determined upon a retreat. He first marched by the Prager Pass into

Glarus, and thence into the Grison territory by the Pass of Panix. Hence by way of Feldkirch, with the remnants of the two armies, he directed his homeward march to Russia. On his return the emperor refused to see him, and the veteran retired in disgrace to his estates, where he shortly afterwards died in the year 1800; the English ambassador was the only person of distinction present at the funeral of the fallen favourite. Such was the end of this famous general who had covered the Russian army with glory, but had too often sullied his reputation with a reckless sacrifice of human life. He was a great humourist, as we learn from the many stories which have been handed down about him, and ingratiated himself with the common soldier by sharing in all the hardships of the campaign and by the simple life which he adopted.

The tables were now to be turned; the capricious Paul disgusted with the treatment which he had received from Austria and England—which powers he accused of betraying him—threw himself into the arms of Bonaparte, who had won him over by skilful diplomacy, having among other acts of courtesy sent back the Russian prisoners newly clothed and armed. The Tsar now drank publicly to the health of Bonaparte, and ordered Louis XVIII. to quit Mittau. A plan was entered upon between the Russian emperor and the French general for invading India, and it was drawn up by Paul with the greatest minuteness. But the newly-formed coalition was broken up by the assassination of the emperor in the night of March 23, 1801, which Napoleon had the meanness in the *Moniteur* to insinuate had been

planned by the English. But, in reality, Paul had rendered himself so odious to his nobles by his capricious acts, and had so imperilled the safety and prosperity of the country by his foreign policy, that there was a universal feeling that he ought to be compelled to abdicate, and the crown was to be offered to Alexander his son. The Tsar was strangled in the Mikhailovski Palace by Zubov, Pahlen, and others; but their original idea seems to have been merely to force the emperor to abdicate. An interesting account of some of the events of that memorable night—exclusive of the actual details of the assassination—was found among the papers of General Sablukov, who was on duty at the palace. He had suspicions that something extraordinary was about to take place, although not previously informed of the conspiracy, especially from the conduct of the Grand Dukes Alexander and Constantine, who only knew of the proposal to force the Emperor to abdicate. Between ten and eleven o'clock Paul was seen to go to his bedroom, having with him a favourite little dog and his adjutant, Uvarov. According to the narrative, the dog from his uneasy movements seemed to have a presentiment that something was to occur. Shortly after midnight, Sablukov received orders from the Grand Duke Constantine to have his regiment ready, and between three and four o'clock in the morning it was noised about that the emperor was no more. The regiment took the oath to the new sovereign. Sablukov adds the following: "On the left flank stood Gregory Ivanov, an excellent soldier, about six feet high. I said to him,

'Have you heard what has happened?' 'Yes.' 'Well then, take the oath to the Emperor Alexander.' 'Your Excellency,' answered he, 'have you seen the Emperor Paul really dead?' 'No,' answered I. 'Would it not be strange,' said Gregory Ivanov, 'if we took the oath to Alexander while Paul was still alive?' 'Quite so,' answered I. I then turned to the general and said to him in Russian, in a loud voice, 'Allow me to suggest to your Excellency, that we do not administer the oath in the legitimate way: it is never done without the colours.' I then whispered in his ear, in French, to order me to send for them. The general then said in a loud voice: 'You are quite right, colonel, send for the colours. I ordered the first platoon to mount their horses; Gregory Ivanov was on the flank, and in consequence, one of those whose duty it was to go to the palace for the colours. I told Cornet Filatiev, who commanded the platoon, to show the Emperor Paul to the soldiers at once. When they came to the palace General Benningsen, a Hanoverian in the Russian service, who is said to have been that one of the conspirators who, sword in hand, presented an act of abdication for Paul to sign, cried out, 'Mais c'est impossible.' Filatiev answered that if the soldiers did not see him dead, the regiment would refuse to take the oath to the new emperor. 'Ah! ma foi,' said the old man, 's'ils lui sont si attachés ils n'ont qu' à le voir.' And both platoons were permitted to enter, and saw the body of the emperor."

At once, on the accession of Alexander, Sablukov tells us, peace was concluded with England, and a

special messenger was sent with the treaty to Count Vorontsov, in London; the country was suffering greatly from the interruption to commerce.

Such was the end of the unfortunate Paul; the Empress Maria survived till 1828. By his second wife he left a large family, among the members of which may be mentioned Alexander, afterwards emperor, born in 1777; Constantine, Viceroy of Poland; Nicholas, also emperor, and Michael. Besides these he had the following daughters—Alexandrina, betrothed, as we have previously read, to the King of Sweden, she ultimately married Joseph, the Palatine of Hungary, and died in 1801; Helena; Maria, who married the Duke of Saxe-Weimar; Catherine, who married first Prince George of Oldenburg, secondly, William I., King of Wurtemberg; and Anne, who married William II., King of Holland, mother of the present sovereign. The death of Paul was felt to be a relief, not merely by Russia, but by all Europe; his fantastic conduct had frequently brought his country to the verge of ruin, and his tyranny had made the liberty of hardly any Russian safe. Memoir-writers and travellers during this reign abound with stories of the caprice of the Tsar, and Edward Clarke, of Cambridge, who visited the country at the beginning of the present century, tells us many amusing anecdotes. In his conduct to the third-rate dramatist Kotzebue and the German pastor Seidler, who were both sent to Siberia, owing to trifling accusations trumped up against them, Paul showed the despotism of his rule, but in the quick and generous reparation which he made, he gave proofs of a benevolent disposition.

Alexander I., on ascending the throne, at once made peace with England and France, but his amicable relations with the latter country were not destined to last long. The constant aggressions of Napoleon awoke a feeling of uneasiness in the Russian emperor, who sent Novosiltsov, one of his favourite ministers, to England, with the object of forming a coalition, and the British Cabinet agreed to furnish a subsidy of one million two hundred thousand pounds sterling for every hundred thousand men whom Russia should put into the field. Thus commenced the third coalition against Napoleon in 1804; it was at once joined by the King of Prussia, with whom Alexander had the celebrated interview by the side of the coffin of Frederick the Great. In discussing events which belong to general European history, a more condensed form of narrative has been adopted, those in which Russia is immediately concerned having necessarily the predominance. By the treaty of Potsdam, as it was called, Prussia engaged to furnish eighty thousand men to the coalition; the Austrians joined the alliance soon afterwards. The events of the campaign must be briefly described. Mack, the Austrian general, blockaded in Ulm, was compelled to surrender that fortress with thirty thousand men, a capitulation which has been thrown into the shade by the disgraceful delivery of Metz to the Prussians by Bazaine, in our own days. Napoleon now entered Austria almost without opposition, and Murat took possession of Vienna. On December 2, 1805, occurred the great battle of Austerlitz, in Moravia, which was followed by the peace of Pressburg. At this battle—



ALEXANDER I.

(From a print in the British Museum.)

which is too well known to need description here—the Russians lost 21,000 men, 133 cannon, and 30 flags. It was only by the clemency of the conqueror that their troops, by slow stages, were enabled to return to their native country. Napoleon wished to gain over Alexander, and not only ordered his retreat to be respected, but sent back Prince Repnin and the soldiers of the Imperial Guard, who had been captured at the battle. But although they suffered this great check the confidence of the Allies was not yet abated, and their hopes were stimulated by the crushing defeat inflicted on the French navy by Nelson at Trafalgar. A fourth coalition was formed, which was followed by the great battle of Jena, in 1806, at which, however, no Russians were present. Russia now found herself in a most difficult position, for besides her war with France, she was also engaged in hostilities with Persia and Turkey, about which we shall speak when we have finished the account of the French wars.

In the following year the Russian general Benningsen suffered something like a defeat at Eylau, although, in consequence of the French losses, the latter were hardly able to claim a victory. A second battle took place at Friedland on June 14th of the same year, in which the Russians are said to have lost from fifteen to twenty thousand men. Alexander was now eager for peace, and Napoleon, after an interview with him on a raft in the River Niemen, concluded the treaty of Tilsit (July 7, 1807). The portions of this treaty concerned with Russia or Slavonic countries are the establishment of the Grand Duchy of Warsaw, under the Government of the King of Saxony, which

led the Poles to nourish hopes for the reconstitution of their kingdom—which were not destined to be afterwards fulfilled—and the district of Bialystok was to be taken from Poland and incorporated with Russia. By a secret clause Russia was to address an ultimatum to England, which, if that power neglected, war was to be declared against her by Russia before the 1st of December. At the same time the Emperor Napoleon abandoned Turkey to Russia. By this treaty it appeared as if the two emperors had agreed to divide Europe between them, but Alexander had to reckon with his subjects, who were ill satisfied with it. They suffered extremely from the attempt to force upon them the continental system of Napoleon, by which English goods were excluded from their ports

Before, however, continuing our account of the struggle between Russia and France, something must be said of the important wars which led to the incorporation of Georgia and Finland.

The interesting kingdom of Georgia, with its ancient Christianity and long dynasties of kings, had been for some time in a state of decay. Rival factions in the country itself, and the hostilities of the Persians who laid the land waste, and sacked Tiflis in 1793, had reduced Georgia to a deplorable condition. For a long time there had been close relations between Russia and Georgia, dating, in fact, from the fifteenth century. The Georgians, as rigid adherents of the Greek faith, were subject to the continual attacks of their Mussulman neighbours, and naturally looked to Russia for protection. In 1492, Alexander, the King of Kakhetia (a province of Georgia) sought assistance

from Ivan III., and in 1587 Alexander II. avowed himself the tributary of Feodore Ivanovich, and in 1594 of Boris Godunov. In 1619 Teimouraz I., the King of Kakhetia, implored the help of the Tsar Michael, with the view of getting back from captivity his mother and two sons, who had been taken prisoners by the Persians under Shah-Abbas. In 1638, Levan, ruler of Mingrelia, another Georgian province, took the oath of allegiance to the Emperor Alexis; in 1650 we find Alexander, the prince of another province, Imeretia, doing the same. In 1770 Count Todleben, at the head of a Russian army, drove the Turks out of Carthalinia, Imeretia, and Mingrelia. Besides these relations between the two countries, on several occasions the Georgian kings had taken refuge in Russia, and this circumstance explains the fact, as Professor Tsagarelli has pointed out, that so many interesting relics of early Georgian literature have been found in Russia. Heraklius II., in 1783, acknowledged himself the tributary of Russia, and putting an end to the office of Catholicos, the head of the Georgian Church, made it entirely dependent upon the Holy Synod of Moscow. The whole of his protracted reign was one long struggle against his Moslem enemies, and if Georgia had been subjected to such an unequal conflict for another century, it would have ended by her being effaced from the roll of nations. Already whole districts of Persia had been peopled by Georgian captives, whose descendants can be traced at this day. In the year 1793 the Persian Shah, Aga-Mohammed Khan, with thirty-five thousand soldiers, made a descent upon the unhappy country, and took Tiflis.

The aged Heraklius, who had before been conspicuous by his energy, could effect nothing against so powerful an enemy. Tiflis was given over to fire and sword, and a great number of captives carried off to Persia. Heraklius fled into the mountains, and three years afterwards died at Telava, at the age of eighty, after a troubled reign of fifty-two years. His son George who succeeded, surrendered his native country to Russia in 1799, and died in the following year. Georgia was finally incorporated with Russia by a proclamation of the Emperor Alexander, dated September 21, 1801. The city of Tiflis has now become Russified to a certain extent, but the noble old language which has during so many centuries resounded within its walls may still be heard, and the traditions of its curious old literature are faithfully preserved. The first minute description of the city of Tiflis is given in the pages of the traveller Chardin, in the seventeenth century. He found its appearance and the manners of the inhabitants altogether Persian. In 1771 it was visited by Guldenstaedt, who was in the Russian service; he accompanied the Tsar Heraklius in some of his excursions, whom he thus describes: "He is about sixty years old, of a middle size, with a long countenance, a dark complexion, large eyes, and a small beard. He passed his youth at the Court and in the army of the celebrated Nadir Shah, where he contracted a fondness for Persian customs and manners which he has introduced into his kingdom." Of Tiflis our traveller says, "All the houses . . . are of stone, with flat roofs, which serve, according to the custom of the East, as walks for the women. The buildings are neat

and clean, but the streets are exceedingly dirty and narrow."

By the treaty of Frederikshamm, September 17, 1809, Sweden surrendered Finland, with the whole of East Bothnia and a part of West Bothnia, lying eastward of the River Tornea. The failures of the Swedes during this war have been with considerable reason, attributed to the treachery of some of their leaders. By this treaty Russia gained the important fortress of Sveaborg, surrendered by the treason of the commander, and by the acquisition of the Aland Isles was brought to the very gates of Stockholm. On the conquest of the country great privileges were conceded by the emperor, and these have not been tampered with. The Finlanders have a diet and a separate army. The country had been united with Sweden for upwards of six centuries, and such culture as it boasted had been received from the Swedes. The Finnish language, however, had been carefully depressed by them, and since the separation of the countries it has come into prominence. The annexation of Finland to Russia may be said to have been almost a necessity; it was hardly possible for her to tolerate an enemy so close to her capital.

We must now return to the great military duel between Alexander and Napoleon. A quarrel with Turkey led to its invasion by the Russians, who, in 1812, by the treaty of Bucharest, gained Bessarabia, and thus extended their dominions to the Pruth. Russia was now ready for a combined effort against Napoleon, from whom she had become gradually estranged since the treaty of Tilsit, the two main

causes being the creation of the Duchy of Warsaw in conjunction with the political intrigues between Napoleon and the Poles, and especially the requirement of Napoleon that Alexander should carry out the Continental blockade against England, from which Russia was suffering grievously. The war finally began after some demands made by both parties had been rejected. Napoleon raised the garrison of Dantzic to 20,000 men, and Hamburg was occupied by the corps of Davoust, under the pretext of counteracting the King of Sweden's connivance at the introduction of English goods and colonial produce. Napoleon took the initiative; he had collected an army of between 500,000 and 600,000 men, from almost every country in South-western Europe. There were 60,000 Poles among the number eager to retaliate upon their ancient enemy. To oppose the invaders the Russians assembled 372,000 men, but the whole nation was animated with the fiercest patriotism. In the proclamation of the Tsar it was written, "May the enemy meet in every noble, a Pozharski; in every ecclesiastic, a Palitsin; in every citizen, a Minin!"

Napoleon quitted St. Cloud on the 9th of May, and proceeded to Dresden where he arrived on the 16th. Here he was met by the Emperor and Empress of Austria, the kings of Prussia and Saxony, and many others. He was destined to return to the same place under very different conditions. He made a fruitless attempt to detach Turkey from her alliance with Russia, and set out on his expedition. Besides the 60,000 Poles in his army, 100,000 implored per-

mission to raise the standard of independence and garrison Poland as the outpost of Europe against Russian aggression. We shall see how they were treated. The Russian forces were divided into three armies under Barclay de Tolly, Bagration, and Chichagov. Napoleon now crossed the Niemen at an angle formed by the river between Kovno and Pone-
moni on the 24th of June, and entered the territory of the enemy. His first head-quarters were at Kovno. When he arrived at Vilna on the 28th, which the Russians had abandoned, the enthusiasm of the Poles knew no bounds; but it became somewhat cooler when Napoleon allowed it to be seen too plainly that however much he was willing to make use of the Poles—he had taken pains to have their contingent of 60,000 men dispersed among the various regiments—he had no idea of re-establishing the kingdom of Poland. This he plainly told them when a deputation came to him at Vilna, informing him that the diet of Warsaw had, on the 28th of June, voted the re-establishment of the kingdom. He answered them evasively, contenting himself with general statements, and significantly added, “I have guaranteed to the Emperor of Austria the integrity of his dominions, and I cannot sanction any manœuvre or any movement that tends to trouble the quiet possession of what remains to him of the provinces of Poland.” Still, they were as yet boundless in their confidence as Mickiewicz has pathetically told us in his immortal “Pan Tadeusz.”

It would be impossible in a short work like the present to give a detailed account of the movements

of the armies; we must content ourselves with the most important events. Smolensk was the scene of some sharp encounters, but the great battle occurred at Boródino, a village about fifty miles from Moscow. Before this took place, Barclay de Tolly had been superseded by Kutuzov. The former had become unpopular owing to the slowness of his movements and the Fabian tactics which he adopted. He no longer inspired confidence in his judgment or firmness of purpose. He seemed to be governed merely by accidents, and to waste his troops by continual movements without any well-defined object. The spirit of the army was affected, and general discontent prevailed. Moreover, the nobles, the merchants, and the population generally were indignant at seeing city after city, and government after government, abandoned.

At Boródino on the 7th of September, the Russians had a deadly encounter with the invaders. This terrible battle has been well described in the vigorous pages of the novelist Tolstoi. If we read the descriptions given by Labaume, Fezensac, and others, we shall find ample justice done to the stubborn courage of the Russians. Boródino affords an admirable defensive position, and it had been further strengthened by earthworks. Here Kutuzov entrenched himself with his army. The day of the 6th was passed in mutual preparations for the engagement, and before daybreak Napoleon mounted his horse, feeling doubtful whether the Russians had remained in their position. He then made his arrangements and issued his proclamation to the

soldiers, and about the same time, Kutuzov, preceded by the holy image rescued from Smolensk, also addressed the Russians. The battle began at six o'clock in the morning and lasted all day. Two of the redoubts were contested by the Russians with splendid valour, but after this they made no serious resistance, and the French perceived that they were preparing to retreat. On the following morning it was found that they had evacuated their positions, and were slowly falling back on Moscow. Napoleon in a certain sense remained master of the field, but his victory had been like that of Pyrrhus. The French lost 30,000 men; the Russians 40,000; on both sides several generals were killed. Bagration was severely wounded, and died on the 24th of September while being carried before the advancing foe to Vladimir. His end was that of a hero. When Sir Robert Wilson told him that the Emperor declared he would not treat of peace with Napoleon while an armed Frenchman should be in Russia, he pressed his hand convulsively and said, "Dear general, you have made me die happy, for then Russia will assuredly not be disgraced—*Accipio solatium mortis.*"

Napoleon now advanced upon Moscow, the government of which had been committed to Count Rostopchin. But the evacuation of the ancient city had been resolved upon. For some days previously the long trains of fugitives carrying with them their household property—as we were told in Russia by an aged lady who had been an eye-witness of the scene—resembled a promenade. Napoleon entered Moscow on the 14th of September, and soon after his arrival a

fire broke out—which had in all probability been ordered by the governor—and which raged six days and destroyed nine-tenths of the city. Although Rostopchin affected at a later period of his life to deny his share in the burning of the city, yet no serious historian has paid any attention to his statements. In the memoirs of Sir Robert Wilson we have a strange picture of the stoical fanaticism of the man, when he set fire to his own country seat, not far from Moscow, in order to prevent its falling into the hands of the enemy. As he heard the picquets begin skirmishing and saw the enemy in movement, he entered his palace, begging his friends to accompany him. On arriving at the porch burning torches were distributed to every one. Each apartment was ignited as they proceeded, and in a quarter of an hour the whole was one blazing mass. Rostopchin then proceeded to the stables, which were quickly in flames, and afterwards stood in front contemplating the progress of the fire and the falling fragments. When the work of destruction was finished, he said, "I am at ease," and as the enemy's shots were now whistling around, he and all retired, leaving the following notice affixed to a conspicuous pillar, "I have embellished this mansion during eight years, where I have lived happy in the bosom of my family. The inhabitants of this estate, to the number of seventeen hundred and twenty, quit it at your approach; and I voluntarily set the house on fire, that it may not be polluted by your presence. Frenchmen, I abandoned to you my two houses at Moscow, with their furniture and contents worth half a million of

roubles. *Here you will only find ashes.*" Connected with his name, also, is the terrible story of the death of Verestchágin, a foolish young man, imbued with what he fancied were liberal notions, who had the audacity to circulate Napoleon's proclamations in the city. Rostopchin ordered him to be thrown among the infuriated crowd, who tore him to pieces. As early as 1807 the count had been writing attacks on the French, the most celebrated of his satires being his *Misli vslukh na Krasnom Kriltse* ("Thinking aloud in the Red Porch"), where he unsparingly ridicules his countrymen for their imitation of French manners.

Notwithstanding the conflagration of a large part of the city, Napoleon lingered five weeks among the smoking ruins in the vain hope that Alexander would offer terms of peace, but everywhere meeting with resistance from the inhabitants. Moscow was daily witness of the execution of peasants, who were dragged into the city in gangs and shot because they concealed their property from the foraging parties of the marauders. The French were amazed at the stoicism with which their victims met their fate. The invaders had behaved with great brutality throughout their march, burning the cottages of the peasants and carrying off their little stores. In these barbarities the Bavarians obtained an unenviable notoriety. They were destined to atone bitterly for their conduct on the return march, when the villagers, deprived of house and home in the midst of a winter unusually severe even for Russia, were prepared to take a fearful vengeance upon their discomfited adversaries. When

we read of the atrocities which in many instances they committed upon their prisoners, such as burying them alive, and other cruelties narrated in all their horrors by Sir R. Wilson, we must not forget the conduct of Napoleon throughout the invasion. Labaume tells us that as they were making their way out of the country the French emperor, who had preceded the corps to which he belonged by one day's march, had already passed Mozhaisk, burning and destroying everything which he found on his route. His soldiers were so intent on this devastation that they set fire even to the places where the corps which followed would have halted. Those who came up afterwards were thus exposed to great and unnecessary suffering; but he also tells us that his own corps, in its turn, burned the few houses that the others had left, and deprived the army of Davoust, which formed the rear-guard, of all power to shelter itself from the inclemency of the weather. The corps of that general had to contend with an exasperated enemy, who apprised of their retreat, hastened on every side to avenge itself. The roar of cannon which Labaume's division continually heard told of the fatigues, sufferings, and dangers of the divisions which followed.

After a dastardly attempt to destroy the historical monuments of the ancient city—among others the Kremlin—which was in a great measure fruitless, the remains of the *grande armée* slowly defiled out of the ruins. The troops were followed by an immense train of waggons laden with plunder, and there were numbers of women and children of French and other foreign nationalities who dared not remain in

Russia. The retreat began on the 19th of October. Napoleon first attempted to retire by Kaluga, where he hoped to find provisions, but was prevented by the battle of Malo-Yaroslavets, where a stoutly contested engagement took place between Kutuzov and Eugène Beauharnais on the 24th of October. This compelled Napoleon to take the road by Viazma and Smolensk, by which he had advanced. The Cossacks under their hetman Platov hovered upon their rear, cutting off all the stragglers. About the 6th of November the Russian winter set in with unusual severity. A wind arose that cut like a razor, which hardened the snow and made it sparkle as it fell like small diamonds, whilst the air, under the effect of its contracted action, was filled with a continuous ringing sound. The atmosphere seemed to be rarified till it became quite crisp and brittle. The emaciated soldiers dropped by thousands on the road, or became mad and leaped into the bivouac fires when they were lighted. The French at last reached Smolensk, where they found some stores collected. With their ranks ever becoming thinner the worn-out soldiers finally reached the Beresina, which they crossed near Studianka on the 26th–29th November. The details of this terrible struggle are too well known to need description here. The bridges were broken; thousands were swept into the stream, and the Russians canonaded the fugitives as Napoleon had done at Austerlitz. The details must be read in the pages of Labaume and Segur. On the 3rd of December Napoleon arrived at Malodeczno, where he issued his famous twenty-ninth bulletin by which

the failure of his expedition was made known to Europe. At Smorgoni, between Vilna and Minsk, Napoleon left the army and hurried back to Paris incognito. Having made over the command to Murat and taken the name of the Duke de Vicenza, at seven in the evening he departed in his carriage, accompanied by one sledge and by a small escort of Neapolitan cavalry. Caulaincourt sat in the carriage ; Duroc and Lobau were in the sledge ; his Mameluke and Captain Wasowicz of the Polish Lancers, acting as interpreter, sat on the box of the carriage. On the 10th Napoleon reached Warsaw ; it was there that he had his curious interview with De Pradt, the Archbishop of Mechlin, which the latter has so graphically described in his narrative. The conversation was almost of a comic description. "Agitation is life to me," said the Emperor. "The more trouble I have the better I am. None but sluggard kings fatten in their palaces. Horseback and camps for me. From the sublime to the ridiculous there is only one step." In this incoherent style of rodomontade Napoleon *more suo* continued for some time. He was in a small and cold apartment, and had the window-shutters half closed the better to preserve his incognito. An awkward Polish maidservant was meanwhile trying to make a fire of some green wood ; her efforts were fruitless, and all present were shivering. As he left Napoleon said to the Archbishop, "I never was better ; if I carried the devil with me, I should be all the better for that." "These were his last words," continues De Pradt. He then mounted the humble sledge which bore Cæsar and his fortunes, and *dis*

appeared. A violent shock which the vehicle received in passing out at the gate had nearly overturned it. He passed through Dresden on the 14th. His face was muffled, and when the wrappings which concealed it fell, it bore an angry and perplexed appearance. This story has been handed down from a lady who while staying there accidentally saw the Emperor, who was not willing to be recognized. He arrived at Paris on the 18th of December at night. The wreck of the once formidable host under Ney followed him, and did not feel itself secure till it had crossed the Niemen. At Vilna the French had been compelled to burn the carriages and waggons to prevent them from falling into the hands of the Russians, and the military chest was abandoned to pillage. Between Moscow and Vilna three hundred thousand corpses of the invaders had been burnt on the road. "At Gumbinnen, a village in Lithuania, a man in a brown great coat, with a long beard, inflamed eyes, and a face all scorched and blackened, presented himself before General Dumas. 'Here I am at last,' he exclaimed. 'What, don't you know me, Dumas?' 'No ; who are you?' 'I am Marshal Ney, the rear-guard of the grand army. I fired the last shot on the bridge of Kovno, I threw the last of our arms into the Niemen, and found my way hither through the woods.'"


Not more than eighty thousand of the whole army are said to have returned. The rest had either perished or been taken prisoners, and the *vimoroski*, or "frozen out" as the latter were called, were long remembered by that nickname in Russia. By order

of the Government huge fires were made on the field of Boródino and other places, on which the unburied bodies of the slain were burnt to ashes. When Dr. Johnson wrote his fine paraphrase of the tenth satire of Juvenal, "The Vanity of Human Wishes," he found modern parallels for all the characters introduced by Juvenal, with the exception of Xerxes and his mad expedition to Greece. Had he lived a little later an exact parallel for that also would have been found in the invasion of Russia by Napoleon. Labaume considers the entire forces employed by the French Emperor to have amounted to 680,500 men, but a Westphalian officer, quoted in *The Quarterly Review*, estimates the number of invaders as follows:—

Westphalians	30,000
Bavarians	40,000
Württembergers	16,000
Grand Duchy of Berg	3,000
Prussians	20,000
Austrians	30,000
Bødeners	5,000
Poles	60,000
Swiss, French, Spaniards, and Portuguese	300,000
Various	20,000
Total	524,000

The captured cannons now ornament one of the public squares of Moscow, a monument of the discomforture of this vast host. The iron cross of Ivan Veliki, which the French were taking as a trophy from the Kremlin, as they were unable to carry it any further, they threw into a lake before quitting the country. The Russians succeeded in recovering it from the lake and restored it to its previous posi-

tion. The year following the great invasion (1813) the battle of Dresden took place, and the so-called Battle of the Nations at Leipsic on October 16 and the two following days. In 1814 the Russians marched with the Allies on Paris—the poet Batiushkov was in their ranks, and has told incidents of the journey in verse. They lost a great many men while storming the heights of Montmartre and entered the city. It is needless to recapitulate here the events of the restoration of the Bourbons, the return of Napoleon



SILVER ROUBLE.

(Reign of Alexander I.)

from Elba, and the hundred days; with the latter events the Russians had nothing to do, and no detachment of their forces was present at Waterloo. After Napoleon had been conveyed to the island of St. Helena, to the Russian forces was assigned the occupation of Champagne and Lorraine. The settlement of the spoils among the conquerors made by the Congress of Vienna is well known to the student of history. We shall only occupy ourselves with those portions of territory which concern Russia. To her

was assigned the greater part of the Duchy of Warsaw, under the name of the kingdom of Poland, to which Alexander, who was crowned king, gave a constitution.

In 1825 the Emperor died suddenly at Taganrog, at the mouth of the Don, while on a visit to the southern governments of his empire. His reign had been full of reforms, and was one of distinct progress. Several universities were founded, that of St. Petersburg among the number. The condition of the serfs and *raskolniks* was ameliorated, owing in a great measure to the benevolent plans of the minister Speranski, whom Russia still mentions with gratitude. During the latter part of his reign, in consequence of the many conspiracies and secret societies prevalent in Russia, the Emperor became more suspicious and unhappy. Instead of the benevolent counsels of Speranski, he took as his advisers such men as Arakcheyev and Novosiltsov. It was owing to the former of these that the military colonies were instituted, which caused riots in the empire which were only terminated by bloodshed. The idea of these colonies was taken from the establishments of the Austrian military frontier, and the plan was to settle certain regiments among the crown peasants. It was considered that in this way the colonist soldier would contribute to his own support by his labours on the land. It also seemed to promise an increase in the army, and a system of training appeared to be likely to be furnished. It was at first applied only to a few regiments, but by a subsequent development of the plan was extended to the whole army. These

colonies were put entirely under military government, and it was for this reason that they became so odious to the peasantry, who now saw military despotism brought into their very homes and closest relationships. On the accession of Nicholas, Arakcheyev endeavoured to ingratiate himself with the new emperor, but the Tsar showed no inclination to admit him among his councillors, and the fallen favourite retired to his estate at Grusino, in the government of Novgorod, where he died in 1834.

Towards the close of Alexander's reign the censorship of the press, which had been greatly relaxed since the days of Paul, became more rigid. Such was the end of the Emperor Alexander, who had been trained in his youth by Laharpe, a Swiss of almost republican views. He was a man of benevolent feelings, but unable to control the wild elements around him. He had married a princess of Baden, a union which does not appear to have been a happy one ; but the husband and wife were reconciled in the latter days of the emperor. He was only forty-eight years of age at the time of his death, and left no issue.



XI.

FRUITLESS REACTION FOLLOWED BY REFORMS.
REIGNS OF NICHOLAS (1825-1855), ALEXANDER
II. (1855-1881), ALEXANDER III.

THE heir to the throne, according to the principles of succession established by the Emperor Paul—who had restored the ancient law, which had been altered by Peter the Great through antipathy to his son Alexis—was Constantine, born in 1779, and next in age to Alexander. But he had voluntarily renounced his claim in 1822, having married a beautiful Polish lady, Julia Grudzinska, a Roman Catholic. When this became known and Nicholas, the next in order of birth, ascended the throne, an organized insurrection took place, fomented by the many secret societies with which Russia then swarmed, and many of which had existed since the occupation of Paris by the Allies. Some of the most eminent men in Russia were affiliated to them, the poets Pushkin and Griboïédov among the number, both of whom, however, had the good fortune to escape the consequences of their imprudence. The insurrection broke out on the 26th of December, 1825, and a sanguinary scene took place on the Square of the Senate. The soldiers,

when told to shout for the constitution, which the conspirators hoped to see introduced into Russia, and which (it was imagined) could only be rendered by the very unslavonic word *konstitutsia*, asked if that were the name of Constantine's wife. It was during this savage encounter that Miloradovich, the Russian general who had distinguished himself in the wars against Napoleon, was shot by one of the assailants. By the end of the day the revolt had been quelled, and the insurgents were at the mercy of the newly-elected emperor, who on this occasion showed great presence of mind. Many of the ringleaders were sent to Siberia: five were especially singled out and sentenced to be hanged. These were Pestel, Rileyev, a poet of some merit, Sergius Muraviev-Apostol, Bestuzhev-Riumin, and Kakhovski, the assassin of Miloradovich. On the 25th of July, 1826, the execution took place on the *glacis* of the citadel. The wives of those who were deported asked permission of the emperor to accompany their husbands into captivity; many of these misguided men survived to return to their country, an amnesty having been accorded them by the Emperor Alexander II. on his coronation. Among this number, however, was not the unfortunate Küchelbecker, the friend of Pushkin and of so many other literary men of the time. He had very nearly succeeded in escaping from the country before the Government could arrest him, but imprudently lingered at Warsaw and fell into the hands of the authorities. The memoirs of the exiles have in several cases been published in the pages of Russian reviews and magazines, and few more



ALEXANDER II.

(From a print in the British Museum.)

interesting stories have ever been told than that of Praskovia, the wife of Paul Annenkov, who, a Frenchwoman by origin, and only affianced to the unfortunate conspirator, yet received permission from Nicholas to follow him into Siberia and was there married to him. Her description of her interview with Nicholas, when she sought leave to go, is of a highly dramatic character.

Thus ended what we may perhaps call the third attempt to furnish Russia with constitutional government. And yet if we consider the matter closely nothing could have been more reckless or foolish than the conduct of these men—the majority of whom were young and inexperienced, and yet were prepared to force their crude theories upon a country, the political condition of which could not assimilate them. They were pedantic constitution-mongers of the true doctrinaire type, and forgot that political and social institutions are only built up by degrees.

In 1830, Nicholas issued a complete collection of the laws of the Russian Empire. This was an addition to the codes which we have already enumerated: the *Russkaya Pravda* of Yaroslav, the *Sudebniks*, of Ivans III. and IV., the *Ulozhenie* of Alexis, and the legislation of Catherine. One remarkable change was made by Nicholas. He restored in a measure the right of primogeniture in property, which had been taken away by the Empress Anne as contrary to Russian usages, and allowed a father to make his eldest son his sole heir. Great ecclesiastical changes were also introduced: in order to explain them we must somewhat retrace our steps.

We have seen the constant attempts of the Popes to bring the Russian Church into harmony with that of Rome, and how from the Council of Florence (1438) onward, they had been uniformly unsuccessful. Nothing could be hoped from Ivan IV. in spite of the attempts of Possevino and others, but Sigismund III. of Poland, a man possessed of as much fanaticism as Philip II. of Spain, had many subjects who were attached to the Greek faith and who had come under Polish rule, when the Eastern provinces, by geographical position and language belonging to Russia, had been conquered by the Lithuania Gedimin. The heathen rulers of Lithuania, whose capital was Vilna, did not interfere with the religion of their subjects, and the members of the Greek Church were unmolested even for some time after Wladyslaw Jagiello had been converted into a good Roman Catholic. But things became very different as time went on, and the Jesuits, as soon as their order was founded, poured in large numbers into Poland and her outlying provinces. One of the most active was the celebrated Peter Skarga, who has left a great name among his countrymen on account of his pulpit eloquence, but his policy and writings are only indirectly connected with Russian history—and that in one point—his increasing efforts to turn the members of the Greek Church in her Eastern provinces into Roman Catholics. In 1594 four orthodox bishops, whose dioceses were in Polish territory, viz., those of Luck, Pinsk, Chelm, and Lemberg, undertook to bring over their flocks to the Papal doctrines. They found a valuable adherent in the Metropolitan of Kiev, a city which had belonged

to Lithuanian and Pole since the middle of the fourteenth century. These prelates assembled at a synod at Brześć, and sent Pociey, bishop of Vladimir, and Terlecki, bishop of Luck, to the king, who was then at Cracow. Sigismund furnished them with letters to the Pope, and they at once proceeded to Rome. Clement VIII. gave them a hearty welcome; they accepted the chief points of the Council of Florence, admitting "filioque" in the creed, the doctrine of purgatory, and the papal supremacy, but they were allowed to retain the use of the Slavonic language in their ritual and other points of discipline in the Eastern Church. It was in this way that the "Uniates" arose. There were fierce religious disputes on various points during the whole of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, but the question was never satisfactorily settled. In April, 1828, the emperor established a Greco-Uniate college, under the presidency of Jehoshaphat Bulgak, with a view to prevent any innovations creeping into the doctrines of this body. Eleven years afterwards the Russian Greco-Uniate bishops assembled at Polotsk and addressed a memorial to the Tsar, in which they expressed their readiness to return to the Orthodox Church. At the present time the number of Uniates in Russia is very small; their stronghold is in Galicia.

From 1826 to 1828 the Russians were engaged in a war with Persia, which ended in the treaty of Turkmantchai (1828), by which Persia ceded to Russia the provinces of Erivan and Nakhichevan and paid twenty million roubles as an indemnity. Russia was now advancing, step by step, in her

Central Asian conquests. Nicholas was one of the signatories of the treaty of London in 1827, by which England, France, and Russia bound themselves to rescue the Greeks in their struggle against the Turks. The battle of Navarino, on the site of the ancient Pylos, took place on October 20th, in the same year. The Ottoman fleet was annihilated by that of the Allies, and Ibrahim Pasha was obliged to cease from his devastation of the Morea. The independence of Greece was now acknowledged, and she was soon afterwards consolidated into a kingdom. But Nicholas persevered in the war with Turkey after his allies had given up hostilities. The Russians, under Diebitsch, crossed the Balkan and advanced to Adrianople, and a treaty was signed there in 1829, disadvantageous to Turkey, as it gave the Russians the right of interfering in behalf of the Orthodox Christians under her rule.

Towards the close of the year 1830 broke out the Polish insurrection, which had in a great measure been instigated by the example of the French, but there were grave incompatibilities in the union of constitutional Poland with the patriarchal autocracy of Russia. At Warsaw on the 29th of November, some students attempted to seize the Grand Duke Constantine, the Governor of Poland, at the Belvedere Palace. This they were unable to do, as he escaped by a secret door, but a lamentable massacre of Russians and persons well affected to the Russian cause took place, which was followed by sanguinary reprisals. The troops fraternized with the people, and the chief command was entrusted to General Chlopicki, who had seen service under Napoleon

In fact, many of the best leaders on the Polish side were men who had already fought under the French flag, and had there received their training ; they had been allowed to return to Poland by the Emperor Alexander. The Poles succeeded in raising an army of 90,000 men. Early in 1831 a force of 120,000 Russian under Diebitsch entered the country. Chlopicki, having quarrelled with his confederates, resigned his dictatorship ; but the Poles pursued the



GRIVNA OF 1830.
(*Reign of Nicholas I.*)

war with enthusiasm, and appointed as their leader Prince Adam Czartoryski, one of the most eminent men of the country, who had been the confidant and friend of the Emperor Alexander. On the 19th and 20th of February took place the battle of Grochow, in which the Poles displayed heroic bravery, but they were not able to impede the march of the Russians upon Warsaw. Great efforts were made to enlist the sympathy of some of the European powers, especially France, in their behalf, but they stood

coldly aloof. The Poles might reasonably have expected much from the French, for whom they had shed their blood lavishly on many a well-fought field, but the poet Gaszynski said, with bitter truth, that the French were ready to repay them only with tears. On the 26th of May the Poles under Skrzynecki were defeated by the Russians at Ostrolenka ; but cholera now made its appearance in the armies. On June 10th Diebitsch died, and a few weeks afterwards the Grand Duke Constantine expired at Vitebsk. The amiable lady, whose beauty had made him willing to forego a crown, did not long survive him.

Of all the sons of Paul Constantine appears to have been the one who most resembled his father. Masson, who wrote toward the close of last century, says of him, "he exhibits the same passions, the same sternness, the same turbulence." A more favourable opinion has been expressed by other writers. He always manifested great respect for the memory of his father, and to his mother he was affectionate and deferential. The letters which he wrote to his brother, renouncing his claim to the throne are written in a generous, even quixotic style. Michael, the youngest brother of Nicholas, is not a prominent figure in Russian history ; he died suddenly in 1849.

The command of the Russian armies was now taken by Paskievich, who marched on Warsaw through Prussia. On the 7th of September the city capitulated. On February 25, 1832, Poland was declared a Russian province. The constitution

which had been granted by Alexander was cancelled; the ancient palatinates were abolished, and at a later period the governments of Warsaw, Radom, Lublin, Plock, and Modlin substituted for them and the University of Vilna founded by Stephen Bathori, which had for some time enjoyed a great reputation, was suppressed.

Another occasion soon presented itself for the interference of Nicholas in Ottoman affairs. This was in 1832 when the revolt of the Khedive against the suzerain, the Sultan, placed the dominions of the latter in serious peril. In her perplexity Turkey applied for assistance to Russia, which was readily furnished, and the result was the treaty of Unkiar-Skelessi (so called from a place on the Bosphorus), by which each state guaranteed to furnish to the other the necessary succour for "assuring the tranquillity and security" of their dominions. By a secret article the Sultan undertook, if the Tsar was attacked, to close the Dardanelles, and to allow no foreign vessel of war to enter on any pretext.

The next important European event was the revolution of 1848; although this did not affect Russia internally, it was impossible for Nicholas, the great embodiment of autocracy and conservatism to remain indifferent to what he saw around him. His armies, however, did not interfere in any of the struggles till he was implored by the Emperor Francis Joseph to help him in his contest with the Magyars. The Austrians were everywhere losing ground, and an autonomous Hungary seemed on the point of being formed when Nicholas sent his legions

under Paskievitch. He was probably afraid that the revolution would spread to Poland, as there were great numbers of Poles in the Hungarian ranks. This alliance was to be regretted ; the Russians only succeeded in earning the eternal enmity of the Magyars and the ingratitude of the Austrians. The Emperor Nicholas is said to have once remarked that John Sobieski and himself were the two Slavonic sovereigns who had made the fatal mistake of saving Austria. The result of the war is well known ; Görgei was compelled to capitulate at Villagos on the 12th of August, 1849 ; indeed, his own countrymen have subsequently recognized that no other course lay open to him. Thus was Hungary handed over to the Austrians, whose cruel revenge sent a thrill of horror throughout Europe. To omit other details, on the 6th of October, the noble-hearted Count Louis Batthyany was executed at Pesth. He was shot, because it was impossible to hang him on account of a wound which he himself had inflicted upon his neck ; on the same day at Arad ten generals were hanged and three shot. But the Nemesis of all these dismal events was to follow, as history will show us. It was in consequence of the disgust felt throughout Europe at the interference of the Russians in the struggle between Austria and the Magyars that the Crimean war became a possibility.

Without paying any attention to the absurd stories about the so-called will of Peter the Great—a fiction circulated by Lesur at the command of Napoleon—it is impossible to deny that Russia had for a long time cast a longing eye upon Turkey. And, indeed,

it was only natural that she should do so. That Turkey must soon fall to pieces was evident at the time of the Crimean War, and we may add she has shown symptoms of dissolution ever since. From the days of Catherine this desire to extend her territories towards the south had become intensified in Russia. And, indeed, Christian Europe owed some debt of gratitude to that country for having kept alive the faint spark of Orthodox belief, which was fast becoming extinct under Mussulman oppression. Had it not been for her protection, the rest of the *rayahs* would long since, like the Bosnians, have adopted Islamism to avoid persecution. Nicholas believed that the time had come to seize Constantinople. He saw that the "sick man" was in a hopeless condition, and he was willing that England should take Egypt, if he were left in possession of the city of the Bosphorus. England drifted into the war, and was eagerly joined by Napoleon III., who wished to divert the attention of his subjects from home affairs, and give a prestige to the new reign. Accordingly England and France declared war against Russia on March 24, 1854, but the first hostile encounter between the Russians and Turks had taken place the previous year on Oct. 23rd at Isakcha. On Jan. 4th the Allied fleets had entered the Black Sea, and on March 11th the Baltic Fleet sailed from Spithead. Up to this time the successes in the war had been to a great extent with the Turks, who had the victories of Oltenitza and Citale as a set-off against the destruction of the Turkish fleet by the Russians at Sinope. On April 22nd the bombardment of Odessa took place; the same


year the fort of Bomarsund on the Aland isles was taken, but an attempt on Petropavlovski in Kamchatka was less successful. Just as the action was about to begin, Admiral Price, who commanded, committed suicide. It was now resolved by the Allies to invade the Crimea, the only part of the country which could be easily approached, as, although Austria and Prussia affected to sympathize with the Allies, they would not permit any troops to march across their territories to attack Russia. The battle of the Alma took place on the 20th of September the same year; it was lost by the bad generalship and over-confidence of Menshikov. The English were ready to march upon Sevastópol at once, but were delayed by the want of preparation of the French, which was partly owing to the illness of Marshal St. Arnaud, the French commander, who died on the 29th of September. Meanwhile the Russian general, Todleben—the only man of genius who appeared during the war—took the opportunity of fortifying the city. The entrance to the harbour had already been blocked by the sinking of the Russian fleet.

Sevastópol was now invested by the Allies. As has been said, it was built at the close of the last century under the direction of an Englishman, who had first pointed out its great natural advantages, on the site of the Tatar village of Aktiar. But it was impossible for the investment to be a complete one, as the north side of the isthmus of Perekop, by which supplies could be easily sent into the city, was not closed. The Allies opened fire on the town October 17th. Eight



MENSHIKOV.

days later occurred the celebrated light cavalry charge of Balaklava, the best criticism upon which was the often-quoted, "*C'est magnifique, mais ce n'est pas la guerre.*" On November 5th was fought the sanguinary battle of Inkermann. The Russians came on in the fogs of the morning, and were hardly perceived till they began to drive in the English pickets. There had been a grand religious service in Sevastópol, for the bells of the cathedral had been heard in the early morning, and the Grand Dukes Nicholas and Michael were present. The English leopards were to be driven into the sea; but the attack of the Russians was unsuccessful, although it cost the English many valuable lives, several generals having been killed. The English fleet in the Baltic during this year was not able to attack Cronstadt, but a detachment penetrated into the White Sea and did some damage to the port of Kola. The winter was a very severe one, and the armies of the Allies suffered grievously in their encampments. Austria concluded an offensive and •defensive alliance with the two Western powers on Dec. 2, 1854, but they received only a moral support from her. During this memorable siege, the Tsar Nicholas died (March 2, 1855); he seemed tired of life, and rashly exposed himself to the severe weather of the Russian spring. He would probably never have embarked upon the war had not the wavering conduct of the English encouraged him. Among the old-fashioned Tories the Russians and their Tsar were held in especial honour, and Nicholas had many personal friends among the nobility. Men who were accustomed to appear on public occasions plentifully



CRONSTADT.

ornamented with Russian decorations were now compelled to conceal them, and the whole feeling of England towards Russia changed. If we want to ascertain what it was in the earlier part of the present century, we have only to turn over the pages of the old reviews, or those of Alison's "History of Europe." The Russians were our allies, who had stood shoulder to shoulder with us in the gigantic struggle against Napoleon. It was left for a later generation to affect to discover that they were barbarians, inferior in civilization to the Turks, that their soldiers looked like the lowest criminals, and that they stabbed the wounded as they lay helpless on the field of battle. Nicholas was a man of strong and energetic character, resolute—perhaps inconsiderate—in the eagerness with which he pursued his object, but frequently showing kindness of heart, as the many anecdotes current about him in Russia prove. In 1817 he had married the Princess Charlotte of Prussia, sister of the late Emperor of Germany, who, on embracing the Greek faith, took the name of Maria Feodorovna. He was succeeded by his eldest son Alexander, at that time thirty-seven years of age.


The Crimean War still dragged on. A new element was added by the appearance of 15,000 Sardinians in the field; it was the carrying out of a plan of Cavour's who by assisting the Allies hoped to secure the unity of Italy, and her gradual admission among the great powers. Prince Menshikov was now recalled from the Crimea, and succeeded by Prince Gorchakov. The bombardment of Sevastópol was re-opened on the 6th of April,



ODESSA.

1855, but unsuccessfully. An expedition under Admirals Lyons and Bruat took Kertch, Taganrog, and other places; and on the 10th of August the Russians were defeated at the battle of Chernaia, at which the Sardinians distinguished themselves. After the Malakhov had been captured by the French, the Russians destroyed the southern side of Sevastópol, and retreated to the northern, burning the bridge of boats after them. The attempts of Omar Pasha to raise the natives of the Caucasus against the Russians about the same time were not successful. By the treaty of Adrianople in 1829 Russia had gained two important posts on the Black Sea, Anapa and Poti, with other territory, but her footing was not firm among the Circassian mountaineers. The population, however, was too mixed to render possible any coalition against her, and the Christians (such as the Georgians and others) had not forgotten the sufferings which they had endured while under the Turkish yoke. At the beginning of the year 1856 (Feb. 25th) the Treaty of Paris was signed, by which Russia for a time lost her right to have ships of war in the Black Sea, and consented to cede a portion of Bessarabia to the newly created state of Roumania. The Porte was to give various privileges to the Christians, but it is needless to say, that all stipulations of the kind have remained a dead letter till the present day. Equally ineffectual has been the treaty signed by Austria, France, and Great Britain, guaranteeing the integrity of the Ottoman Empire. We shall see that subsequently Russia has regained all that was taken from her.

In 1861 took place the emancipation of the serfs.



PLAN OF SEVASTOPOL.

This great reform had long been meditated by Nicholas, and, indeed, the condition of the serf had been improving since the days of Alexander I. The rise and development of serfdom among the Russians, and the changes introduced in the course of time, will be fully discussed in the chapter devoted to Russian institutions. It will suffice to say here that the landlords on receiving an indemnity now released the serfs from their seigniorial obligations, and the land of the village commune became the actual property of the serf.

In 1863 broke out the second great Polish insurrection. For some years previously the condition of the country had been very much disturbed. On Nov. 29, 1860, on the thirtieth anniversary of the revolution of 1830, political manifestations took place in the churches and streets. Some riots occurred at the same time, not without bloodshed. This was followed by many concessions on the part of the Emperor Alexander, among which were elective councils in each government, and each district of a government, and municipal councils at Warsaw, and in the other chief cities of the country. The Marquis Wielopolski, a Pole, was appointed Director of Public Instruction. Many concessions were also made with reference to the use of the Polish language. The people, however, still remained dissatisfied: they refused to believe in the possibility of a constitutional Poland united with Russia. Another attempt at conciliation was made when Count Lambert was appointed Viceroy. But the people still remained unsympathetic and passively resisted all attempts

at conciliation. Disputes occurred between Lambert and General Gerstenzweig, the military governor, in consequence of which the latter committed suicide, and Count Lambert was recalled. He was succeeded by Count Lüders, who adopted an entirely different policy.

Trusting to the force of reactionary measures he caused several prominent citizens of Warsaw, who were disaffected to the Government to be deported. This administration also proved a failure, and the Grand Duke Constantine, the Tsar's brother, was appointed Viceroy in 1862. On the night of January 15, 1863, a conscription was held secretly, and a quantity of persons more or less obnoxious to the Government were seized in their beds, and drafted into the army. The insurrection at once broke out; its proceedings were directed by a secret committee, styled *Rzad* (Pol. government), and were as mysterious as the movements of the *Fehmgerichte*. The Poles fought under enormous difficulties. Most of the bands consisted of raw and undisciplined soldiers, utterly unacquainted with military tactics, and they had to contend with well-trained and well-organized troops. Moreover, few of them had muskets; the generality were armed only with pikes, scythes, and sticks; the Russians, on the other hand, had every advantage in this way, and carried rifles. The bands were generally composed of priests, landowners, lesser nobles, petty officials, and such peasants as had no land, but were engaged as labourers by the landowners, but the peasants who had any land uniformly refused to join. The war

was carried on by guerilla fighting, in which the dense forests surrounding the towns were of great assistance to the insurgents. The secret emissaries of the revolutionary government armed with daggers and styled *stiletchiki*, succeeded in killing many persons who had made themselves obnoxious to the so-called national government. Especially remarkable was the case of the Jew, Hermani, stabbed while on the staircase of the Hôtel de l'Europe at Warsaw. This man had betrayed many of the nationalists, and was marked out for death, but so watchful was he that it was impossible to seize a time for putting into execution the decree of the Rzad. At length when he was on the point of leaving Warsaw for Vilna, just as he was standing on the stairs of the hotel, and the announcement had been made *omnibus podan* ("the omnibus is ready") four men rushed from four separate doors, stabbed him to the heart, and escaped by various exits. They were never found, and those who hurried to the scene on hearing the cries of the unfortunate Jew found him writhing in his blood on the ground. The chiefs of the insurgents when captured were shot or hanged, one of the saddest cases being that of Sieriakowski, who had been an officer in the Russian army. He was captured when mortally wounded, his only hope being that he would die before the degrading punishment of hanging could be inflicted. Like André in the American War, he was anxious to be shot, and die a soldier's death; he was, however, hanged, as the Russians were determined to make an example of him. Langiewicz, another

of the leaders who had directed the struggle, held out for some time, but was defeated, and succeeded in making his escape into Galicia. General Muraviev was appointed governor of the western provinces, and directed affairs from Vilna. He ruled firmly and has been accused of great severity, but, as even foreigners have shown, many of the stories told about him are wholly untrue ; but his office was indeed a melancholy one. When the Grand Duke Constantine resigned the viceroyalty at Warsaw he was succeeded by Count Berg, the attack upon whom by guns and bombs from the Zamoyski Palace led to its being sacked and converted into a barracks. The furniture was thrown out of the windows, and the inhabitants of the palace, which had been let out in various tenements, were escorted to the citadel. The value of the vast buildings then confiscated by the Russian Government, which covered an immense space in the very heart of the city, and contained 2,000 residents (1,500 in the "house," and 500 in the "palace"), was estimated at eight million Polish florins, or in English money, £200,000. By May, 1864, the insurrection was entirely suppressed ; from that time the kingdom of Poland has disappeared from all official documents, and in the University of Warsaw all lectures are delivered in the Russian language. It still, however, retains its position as the head-quarters of Polish literature, for more books, newspapers, and reviews appear to be published there than in any other of the cities, which formerly were part of the kingdom of Poland. Finland has been more fortunate in having had all her privileges confirmed, among which is a



SCHAMIL

separate diet ; the national language which had been depressed by the Swedes is now encouraged.

Among the important events of this reign must be counted the subjugation of Shamil, the Circassian chief, and his capture by Prince Bariatinski in 1859. Wars had been going on between the mountaineers and the Russians from the time of General Yermólov (1816-27), who built a series of fortifications. Shamil entered upon his office of Imam, the sacred ruler of the country, in 1834, and showed great administrative capacity. In 1845 an expedition was sent against him, which was not successful ; but after continued skirmishes in which the Russians suffered considerably from the climate and difficulties in penetrating the mountains, he was forced to capitulate as previously mentioned. An attempt of his son, Kazi-Mahoma, to organize an insurrection when the Russians were embarrassed with the Turkish war, was unsuccessful. The Circassians have emigrated in large numbers to the Turkish dominions, partly on account of the dislike of Mussulmans to be subject to Giaours, partly from not being able to adapt themselves to a settled life. The Turks planted many of them among the Bulgarians, but since the latter have established their independence, their position has not been an agreeable one. We have lately heard of another colony of these marauders being settled among the Armenians to make them more loyal subjects of his Turkish majesty. Turkestan has been annexed, and the Russian frontier has been slowly advanced to within five hundred miles of the English possessions in India. She now

includes within her territories the historical Samarkand. By a treaty with the Chinese in 1858, Russia acquired all the left bank of the River Amur. A new port, Vladivostok, has been created in Eastern Asia. In 1877 Russia assisted the Slavonic Christians against the Turks, driving them out of Serbia, which they had invaded. This was followed by events which are still fresh in the memory of our readers, the siege of Plevna, in Northern Bulgaria, with its terrible slaughters, and the treaty of San Stefano, by which Roumania became independent, Serbia and Montenegro (Tsrnagora) received additions of territory, and a free Bulgaria, but under Turkish suzerainty was created. These arrangements were partly set aside by the treaty of Berlin. By the terms of this new settlement of Eastern Europe, Macedonia was handed back to the Turks, but on condition that certain reforms were to be executed, which it need not be said have remained a dead letter to the present day. Bulgaria was divided, a part being called Eastern Roumelia; this arrangement was as absurd as the separation of Moldavia and Wallachia. As in the latter case, the two provinces have been united. Russia got back the piece of Bessarabia, which she had lost by the treaty of Paris, and as an indemnity Roumania received the Dobrudzha. Austria acquired a protectorate over Bosnia and Herzegovina, which she is fast turning into actual ownership, probably as a preliminary to extending her power to the much-coveted Salonika. Greece received a large addition to her territory, in which the city of Larissa was included, but Joannina still



NICHOLAS I.

(From a print in the British Museum.)

remains unfortunately in Turkish hands. The conclusion of the Emperor's reign was disturbed by many plots against his life. On April 16, 1866, Karakozov, shot at him at St. Petersburg; and in the following year another attempt was made by a Pole named Berezowski, while Alexander was on a visit to Napoleon III. at Paris. In 1879 there were three conspiracies which were nearly successful—that of Soloviev, who aimed a pistol at the Tsar, and the attempt to blow up part of the Winter Palace, and to wreck the train by which the Tsar was travelling. In 1881, Alexander was killed by a hand-grenade on the bank of the Catherine Canal at St. Petersburg. Of the conspirators five were executed on the 15th of April of the same year, Zhelabovski, Sophia Perovskaya, the daughter of a former governor of St. Petersburg, who, by letting fall a handkerchief, had given the signal to the assassins, Kibalchich, Risakov, and Michaelov. They suffered on the Semënovski Place, near St. Petersburg. Most of these conspirators have been identified with the so-called Nihilists—a set of Socialists; the name is said to have been invented by the novelist Turgueniev.

This atrocious crime took the life of a man of the most benevolent character, whose reign had in many ways been beneficial to his subjects. Russia must ever feel a debt of gratitude to the Tsar for his emancipation of the serfs, and for the establishment of the *mirovoi sud* and the *zemstvo*, about which we shall speak in the chapter on Russian institutions. Alexander II. had married a princess of Hesse Darmstadt, who predeceased him. He was succeeded

by his second son, Alexander, the eldest Nicholas having died at Nice; his only daughter, Mary, married the Duke of Edinburgh.

Alexander III. was born in 1845, his wife is sister of the Princess of Wales, by whom he has had several children. His reign began with a series of conspiracies, and it was not till 1883 that he was crowned at Moscow. Latterly, less has been heard about these plots which have disgraced the Russian name. But the political atmosphere in another direction already shows signs of coming storms, owing to the refusal of the Russians to recognize Ferdinand of Saxe Cobourg as Prince of Bulgaria, as they had also refused, in the case of his predecessor, Alexander of Battenberg. In 1889 the Emperor William II. of Germany paid a visit to the Tsar on ascending the throne on the death of his father, Frederick III.

With this event our sketch of the leading facts of Russian history closes.





XII.

RUSSIAN LITERATURE.

BEFORE treating of Russian literature in the usual sense in which the word is applied, we must speak at some length of the rich collections of legendary poems which have been handed down, and are known under the name of *bilini*, or "old-time stories," as we may perhaps translate the word. These are still sung by wandering minstrels, and it was only when the new romantic school raised its head in the first quarter of the present century that scholars began to collect them. There is now a great mass of literature on the subject, and these traditional tales have been carefully classified. They have been divided into various cycles, *e.g.*, those of the older heroes, of Vladimir, the prince of Kiev about whom we have heard much, of Novgorod and of Moscow. These are the old and curious *bilini*. But the Cossacks also have theirs in the Malo-Russian language (or dialect); and to the cycles already enumerated may be added those relating to the time of Peter the Great, the reigns of Elizabeth and Catherine, and even so late as the great invasion of Napoleon. Large collections of these *bilini* have been published by Ribnikov and

others. They are in unrhymed lines, and it is only by their having a sort of cadence that they can be distinguished from prose. Their diction, moreover, is sometimes highly coloured, and they have all the conventional and recurring epithets which characterize ballad poetry. The heroes of the first cycle are titanic beings, connected with mountains or in the form of serpents. These are the colossal weird creatures found in many mythologies, and seem to be deifications of the powers of nature. One of the characteristics of these mysterious beings is that they have the power of transforming themselves into animals and other shapes whenever they wish. Vladimir, "the bright sun," as he is called, is altogether a favourite hero of romance. Stories of his court and attendants are abundant, but it is strange that we get little or no mention of his conversion to Christianity. The chief hero is Ilya Muromets, in whose name perhaps survives that of St. Ilya or Elijah, who among the modern Russians exercises many of the same functions as used to be assigned to Perun, the pagan god of thunder. The cycle of Novgorod has stories to tell us about rich merchants, but that of Moscow is especially interesting. We can easily imagine that the towering figure of Ivan the Terrible is not to be passed over; we have poems on the taking of Kazan; how the village of Preobrazhenskoe was given to Nikita Romanovich; how the robber Yermak won Siberia for Ivan, and was forgiven with all his band for his previous misdemeanours when he offered his conquest to the mighty Tsar. Then, again, we have many lays about

Maliuta Skurlatovich, the agent of the Tsar in many of his cruelties, who has left a terrible impression on the national mind. Not less striking is the song of the rebellious boyar, who is led to execution confessing his many sins. Altogether this historical cycle dealing with Ivan IV. is very interesting, and we have illustrated occasional passages in our history by reference to these *bilini*.

We have also already mentioned how some were collected by Richard James, an Englishman and graduate of Oxford, who having been chaplain to the Embassy at Moscow, or perhaps more correctly to the merchants there, either wrote out himself or caused to be copied out six of these *bilini*. The manuscript is still preserved at Oxford, and consists of a few leaves which are put loosely in an old pocket-book, which once belonged to James, and contains some notes and vocabularies. Of these old songs the prettiest is that in which Xenia, the daughter of Boris Godunov, laments her sad fate. An extract has already been given in the course of our narrative. The beauty of this unhappy girl is described by the old Russian chronicler Kubasov, or in the chronicle which goes by his name, of which we shall shortly speak more at large. Of course the highly dramatic events which occurred in Russia during the time of troubles, *Smutnoye Vremya*, do not lack a poet, as we have already shown; and the robber, Stenka Razin, like our own Robin Hood, is the hero of many a lay, in which the popular sympathy always goes with him. The Cossack songs may be cursorily mentioned here, but in reality they belong to Malo-

Cossack
songs

Russian literature. They are spirited as may be inferred from the deeds which they recite; and have been collected by Maksimovich, Metlinski, Dragomanov, Antonovich, and others. We shall shortly return to them.

The *skazki*, or tales, of which the Russians boast a large collection, have been frequently edited. We must be prepared to find this amount of oral literature in a country where formerly the bulk of the population could not read. In the long winter evenings the village minstrel used to beguile the peasants at their work by his recitations and improvisations. We will now treat of the *written* literature, which has come down in Russian. The earliest manuscript in the language which has been preserved is that of the Ostromir Gospels, written at Novgorod in the years 1056-57 by the deacon Gregory for Ostromir, the *posadnik* or governor of Novgorod, and now preserved in the public library of St. Petersburg. The date of its transcription is gathered from the statements at the conclusion of the manuscript. It is on parchment in uncial characters, and consists of 294 leaves; the writing being in double columns as are the other celebrated Palæo-Slavonic codices, the Zographus and Marianus. The manuscript originally belonged to the cathedral of St. Sophia at Novgorod. It is what is called an *aparakos*, that is, the Gospels are arranged according to the weeks in which they are read, as fixed by the lectionary of the Church. The version is adapted from the Palæo-Slavonic translation, to which the copyist has added Russisms. The original was made by SS. Cyril and Methodius

about the year 863. Two Recueils (*Sborniki*) written in Kiev for the Grand Duke Sviatoslav—the first in 1073, the second in 1076—follow next. The Gospels of Archangel, of the date 1092, so called because the manuscript was discovered in the government of that name; three *Mineas* (or books containing services and prayers to particular saints) of Novgorod, belonging to the years 1095–96–97, and a few others are next in order. Soon after this we get some sermons by Marion, metropolitan of Kiev, Theodosius, monk of the Pestcherski cloister of that city, and Luke Zhidiata, bishop of Novgorod; the style of the latter is simpler than that generally employed by authors of the time, as they are too fond of imitating the florid Byzantine writers, who unfortunately served as models to the early Slavs. With the so-called Chronicle of Nestor, frequently cited in our pages, begins the long and highly interesting series of Russian annalists. There is still great dispute about this work, some writers affirming that it was not all written by the monk Nestor, but that it is rather a collection of miscellaneous fragments. Nestor, the supposed author, was born about the year 1056, and died about 1114. The monks were generally the authors of these chronicles, and compiled them in the seclusion of the monasteries; thus we have annals of many parts of Russia, among others Novgorod, Kiev, and Pskov. The Chronicle of Nestor is written in a very picturesque style, and reminds us frequently of Herodotus. We have already given our readers the dramatic account of the death of Oleg. Interesting also are the works of the early travellers, as of the

palomnik (or pilgrim) Daniel, who visited Jerusalem at the beginning of the twelfth century, and at a later period Athanasius Nikitin, and the merchants Korobeinikov and Grekov. There is also the *Pouichenie* or "Book of Instruction," written by Vladimir Monomakh, from which an extract has been given on a preceding page. This gives us a picture of early Slavonic life before the Mongols had infected the national spirit with barbarism. To the twelfth century belong the sermons of Cyril of Turov; there are also many lives of saints which are valuable as monuments of the language, but possess very little literary merit. We must remember that pretty much the same is the case with our own literature. If we except the glorious productions of Chaucer—and in a less degree Piers Plowman—we have nothing left but dry annals and ecclesiastical writings throughout the Middle Ages. A far more interesting production is the prose-poem or rhapsody called "The Story of the Expedition of Igor" (*Slovo o polku Igorevě*). This narrates the adventures of the host which the prince led against the Polovtses. The original manuscript was burnt in the disastrous fire of Moscow in 1812, but luckily the work had been carefully copied. Of a similar nature is the *Zadonstchina*, which tells of the great victory won by Dmitri Donskoi on the Kulikovo Polé in 1380, which has already been mentioned in the course of our narrative. The Russian legal codes, the *Russkaya Pravda* of Yaroslav, the *Sudebniks* of Ivans III. and IV., and the *Ulozhenie* of Alexis have also already been discussed. In a short sketch like

the present, only the main features of Russian literature can be given. We have spoken of the establishment of the printing press at Moscow in the time of Ivan the Terrible, an active and reforming, although cruel, sovereign; nor need we describe any further the first complete Slavonic Bible printed at Ostrog in Volhynia in 1581.

Belonging to the same time is the quaint work *Domostroi*, or "Book of Household Management," generally attributed to the monk Sylvester. It gives us a melancholy picture of Russian domestic life, and of the tyranny of the master of the house over his wife and children. At this time also we get the *Chetii-Minei*, or "Book of Monthly Readings," with extracts from the Fathers, and the strange correspondence between Ivan the Terrible and Prince Kurbski, who had fled to the Polish Court as already described. In the letter which Ivan wrote to the monks of Bieloe Ozero, we get an interesting glimpse of monastic life at that time. Another book belonging to this period is the *Stepennaya Kniga*, or "Book of Degrees," so called because it gives the genealogy of the Russian Tsars in seventeen degrees from Rurik. But this is very much in the same way as among the Western chroniclers the pedigree of a prince is traced from Brutus or Æneas, so in the *Stepennaya Kniga* we are told that the Apostle St. Andrew visited Russia before the days of Rurik and set up his staff in the village of Druzino, thereby indicating the beginning of the power of the Russian sovereign, whose throne was to be established at Moscow. The same book, after having given the pedigree of Ivan from Rurik, tell us

how Rurik was descended from an imaginary Prus, the brother of the Roman Emperor Augustus. Of Vladimir I., the book asserts that he received the title of Tsar at the same time as he was baptized ; so that its historical worth is but trifling.

At the beginning of the seventeenth century we have the Chronicle, supposed to be by Sergius Kubasov, in which he gives details of the members of the Imperial family. Of course he is more valuable when he treats of contemporary events, and in the latter part of his Chronicle he gives us information at first hand. Passing over some writings of little interest, except to the antiquary, we come to the work on Russia by Kotoshikhin. This was found in manuscript, in 1840, in the archives of Upsala, for Kotoshikhin, who was a *diak* (or clerk), had fled to Sweden. This man had been employed by the Government in diplomatic affairs, and, having got into trouble, secretly left Russia for Poland about 1664, whence he passed into Sweden. He was executed in 1669 for slaying in a quarrel the master of the house in which he lodged. His work abounds with graphic details, but, perhaps like all renegades, he is too severe upon his native country. In our chapter on Russian institutions we shall quote some of his remarks on the manners of his countrymen. There is also another work of the time by a Serb named Krizhanich, which was first printed from the manuscript by Bessonov in 1860. It is a kind of treatise on governments in general, and the Russian in particular. Like Kotoshikhin, he gives a melancholy picture of the condition of Muscovite society. He feels that there is

need of a reformer, and that reformer was to come soon after him. Of the peasants we hear next to nothing, but we know from the work of Giles Fletcher, already quoted, that their condition was a very pitiable one. Krizhanich was in Russia for some time during the reign of Alexi.;, but from unknown causes was banished to Siberia, and is supposed to have ended his days at Tobolsk. He was the first to start the idea of Panslavism, that bugbear in modern times of Western politicians. His works are full of interest, and show great acuteness; he carried his enthusiasm so far that he believed in the possibility of a common Slavonic language, which is about as possible as a common Teutonic language. Some persons, however, of slender scholarship have advocated the same idea in our own times. He has left a Comparative Grammar of these tongues, which was edited a little while ago. The idea of *Panslavism* was revived in the nineteenth century by the Bohemian poet, Jan Kollar. With the name of Simeon Polotski (1628-1680) the early period of Russian literature closes. He had been educated at the ecclesiastical seminary of Kiev, and had thus become acquainted in some degree with the culture of the West.

The academy of Kiev deserves notice on account of the important effects which it had upon Russian literature, as it was the only place of education of any repute till the Empress Elizabeth founded the university of Moscow. Kurbski had complained, in the oburgatory correspondence which passed between him and Ivan, that the Catholic priests and Jesuits, on account of their superior education, had an in-

fluence over the population of the Western provinces of Russia, which at that time belonged to Poland. The only remedy lay in the establishment of universities for the Orthodox of a higher class than the national schools which had been founded by Ivan. Accordingly, the college of Kiev was established by Peter Mogila about the year 1631; he had himself studied at Paris. This patriotic man endowed the institution with some lands, and sent some young monks abroad at his own expense to study the higher branches of knowledge. The college, when organized, admitted eight classes of students—six lower and two higher. In the lower classes instruction was given in Old Slavonic, Greek and Latin, the catechism, arithmetic, rhetoric, and poetry; in the higher were taught philosophy, divinity, and logic. A work on the latter subject was written by Joanniki Galatovski, entitled "The Key of Reasoning" (*Kliuch Razumenia*). In the same style was composed the "Mirror of Divinity" (*Zertsalo Bogoslovii*) of Cyril Trankvillion. The influence of the academy of Kiev upon Russia became greater when that important city had come back by the Treaty of Andruszowo. Thus the way had been prepared for the reforms of Peter the Great, and, although Simeon Polotski as a writer was of but little importance, he had great influence as a teacher. He was an indefatigable worker, and wrote a great many religious treatises and dramas on religious subjects, one of the most celebrated of which was the story of Nebuchadnezzar and the three holy children.

With the new culture introduced by Peter the Great all this was changed, and Russia, giving up her

Byzantine traditions, now looked to the West. We shall find authors in abundance for the future, but we can only allow ourselves brief sketches of the generality, dwelling at greater length upon the more important.

Antiokh Kantemir (1708-1744) was son of a former hospodar of Moldavia, with whom Peter the Great was friendly; the son entered the Tsar's service, and wrote some satires which are good imitations of Boileau. He also translated some of the works of Horace; his position as ambassador at the Courts of Paris and St. James gave him admirable opportunities for the development of his talent. The great champion, however, of the new literary movement was Michael Lomonosov, son of a poor fisherman of the government of Archangel. He was born in 1711 on an island not far from Kholmogori. His father took the boy, when only ten years of age, to assist him in the labours of his calling, and the only education which Lomonosov could get was from the clerk of the parish church. His teacher on one occasion informed him that to become a thoroughly learned man it was necessary to know Latin, and that could only be studied in Petersburg, Kiev, or Moscow. In the house of a peasant he found a book on arithmetic, an old Slavonic grammar, and the poetical version of the Psalms by Polotski. The latter was a special treasure. He learned all these books by heart, but made up his mind to visit Moscow as soon as he could. An opportunity for this expedition did not occur till he was seventeen years of age. One winter morning, during a severe frost, when a train of waggons laden with fish

was about to depart for Moscow, the young student followed the convoy to the ancient capital. His progress there was very rapid, and in 1734 he was sent to St. Petersburg, and there was furnished by the Government with means for completing his education abroad. This was in furtherance of the plan which had been wisely initiated by Peter the Great. Lomonósov accordingly began the study of metallurgy at Marburg, for he was even more addicted to natural science than to the muses. It was in 1739 that he composed his ode on the taking of Khotin from the Turks, which although a frigid piece if judged by the present standard, was quite a new departure for the Russians, and was received with great applause. On his return to his native country his career was one long course of success, which culminated in his being made Rector of the University, and in 1754 Councillor of State ; but he did not long survive the last honour, dying in 1765. Lomonósov occupied himself very much with physical science, especially chemistry, and wrote extensively upon most branches of it. He did a great deal to improve the rhythm of Russian verse, but it was not by his poetical productions that he had the greatest claims to the gratitude of his nation ; still, as poet, grammarian, and man of science, he was indefatigable in his efforts to raise Russia from her barbarism.

Basil Tatistchev (1686-1750) was an eminent Russian statesman. Among other things he wrote a history of his native country, which, however, was not published till after his death. Although possessing no merits of style, it was the first critical history which

had been written, and vastly superior to what had already been published in the same line. Trediakovski (1703-1769) deserves only a passing notice; his *Telemakhida*, an epic on the story of Telemachus, has now sunk into oblivion.

The reign of Elizabeth was favourable to literature. Many clever Frenchmen were then to be found in the Russian capital, and that imitation of French authors began which was hardly to cease with the century. The drama was now developed. Volkov opened a theatre at St. Petersburg under the patronage of the empress, and Alexander Sumarókov appeared as the first play-writer of any note. He began by producing imitations of the French, but wrote some original pieces not devoid of merit, one especially on the subject of the "False Demetrius," certainly a highly dramatic subject, as was shown by Pushkin afterwards making use of it. Sumarókov, however, imitated the French rhyming tragedy, never having dreamt of the capacities of the unrhymed iambic, for which the Russian language is so well adapted. He survived into the great reign of Catherine, dying in 1777. Kniazhnin also wrote with talent for the stage.

With the reign of Catherine sprang up a whole generation of Court poets, for, owing to the absence of a great reading public, the authors whom Russia began to produce in such large numbers were mainly fostered by the Court. An epic poet appeared in Michael Kheraskov (1733-1801), who produced the *Rossiada* in twelve books, and *Vladimir* in eighteen. This was the age for epics of the artificial kind, and

the clumsy productions of Kheraskov are not much read now. Bogdanovich (1743-1803) wrote *Dúshenka*, a pretty little love poem on the story of Cupid and Psyche. With Khemnitser writers of fables began to appear, a kind of composition with which Russian literature abounds; but the master in this species of poetry was Krilov, of whom we shall shortly speak. The Russians were now to have some genuine comedy of their own instead of everlasting copies of the French. Denis von Visin, probably of German extraction, but born at Moscow, produced his *Nedorosl* (Minor), a capital satire on the coarseness and selfishness of Russian life. Derzhávin (1743-1816) was the poet who sang the glories of Catherine and her generals; he tried many styles of composition, and showed great command of his native language. Some of his odes have been translated into English. The "Waterfall" (*Vodopad*) is a fine poem, and the taking of Ismail by Suvórov, which has been spoken of under the reign of Catherine II., drew forth one of his most grandiose compositions. In his poem descriptive of his life at Zvanka, his country seat in the government of Novgorod, we get a picture of rural Russia. The poet's residence remained in the possession of his widow till her death, and has now been turned into an educational institute. In the poems of Derzhávin the era of Catherine is strictly reflected with its military glories and somewhat pompous titles. He is fond of celebrating the empress under various allegorical names. We see the influence of such writers as Young, the author of the "Night Thoughts,"

who, although but little read now, had at this time a prodigious reputation throughout Europe. The poet survived late into the reign of Alexander; and Pushkin has a pleasant reminiscence of him in his memoirs, and tells us how he visited the Lyceum of Tsarskoe Seló, when the prizes were distributed to the students. We have already mentioned the two writers, Radistchev and Novikov, who became embroiled with the Government in the reign of Catherine. Radistchev's book was at one time strictly forbidden by the censorship, but in our own days it has been reprinted in all the glories of an *édition de luxe*. In the time of Paul great restrictions were laid upon authors and upon imported books; but when the milder Alexander ascended the throne everything was changed. Karamzin published his History in the reign of the latter sovereign, which he only lived to continue to the time of Michael Romanov, and even the concluding part of this was pieced together from the fragments he left. This is an elaborate work written in an elegant style, for it would be impossible to exaggerate the merits of Karamzin as a master of his own language. Instead of a cumbrous syntax modelled upon ancient authors, or the equally cumbrous German, he adopted a light flowing style like that of French authors. Some of the happiest expressions used in modern Russian were coined by him. Perhaps he glosses over too much the barbarism of his countrymen in the early period of their history; but his work is eminently readable and full of erudition. He also performed the inestimable service of awakening the

enthusiasm of Russian authors for the past history of their country, and to the stimulus thus given may undoubtedly be traced the collections of *bilini* and *skaski*, which soon began to appear. Besides his History, Karamzin wrote tales and essays. We cannot allow ourselves space for many minor authors in our brief sketch, and must hurry to Krilov (1768-1844), one of the most original fabulists produced by any country. Many of his lines have passed into proverbs; we find shrewd observation of human nature shrewdly expressed, and the fable, with its Oriental colouring, seems to belong to the literature of an autocracy. Zhukovski, who died in 1852, appears chiefly as a translator, but his influence upon Russian literature was very beneficial. He introduced to his countrymen the new Romantic school of poetry, which reigned triumphant throughout Europe. Alexander Pushkin (1799-1837) was the glory of the reign of Nicholas, and is up to the present time the greatest poet produced by Russia. His chief works are narrative poems, in the style of Byron, such as "Ruslan and Liudmila," "The Prisoner of the Caucasus," "The Fountain of Bakchiserai," "The Gypsies," &c. He has also a sportive poem, entitled "Eugene Oniegin," a kind of novel in verse, interesting from its fresh pictures of Russian life. He also wrote a good tragedy, "Boris Godunov," in which his model was avowedly Shakspeare. This was the first attempt to introduce to the Russians the Romantic drama. The story of this strange usurper, which has been told in an earlier chapter, afforded fine dramatic material. Pushkin also wrote some

Krilov

Pushkin

clever tales. He was killed at St. Petersburg in 1837 in a duel with a Frenchman, who survived till quite recently.

Many of the lyrics of Pushkin show considerable vigour, and are striking from their Oriental colouring. The two following translations are given to enable the reader to form some idea of them :—

THE BLACK SHAWL.

As of senses bereft at a black shawl I stare,
And my chill heart is tortured with deadly despair.

When dreaming too fondly in credulous youth,
I loved a Greek maiden with passion and truth.

My Greek girl was gentle and loving and fair ;
But my joy quickly sank in a day of despair.

Once I feasted gay friends ; ere the banquet was o'er
A Jew, the accursed, softly knocked at my door.

“Thou art laughing,” he whispered, “in pleasure’s mad whirl ;
But she hath betrayed thee, thy young Grecian girl.”

I cursed him ; but gold as a guerdon I gave,
And took as companion my trustiest slave.

My swift charger I mounted ; at once we depart,
And the soft voice of pity was still’d in my heart.

The Greek maiden’s dwelling I hardly could mark,
For my limbs they grew faint, and my eyes they grew dark.

I silently entered—alone, and amazed ;
An Armenian was kissing the girl as I gazed.

I saw not the light ; but I seized my good blade ;
The betrayer ne’er finished the kiss that betrayed.

On his warm headless body I trampled, then spurn’d,
And silent and pale to the maiden I turned.

I remember her prayers—in her blood how she strove ;
Then perished my Greek girl—then perished my love.

I tore the black shawl from her head as she lay,
 Wiped the blood-dripping weapon, and hurried away.
 When the mists of the evening rose gloomy, my slave
 Threw each corpse in the Danube's dark fast-rolling wave.
 Since then no bewildering eyes can delight ;
 Since then I forbear festive banquets at night.
 As of senses bereft at a black shawl I stare,
 And my chill heart is tortured with deadly despair.

In the following we have attempted a version of
 a charming little lyric, which is pleasing from its
 original treatment.

THE TALISMAN.

Where the sea for ever dashes
 Wave 'gainst lonely rock and tower ;
 Where the moonbeam softly flashes
 At the evening's misty hour.
 Slave to harem-beauties' graces,
 Where the Moslem wastes life's span ;
 There a sorceress, with embraces,
 Gave to me a talisman.

"And O ! keep this secret treasure,"
 Thus with kisses she began :
 'Tis a spell of wondrous measure,
 'Tis love's gift, my talisman.
 From the pain, the death thou fearest,
 In the storm and hurricane ;
 Not, I trow, from these, my dearest,
 Guard thee shall my talisman.

'Twill not give thee jewels hidden
 Which the Eastern mines can show ;
 'Twill not 'neath thy falchion bidden
 Lay the Prophet's votaries low ;
 'Twill not bring thy friend beside thee,
 Nor, when sad in exile's ban,
 To thy northern country guide thee
 From the south, my talisman.

But when subtle eyes would move thee,
 Luring thee with sudden power,
 When the lips that do not love thee
 Dare to kiss at evening hour,
 Love, from that unmindful season—
 New heart sorrows that unman—
 Falsehood, trespasses, and treason,
 Shall protect my talisman.

Alexander Griboiédov, Russian minister to the Court of Persia, who was killed in a popular tumult at Teheran in 1823, wrote one comedy, which has kept its hold upon the Russian people, *Gore ot Umá* ("Grief out of Wit"), full of satirical power, in which the artificial Frenchified society of Moscow was happily ridiculed. The author lies buried in the picturesque mountain church of St. David at Tiflis, and by his side rests, after a long widowhood, his wife, Nina, the daughter of Prince Alexander Chavchavadze, who is still remembered at Tiflis as a beautiful and clever woman.

The most eminent poet who has appeared in Russia since Pushkin is Lermontov (1814-1841). He has great lyric power, and in one of his pieces has cleverly imitated the manner of the Russian *bilini*. His masterpiece is "The Demon," a narrative-poem somewhat in the style of Byron. As the English poet sought the scenery of the East as the background to his tales, so Lermontov loves to describe the picturesque valleys and glorious mountains of Georgia. He was of Scotch extraction; one of his ancestors, named Learmont, having emigrated to Russia in the seventeenth century. This influx of Scotch had begun even in the time of Ivan the Terrible, and

Lermontov
1814-

Russian history teems with the names of Bruces, Gordons, Leslies, Hamiltons, Carmichaels, and Dalziels. Koltsov (1809-1842) was altogether a poet of the people. He was the son of a tallow-merchant at Vorónezh. His lyrics are popular throughout his native country. One of the great causes of their diffusion is perhaps that he has adopted the style of Russian ballad poetry. He died of consumption. Nikitin, who greatly resembles him in style, was a native of the same town, and has written some fine lyrical poetry. The story of his life is a sad one, a continued struggle with poverty and the miserable associations of his humble position. The number of these uneducated poets is a strange feature in Russian literature; we should have hardly expected to find them.

The Russians began early to write historical novels, under the influence of Scott. The productions of Lazhechnikov and Zagoskin are not without merit, but are now almost forgotten. The best work of the former is "The Heretic"; of the latter, *Yuri Miloslavski*, a tale of the time of troubles (*Smutnoye Vremya*), which has been described in our pages. But it was in the realistic novels of modern life, the school of Dickens and Thackeray, that the Russians were destined to achieve their greatest successes. Nicholas Gogol (1809-1852) was a writer of striking talent. His "Dead Souls" is one of the most brilliant novels to be found in the literature of any country. As he was a native of Malo-Russia, the colouring of his tales is taken from that picturesque part of the Russian Empire. In

Taras Bulba he gives us a vigorously drawn sketch of the wars between the Cossacks and the Poles, with which the readers of our sketch of Russian history must be already familiar. Some of his short stories exhibit great talent, such as "The Memoirs of a Madman," and "The Old-fashioned Household." He died perhaps insane, in 1852, at the early age of forty-two. The novelists of Russia are now becoming widely known throughout Europe. At their head must be placed Ivan Turgueniev, whose works have been extensively read. They form a long series, beginning with the *Zapiski Okhotnika*, "Memoirs of a Sportsman," and ending with *Nov*, "Virgin Soil." The fine pathos and subtle analysis of character which the novels of Turgueniev show have found an echo in the hearts of all classes of readers. Perhaps his most beautiful tale is that in which the ill-starred loves of Lisa and Lavretski are recounted. Other novelists are Count Leo Tolstoi, author of many striking works, the most celebrated of which are *Voina i Mir* ("War and Peace"), a powerful romance of the period of Napoleon's invasion, and *Anna Karenina*; Goncharov, author of *Obiknovennaya Istoriya* ("A Commonplace History"), *Oblomov*, and other works; Dostoievski, who has written, among other productions, *Zapiski iz Mertvago Doma* ("Letters from the House of the Dead"), an account of his exile in Siberia; Ostrovski; and Pisemski.

Leaving the novelists, we must now say a few words about the historians. Karamzin has already been mentioned. He was followed by Nicholas Polevoi, son of a Siberian merchant, an indefatigable

Karamzin
Polevoi

littérateur, who was also a dramatist of some reputation. His "History," however, is now almost forgotten. When Soloviev died, in 1879, he had carried his stupendous "History of Russia" to the close of the twenty-eighth volume. This is now the standard work, but is, perhaps, written on too gigantic a scale, and very different opinions are held concerning it, some looking upon it as little more than a quarry of materials, others as possessing critical value. In 1885 died Kostomarov, a voluminous writer on historical subjects. Especially celebrated are his monographs on Bogdan Khmel'nitski and the rebellion of Stenka Razin, persons with whom our narrative has already made our readers familiar. His collected historical essays are contained in fifteen volumes. We have no space here to mention all the writers who have contributed valuable works on Russian history, but we must not pass over the *Tsarstvovanie Petra Velikago* ("Reign of Peter the Great") by Ustrialov, and the new "History of Russia," by Prof. Bestuzhev-Riumin, of which the first volume and part of the second have appeared. An excellent history of Slavonic literature has been published by Messrs. Pipin and Spasovich, and a good popular work on the plan of Chambers' "Cyclopædia of English Literature" by P. Polevoi.

Since the death of Lermontov, the chief Russian poet has been Nicholas Nekrasov, who died in 1877. The realism in art, which is so great a characteristic of the Russian mind, which has shown itself in the novels of Gogol, Dostoievski, and many others, and in the paintings of Verestchagin, is a conspicuous

feature also of the poetry of Nekrasov. He has painted in firm and true colours the sad, monotonous life of the Russian peasant, and refuses to contemplate it from the point of view of drawing-room æsthetics. Hence he is a kind of Russian Crabbe—

“Nature’s sternest painter, but her best.”

The pathetic poem, *Morož Krasni Nos* (“Red-nosed Frost”), is a sad page from the annals of Russian peasant life. The picture is drawn with terrible power. M. de Vogüé, in his “Souvenirs et Visions” (1887), has very finely said: “Le penseur russe va d’un bond au fond des choses, il voit les contradictions, la vanité, le grand rien de la vie, et si son tempérament d’artiste le porte à la reproduire, il le fait avec une impartialité dédaigneuse, parfois avec une froide désespérance, le plus souvent avec le fatalisme inhérent aux parties orientales de son âme.”

There are many other poets who have enriched Russian literature in recent times. They are chiefly lyrical. Maikov has this year celebrated his jubilee. Benediktov and Polonski have written gracefully; the latter is still living. Since the “Boris Godunov” of Pushkin, the finest tragedies have been written by Count A. Tolstoj, the cousin of the novelist. He chose a highly dramatic period of the national history, which is certainly not lacking in such subjects, as our readers have found, unless we have egregiously erred in telling it.

A few words may be said about Russian dialect literature. The Malo-Russians have also their collections of national ballads, extending from the earliest

Tolstoj

days to the time of the breaking up of their *sech* or military republic in the time of Catherine. They are called *dumi*, and exist in many collections, which are studied more and more now that the time has come almost to idealize these brave but somewhat turbulent soldiers. But under all circumstances a great deal of interest attaches to them. The written literature of the Malo-Russians only commences about the close of last century, when Ivan Kotliarevski (1769-1838) published his travesty of the *Æneid*, a strange work for a young literature to begin with, and it also appears not a little strange that this noble poem should have been travestied in so many languages. One author of considerable eminence has written in the Malo-Russian dialect or language, for it has been called both, but the subject is altogether too special for our pages. This writer was Taras Shevchenko, who was born in 1814, in a village in the government of Kiev, and spent the earlier part of his life as a serf. He did not get his freedom till 1838, which was purchased by some kind-hearted men seeing his great ability both as a poet and an artist. He died in 1861, having experienced many cruel vicissitudes of fortune, among others exile to Siberia. He had unfortunately, while staying at Kiev, become embroiled with the Government, through getting mixed up with some secret societies. Shevchenko was sentenced to serve as a common soldier at Orenburg, on the Asiatic frontier. In this condition he remained from 1847 to 1857, till released through the kind efforts of Count Feodore Tolstoi and his wife. The following pathetic little poem, although only in a

prose version, may give some idea of Shevchenko's manner in his minor pieces:—

“Here three broad ways cross, and here three brothers of the Ukraine parted on their several journeys. They left their aged mother. This one quitted a wife, the other a sister, and the third, the youngest, a sweetheart. The aged mother planted three ash-trees in a field, and the wife planted a tall poplar; the sister three maples in the dell, and the betrothed maiden a red elder-tree. The three ash-trees throve not, the poplar withered; withered also the maples, and the elder faded. Never more came the brothers. The old mother is weeping, and the wife with the children wails in the cheerless cottage. The sister mourns, and goes to seek her brothers in the far-away lands; the young maiden is laid in her grave. The brothers come not back; they are wandering over the world: and the three pathways—they are overgrown with thorns.

The literature of the White-Russian dialect is altogether scanty, consisting of a few songs and proverbs. We have already briefly glanced at the literatures of some of the other languages spoken in the Tsar's dominions—Polish is too extensive to be discussed in a brief manner, and must be left to a special work.

In conclusion, what, it may be asked, are the characteristics of Russian literature, and the Russian mind underlying it? Although the Russians of the time of Catherine—and indeed we may say far into the times of Alexander I.—imitated French literature and manners, and the French language was ex-

tensively used from a lack of self-reliance and self-consciousness among the higher classes ; yet it may be said that the Russian mind is wholly alien to that of the French. The real genius of their literature is deeply serious, religious, and pathetic. They never contemplate life as an amusing comedy. Wherever really national writers have appeared, their characteristics are intense realism. Hence the great popularity among them of the modern English school of novelists, of Dickens and George Eliot. The stern realities of peasant life are powerfully described in the works of Turgueniev, Tolstoi, Dostoievski, and others. I have already alluded to Nekrasov, and the same may be said of the verses of Koltsov and Nikitin. There is also a deep piety which is conspicuous amid this "still, sad music of humanity."

There are ten Universities in Russia, those of Moscow, St. Petersburg, Kiev, Karkhov, Kazan, Odessa, Warsaw, Dorpat, Helsingfors, and Tomsk. There is also talk of founding universities at Vorónezh and Tiflis. There are some good schools in the country.





XIII.

THE SOCIAL CONDITION OF RUSSIA BEFORE AND AFTER THE TIME OF PETER THE GREAT.

IF we wish to realize to ourselves the former condition of Russia before Peter the Great had introduced his reforms, there is abundance of material at hand. Our own countryman, Giles Fletcher, already alluded to, the first edition of whose work appeared in 1591, has furnished us with an accurate picture, the value of which the Russians have themselves recognized. He had great opportunities for observation, having been sent by Elizabeth as ambassador to the Russian Court, and the freedom with which he wrote caused the queen to order his book to be suppressed. Hence the scarcity of this edition. The English, who had a monopoly of trade with Russia, were anxious to be on good terms with a country which afforded them so much profit. The Emperor, or Tsar, a title adopted from Byzantium, and in reality nothing but a form of Cæsar, whatever absurd opinions have been held to the contrary, was absolute ruler, having complete control over the lives and fortunes of his subjects. In the old books written upon Russia we find many stories given to

illustrate this unlimited power, but we must not attach great importance to them, as we find most of them used in connection with various Eastern tyrants. To this category belong some of the most pungent anecdotes told by Olearius and Collins, who have both been previously cited. We have already alluded to the attempts to limit the autocratic rule, which first occurred when the Emperor Michael was elected. The *boyars* had become more powerful in consequence of the political troubles of the country, and throughout the reigns of Michael and Alexis the *ukases* were issued in the name of "the tsar and the boyars." This ceased under the strong hand of Peter, but we have seen that the nobles endeavoured to obtain a kind of charter from the Empress Anne; something like the Polish *pacta conventa*, which she afterwards managed to destroy. These appear to have been the only attempts to limit the sovereign's authority, if we except the foolish conspiracy of the Dekabrists (or men of December) at the commencement of the reign of Nicholas. That in the earliest days of the country the nobles held lands by inheritance with extensive powers is undoubtedly true, but all this was put an end to by Ivan IV. We have shown how he consolidated the empire, and was a sort of Russian Louis XI.

The great council of the realm was called the *Sobor*; it was presided over by the emperor himself; about twenty of the nobility, and the same number of clergy, were summoned; this invitation depending entirely upon the will of the emperor. The burghers, of course, had no legitimate place at such an as-

sembly, although there are cases in which they were summoned by Ivan IV. In the *duma*, which was a kind of privy council they never appeared. On the occasions of the meeting of the *sobor* the clergy voted first.

The nobility were of four sorts. First, the *udielnia kniazia*, or princes with appanages. These originally had various privileges, but they were mostly filched from them by the cunning tyranny of Ivan. "So that now," says Fletcher, "these of the chiefe nobilitie . . . are equalled with the rest: save that in the opinion and favour of the people they are of more account, and keepe stil the prerogative of their place in al their publike meetings." He then proceeds to narrate some of the stratagems by which Ivan decreased their number; many were forced to remain unmarried; many were sent to Siberia, and others compelled to become monks.

The *boyars* formed the second degree of nobility. This title has now ceased to be employed in Russia, and is considered by Miklosich to be connected with a Tatar root, meaning "high, exalted." The older form is *bolyar*. Their revenue came from the lands assigned them by the emperor, which they held at his pleasure, worth, says Fletcher, about a thousand marks a year. They also received seven hundred roubles a year from the emperor for serving in the wars.

The third class consisted of the *voievodes*, or such nobles as had been generals in the emperor's wars: the fourth of the *kniazia*, who had no inheritance but a bare title, and were descended from the younger sons of the great families. All the children of a

kniaz took his title as they do now in Russia. Nor is the case much altered from what it was when Fletcher wrote: "Of this sort there are so many that the plentie maketh them cheap: so that you shall see dukes glad to serve a meane man for five or six rubbels or marks a yeare, and yet they will stand highly upon their *bestchest* or reputation of their honours."

The clerks who attended at the meetings of the *Sobor* were called *diaks*.

Of course there was no middle class in Russia at this time in our sense of the word. The trade was chiefly in the hands of the English, who had a flourishing house at Moscow, and in other parts of the empire. The Slav appears to have but few commercial instincts; hence in so many countries inhabited by people of this race the trade has fallen into the hands of foreigners and Jews—as in Poland, where the Germans were governed by a code of their own, called the *Jus Magdeburgicum*.

The peasants or *muzliks* were not in the time of Ivan in the absolute state of bondage into which they afterwards fell. The exact period of the rise of serfdom in Russia would be hard to fix; perhaps it was not earlier than the sixteenth century. It has been gradually formed more or less from economic causes. We must remember, however, that Russian serfdom was developed out of two classes of peasants; firstly, the *kholop*, and secondly, the *krestianin*, who was personally free, but like the *colonus ascriptitius* of the Roman Empire, attached to the soil. We find the *kholopi* already existing as

early as the times of the *Russkaya Pravda*; they were either men who had been captured in war, and their descendants or debtors who had been sold into slavery because they were unable to satisfy their creditors. The *krestiane*, however, or rural peasantry, long remained personally free, and had the right of quitting their masters. This right was especially put in practice on St. George's Day, and the recollection of it has survived among the peasantry till the present time in the proverb, *Vot tebé, babushka, Yuriev dyen* ("Here's St. George's Day for you, old woman!").

Chicherin very truly remarks, "The enslavement of the rural peasants did not proceed from any private claims of one person over another, but was in consequence of common obligations laid upon all classes of society. When, however, the *krestianin* and *kholop* were associated under the authority of one and the same master, one institution acted upon the other, and each contributed to the other some of its own characteristics." In Poland also, as in Russia, the peasants, who were personally free, but liable to perform certain services (the *cmetones* Polish *kmieci*), gradually became bound to the soil, and were confused with the peasants strictly so called, who were the property of their masters, and had no rights.

It was Boris Godunov (a man to whom in many respects Russia owes a debt of gratitude), who first bound the peasant to the soil and forbade him to seek the service of another master. For the next hundred and fifty years we find serfdom developing and taking more aggravated forms. Peter the Great even



RUSSIANS TRAVELLING.

increased it, instead of weakening its force, by confounding in a common serfdom the *odnodvortsi*, a kind of privileged serfs, like our *villenagium privilegiatum*, and the *polovniki* a sort of *métayers*. In this condition the peasants continued till the year of emancipation 1861. The first idea of their liberation belongs to Prince Golitsin, the favourite of the Tsarevna Sophia, towards the close of the seventeenth century. In his conversation with the Polish ambassador Neuville, he spoke to him of the necessity of putting Russia upon the same footing as other nations, and he thought that the first step towards this was the emancipation of the peasants and the handing over to them of the lands which they cultivated. Nothing, however, resulted from these wise intentions, and the actual amelioration of their condition began in the reign of Peter the Great. Peter permitted the domestic serfs to enter the army even without the consent of their masters; and those who had gained a certain sum in trade might enrol themselves as inhabitants of towns, also without their masters' consent, and the senate was ordered to legislate with a view to put a limit to the sale of peasants apart from the land. In other respects, however, as previously mentioned, Peter strengthened serfdom. Under his immediate successors the power of the master over his serf increased: thus in the reign of Elizabeth, he might send any refractory serf to Siberia. In the reign of Catherine the position of the serfs was taken into consideration at the time of the new codification of the Russian laws. The points discussed, however, rather applied to the

general amelioration of their condition than their emancipation—such as a definition of the liabilities of the peasants, their right to marry at pleasure, and the punishment of their masters for cruelties inflicted upon them. Most important was the question of their right to property. In spite, however, of some improvements in their treatment, they remained in a state of bondage. Serfdom was also introduced among the Malo-Russians.

Soon after the Emperor Paul came to the throne, the peasants on the Don and in the governments of Yekaterinoslav, Voznesenski, Caucasus, and Taurida, were bound to the soil. But some measures were taken to better their condition. In Malo-Russia it was forbidden to sell the peasants apart from the land, and the *barstchina* or *corvée*, for all Russia, was fixed at the rate of three days a week.

Much was hoped from the Emperor Alexander, a humane man; in the year 1801, he forbade the sale of the serfs apart from the land. The Emperor Nicholas perceived the necessity of having a final settlement of this question; but the task was left to his son. The present condition of the peasantry will be described when we come to speak of the *mir*.

The Russian clergy were first under the jurisdiction of the Patriarch of Constantinople, but when that city fell into the power of the Turks, there arose a natural desire for independence. In 1589, a patriarchate was established at Moscow, and the office lasted about a hundred and ten years, having been abolished by Peter the Great in 1700. Of these patriarchs there were ten during that period: they had

considerable power, in matters ecclesiastical, but the Emperor had the control of all clerical appointments ; on Palm Sunday it was customary for the Tsar to hold the bridle of the ass, upon which the Patriarch sat in solemn procession. The supremacy of the State in religious matters which Peter observed in England and other Protestant countries, no doubt, made a great impression upon him : on his return, he issued his "Book of Regulations" for the government of the Church, and when the Patriarch died, he did not appoint a new one, but the office was merged in that of the metropolitan of Moscow. The clergy were divided, as at the present time, into the white clergy, the parish priests, and the black clergy, the monks ; of these, there is only one order throughout the country, that of St. Basil. Fletcher was struck with the great number of the monasteries, but we have already seen how Peter the Great had an idea of getting the ecclesiastical revenues under the control of the State, a plan which was afterwards carried out by Catherine. Of the ignorance of the priests of his time, Fletcher speaks in the severest terms ; but Coxe in the eighteenth century has a more favourable opinion, especially in the case of some of the higher clergy. Nor will readers of Clarke's travels, a little later, forget his description of the interesting interview which he had with the Metropolitan Plato, a man whom he found full of wit and knowledge.

Concerning the habits of the emperor, such as he was in the sixteenth century, we will quote the quaint description of Fletcher :—

"He is served at his table on this manner. First

every dish, (as it is delivered at the dresser), is tasted by the cooke, in the presence of the high stewarde, or his deputie. And so is received by the gentlemen wayters (called shilshey), and by them carried up to the emperour's table, the high stewarde or his deputie going before. There it is received by the server (called erastnoy), who giveth a taste of everie dishe to the taster, and so placeth it before the emperour. The number of his dishes for his ordinarie service is about seventy: dressed somewhat grosely, with much garlicke and salt, much after the Douthch manner. When hee exceedeth upon some occasion of the day, or entertainment of some ambassador, he hath many more dishes. The service is sent up by two dishes at a time, or three at the most, that he may eate it warme; first the baked, then the rost meat, and last the broths. In his dyning chamber is an other table, where sit the chiefe of his nobilitie that are about his court and his ghostly father, or chapleine. On the one side of the chamber standeth a cubbard or table of plate, very fayre and riche, with a great cesterne of copper by it full of yse and snow, wherein stande the pottes that serve for that meale. The taster holdeth that he drinketh in, all dinner time, and delivereth it unto him with a say, when he calleth for it. The manner is to make many dishes out of the service after it is set on the table, and to send them to such noblemen and officers as the emperour liketh best; and this is counted a great favour and honour. After dinner hee layeth him downe to reste, where commonly hee taketh three houres sleepe, except he employ one of the houres to bathing or boxing."

So far Fletcher, who enables us to see the Russia of old time; even at the present day it is a great custom with the Russians to take a *siesta*.

The power of the Tsar up to the nineteenth century has undergone no change. The government of the country is an absolute monarchy. It is also hereditary, for although Peter the Great, baffled in his schemes by the rebellious conduct of his son Alexis, made the succession depend upon the will of the reigning Tsar, his plan was changed by Paul. By thus tampering with the natural order of things, Peter paved the way for a great deal of the confusion which subsequently ensued,—especially the election of weak women as sovereigns, who could easily be ruled by others. Since the time of Paul the succession has been vested in the eldest son and his heirs, and the next in order of birth, for we must remember that Constantine, the brother of Nicholas, voluntarily resigned his claims. All power, legislative and executive, is settled absolutely in the Emperor. He must be a member of the Orthodox (*pravoslavni*) Church, of which he is not perhaps as much the head as Queen Victoria is of the Anglican. The Emperor is aided by a Privy Council, and besides this there are four other councils: the first ecclesiastical, that of the Holy Synod; the second, the Council of Ministers (of whom there are eleven); and the two remaining are styled the Council of the Empire and the Senate, exercising deliberative and judicial functions.

The Senate is nominated by the Emperor; its members exercise administrative functions, and discharge the duties of courts of cassation.

Peter the Great entirely remodelled the Russian nobility, such as it had existed in the sixteenth century. He was anxious to have rank based upon official duties. The ground was clear before him, for we have already described how his brother Feodore succeeded in destroying the *mestnistchestvo*, or custom which forbade a man to hold an office of an inferior grade to that which any of his ancestors had held. He accordingly established the *Chin*, a gradation of ranks, and arranged all nobles under three classes, civil, military, and religious, with appropriate titles, and these titles must be employed with scrupulous accuracy when addressing officials. Thus the Imperial Chancellor, the highest civil title, corresponds in the army to field-marshal, and in the church to the Metropolitan, so also Privy Councillor (*Taini Sovietnik*) is on the same level as lieutenant-general in the army, and a bishop in the ecclesiastical ranks, and so to the end. The members of these grades are officially styled *Chinovniks*, and the venality of the lower classes of officials is a frequent theme for satire with Russian authors, as we see in the clever comedy of Gogol, "The Revisor," and the "Provincial Sketches" of the novelist Saltikov.

We have already mentioned how Peter III. removed from the nobles the obligation enforced by Peter the Great, that they should discharge some public duty. It was a long time before any of the nobility showed sufficient education to be safely entrusted with the duties of an embassy; and therefore in the earlier periods of their history we find the Russians more often employing foreigners. It is curious that

the portraits of two Russian ambassadors have been preserved, which were painted in England during their time of office—Mikulín, in the reign of Elizabeth, and Prozorovski in that of Charles II.

The position of the clergy at the present time has already been stated, but besides the various forms of Christianity there are also Mohammedans, Shamánites, and Buddhists. The Russians have always shown themselves tolerant of other creeds, and the antipathy of the Russian peasant to the Jew, as has been truly stated in an article in the *Encyclopædia Britannica*, is not based upon religious prejudices, but dislike to the Kahal or Jewish confederation for exploiting the peasants. Catherine protected Buddhism, and in consequence the Buddhist priests multiplied so, that, by order of Nicholas, their numbers were diminished.

The Russians, with the exception of a few White-Russian Uniates, profess the Greek Orthodox faith; the Poles and most of the Lithuanians are Roman Catholics. The late conversion of the latter from Paganism has been described in a previous part of this work; till the preaching of the Jesuits many of them belonged to the Greek faith. The Finns, Germans, and Swedes, are Protestant; the Tatars, Bashkirs, and Kirghizes, Mohammedans.

There is, moreover, plenty of Dissent in Russia, the general name for the Dissenters being *Raskolniki*. Among these are the *Staro-obriadtsi*, or followers of the old ritual, according to the schism produced by Nicon's emendation of the text of the Slavonic Version, as has already been described. Besides these there are the

Bezpopovtsi, who have no priests ; the *Molokani*, who resemble our Quakers, and many of whom have been settled in the neighbourhood of Tiflis ; the *Stranniki*, or wanderers ; the *Skakuni*, a kind of Shakers ; and the *Skoptsi*, who mutilate themselves.

The merchants at the present time are divided into three gilds.

In spite of the autocratic rule of the Tsar, Russia is not entirely without the rudiments of self-government. The primary element of State organization is the *mir*,



TRAVELLING SLEDGE.

or village community, which will shortly be described more at large. A number of these village communities make a *volost*, whose peasant inhabitants elect an elder (*volostnoi starshina*), who is, however, subordinate to the *mirovoy posrednik*, and a peasant's court (*volostnoi sud*). There is also the provincial assembly called *zemstvo*, consisting of representatives of the landed proprietors, the artisans and peasants, who regulate the incidence of taxation, and have power in matters of education, public health, roads,

&c. They form a kind of local board. Since 1870, the municipalities have had a *duma* corresponding to the *zemstvo*; citizens are enrolled according to a property qualification, and elect representatives

We have not space to add much about the judicial institutions of the Russians, the nature of the punishments inflicted, and other matters of the kind. Something may be gathered from what we have said about the legal codes in the course of our narrative, and there is a great deal of indirect information to be collected from Fletcher, Olearius, and other travellers who visited Russia. The punishments inflicted were generally very cruel; we see for example, by the *Uloshenie* of Alexis and even later, that a woman who murdered her husband was buried alive. Torture was of course a great feature of the early period, and was inflicted in many ways. A very quaint punishment was that called the *praviozh*, which is related both by Fletcher and Olearius, and shall be here given in the words of the latter, as translated by Davis. "He who pays not at his time mentioned in the bond is put into a sergeant's house, having a certain further time to make satisfaction. If he fail he is carried to prison, whence he is, every day, brought out to the place before the chancery, where the common executioner beats him upon the shin-bone, with a wand about the bigness of a man's little finger for a whole hour together. That done he is returned to prison, unless he can put in security to be forthcoming the next day at the same hour, to be treated in the same manner till he hath made satisfaction. And this is executed with much rigour on

all sorts of persons, what condition or quality soever they be of, subjects or foreigners, men or women, priests or lay persons. 'Tis true, some present being made to the executioner, he suffers the debtor to put a thin iron plate within his boot to receive the blows ; or, it may be, smites more gently. If the debtor have not to satisfy he must be sold with his wife and children to the creditor."

In his chapter on the manners of the Russians Fletcher describes them as gross in their diet and much given to drunkenness. He has almost as bad an opinion of them as George Turberville, who, writing in 1568 says :—

" Drink is their sole desire, the pot is all their pride,
The soberest head doth once a day stand needful of a guide."

The women led a life of Oriental seclusion, and were entirely in slavish subjection to their husbands, as we have previously shown in referring to the Domostroi of the time of Ivan the Terrible. Peter the Great put a stop to all this seclusion when he established his so-called *assemblées*, which at first were a great scandal to all those who were attached to the old system. It was one of his aims to rid Russia as much as possible of the Orientalism which had been induced during the two hundred years' rule of the Mongols.

The men of the upper classes were ignorant and superstitious ; to travel was unknown among them, and they fancied Russia to be the most favoured land on the face of the globe. In the time of Michael



111

227

BEARD CUTTING. A CARICATURE.

Romanov Prince Khvorostin was accused of treason, because he wished to visit foreign countries. The women passed their lives in retirement, and only went abroad in covered litters. Education was in a very low state ; the only subjects taught being reading and writing, and the instructors were the priests. But even of this slender training the women hardly had the benefit. Kotoshikhin, the author already cited, says, "In the Muscovite Empire the female sex is ignorant, and it is not the custom to educate them, so they seem by nature childish, and are awkward and shamefaced in speaking, because from their earliest youth they live in retired apartments, and, with the exception of their near relatives, no one sees them and they see nobody ; and therefore we can easily perceive that it is impossible for them to be sensible or confident, and even when they are married they are seen but little. And sometimes it has happened that the Tsar has sent a message to the Tsaritsa, and she, although she has heard the message, has not been able to give a reply, and thus it has been a cause of shame to the Tsar."

Owing to their want of education, the Russian women of the old school showed but little refinement. Olearius tells us that they painted very much. "They paint so palpably that if they laid it on with a brush, and had a handful of meal cast in their faces when they had done, they could not disfigure themselves as much as the paint does. But the custom is so general, that the most handsome must comply, lest they should discredit the artificial beauty of others : whereof we saw an example in the wife of

Knez Juan Borissowits Cirkaski (Kniaz Ivan Borisovich Cherkasski) who was the handsomest lady of all Muscovy, and was loath to spoil with painting what the rest of her sex took so much pains to preserve thereby : but the other women informed against her, and would not be quiet till their husbands had forced that prince to give way, that his wife might daub her face after the ordinary manner. So that painting is so common in Muscovy, that when any are to be married, the bridegroom that is to be, sends among other presents some paint to his bride."

The husband was not allowed to see his future wife till the marriage day, and this accounts for the many tricks which were played upon bridegrooms. A stool was placed under her feet to give her the appearance of being taller ; and sometimes a handsome female slave was substituted. "Nowhere," says Kotoshikhin, writing in the days of the Tsar Alexis, "is there such trickery practised with reference to brides as at Moscow." Weddings were conducted with great pomp, and even among peasants at the present day the expenses of a marriage are comparatively great. Those who are familiar with Russian popular literature will not fail to have noticed the collections of wedding songs (*svadebnia piesni*). They are not always bright and joyous, but too often dwell upon the hardships of conjugal life as contrasted with the simple liberty of maidenhood ; for married life among the peasants has always involved a hard lot. Nekrasov, the stern realistic painter of life, has forcibly said :

“ Three grievous lots had fate assigned,
 The first—to marry a slave ;
 The second—to be the mother of a son that is a slave ;
 And the third—till death to be subject to a slave ;
 And all these terrible lots lay
 On the woman of the Russian land.”

Collins speaks of the ceremonies at their funerals. The feasts, held on these occasions, were called *trizni*, and it was to a banquet of this description, given on the death of her husband, that Olga invited the Drevlians when eager to avenge his death, as previously told. Collins says, “ The Russians count that the greatest funeral where are most women-mourners. . . . These therefore in a doleful tone cry out (as the wild Irish do, ‘ O, hone ’), ‘ Timminny Dooshinco (*Ti menya dushenka*) ; alas ! my dear, why hast thou left me, was I not obedient to thee in all things ? was I not careful of thy house ? did I not bring thee fine children ? hadst thou not all things in abundance ? or thus, why wouldst thou die ? hadst thou not a fair wife, pretty clothes, and brandy-wine enough ? ’ ”

Those of our readers who have seen some of the Irish keenes collected by Crofton Croker will be struck by the similarity. The Slavonic races constantly hold festivals to commemorate the dead : the ceremony is finely described by the Polish poet, Mickiewicz, in “ *Dziady*.” Michael Dmitriev has also alluded to the Slavonic custom of visiting graves in some very picturesque lines, of which a prose verse is here attempted :—

“ A graveyard is near the barrier : its name is known to all ;
 It is all a God’s acre thickly sown, where nameless people,

Honest folk have been gathered, awaiting the spr'ng of the resurrection, Their humble graves are overshadowed by the thick branches of the trees,

The turf is fresh : all is calm and quiet like eternity.

There on Sundays our good Orthodox Moscow folk

Love to hasten to visit their friends asleep, as if they were living ;

Families of guests in bright array sit round each grave ;

They go among the simple stones, and, reading the well-known names, Call them to mind ; sighing and bending low, utter the farewell prayer."

A graphic picture of the life of a Russian boyar of the old school is given by M. Shubinski, in his account of Basil Golovkin, who lived in the early part of the eighteenth century. The serfs in immediate attendance upon him amounted to three hundred. His journey from the village in which he lived to the capital resembled a royal progress.

The ladies of the old-fashioned households were occupied with domestic duties. Their education was necessarily very limited, as has been already mentioned, and for their amusement they used to listen to the countless tales, or *skazki*, which their female serfs narrated to them. There are abundant stores of this class of literature, which have attracted the attention of antiquarians in our own times. Arina, the old nurse of Pushkin, is said to have amused the poet and even to have stimulated his fancy by her tales. We know that he attempted to imitate them, and Lermontov, whose imagination had been kindled in the same way, has left us a very clever *bilina*, which might well have been composed in the days of Ivan IV.

But this Oriental seclusion does not seem in every way to have stunted the characters of women. We

see what a remarkable specimen of her sex was Sophia, the sister of Peter the Great, who, if opportunity had been granted her, was quite ready to play the part of Pulcheria to his Theodosius. Many noble examples of womanhood may also be found in Russian private annals. There is something wonderfully pathetic in the life of Natalia, the wife of the unfortunate Prince Ivan Dolgoruki and daughter of Count Sheremetiev, one of Peter the Great's "Eaglets." At an early age she was betrothed to Ivan, and everything seemed to promise her a brilliant career. But, as we have previously told, on the death of the youthful Peter II. the Dolgorukis were sent into banishment. Natalia persisted in marrying Ivan even when under sentence of exile although her brothers very much opposed the marriage. She has left some highly interesting memoirs in which the noble qualities of her heart find a genuine and even *naïve* expression. "Just think how little it would have been honourable for me to be willing to marry him when he was in prosperity, and to refuse him when he was unfortunate; but I had made up my mind, when I had given my heart to a man to live or die with him, and to allow no one else to have any participation in my love; I did not understand what it meant to love one person one day, and another the next; such is the fashion now-a-days [*i.e.*, at the time she wrote these memoirs just before her death in 1771], but I showed the world the constancy of my affection. I was the companion of my husband in all his sufferings, and now I speak the very truth when I say that, in the midst of my

misfortunes, I never repented of my marriage nor murmured against God." Prince Dolgoruki was soon dragged from his miserable place of exile at Berezov, and publicly executed at Novgorod; she describes the agony of their parting. Natalia ended by becoming a nun in the year 1758.

In a recent number of the *Istoricheski Viestnik* (Historical Messenger), some extracts were given from the letters of the Countess Catherine Rumiantsov to her husband, extending over the period from 1762 to 1779, which give us a charming picture of Russian womanhood, although a melancholy colouring is added to it by the sad experiences which she had to undergo, and we must remember that such women were produced even in the times of Catherine the Second.

We now come to the *Russkii Mir*, and the present condition of the serfs, and the land question in general in Russia.

The *mir*, or commune, is a survival of the old Aryan tenure, which probably prevailed at one time throughout Europe. It has been traced by Professor Kovalevski throughout various parts of the world; in the Cantons of Switzerland, among the Croats and the Serbs (with the latter it is called the *zadruga*). The land occupied by a village—whoever has the seigniorial rights—is considered to belong to the village commune; the separate members of the community can only claim temporary possession of their parts, such as are allotted to them by the *mir* in proportion to their working power. The commune pays into the imperial treasury a fixed yearly

sum, according to the number of the peasants, and distributes the land among its members as it thinks fit. In some communes the land is divided yearly, in others every two or three years. When the territory is vast, as in the northern provinces, the land is common to many villages and constitutes a *volost*. The village parliament, which makes the distributions and settles all questions connected with the land, is presided over by the village elder, and in these assemblies women are allowed to vote.

The propensity of the Russians for co-operation is also shown in the *artels*, or confederations of artisans in various towns, who settle in a house together and pay to the elder whom they have elected their quota for sharing at a common table. This tendency of the Russian mind has shown itself throughout their political life. When we first find the Slavs in history, we see them living in their communes; the gradual growth of serfdom has already been described. The words for tsar, king, and indeed, all titles, were unknown to them in their earlier stages. Tsar is a Slavonic form of Cæsar, which was first prominent when Ivan III. assumed the cognisance of the Byzantine double-headed eagle, wishing to appear as the descendant of the Cæsars; but it is Ivan IV. who formally adopts it. The Slavonic word for king (*korol*, *kral*) is nothing but the name of Charles (Karl) the Great, a monarch who by his cruelty to the Slavs, commencing the long series of German encroachments, has stamped his individuality upon them. The word *kniaz*, used for prince, can also be shown to have been derived from the German *könig*;

all the other titles are foreign ; thus we have already shown *boyar* to have been of Tatar origin.

A tendency to independent action shows itself in the great number of religious sects in Russia. A writer in a recent Russian magazine tells us that in the country there are ten millions of dissenters from the Orthodox Church, exclusive of the Protestants, Roman Catholics, and Jews. It is a resistance to authority, whether lay or ecclesiastical, which partly explains the struggle against the innovations of Nikon in the time of the Emperor Alexis. It was not merely a question of a few changes, as has been said before, in words and religious forms, but to the people it symbolized the introduction of the aristocratic clergy of the West. They struggled for the old religious life, which had been identified with their communities. It has been rightly said that most of the Russian towns are really over-grown villages, and this may be especially seen, in purely Russian cities like Kiev, where we may wander from street to street, coming constantly upon fresh quarters with their separate markets, and countless small bazaars, and independent artisans working at their several crafts. The civilization of the West would convert all these artisans into factory hands, obeying the dictation of a master and his foreman. The impression which the people leave upon the the foreigner is that their instincts are agricultural. Any one familiar with a Russian landscape, will recognize the truth and beauty of the lines of Nekrasov :

“ There is noise in the capitals, the orators thunder,
The war of words rages :

But there, in the depths of Russia,
Is the silence of centuries.
Only the wind gives no rest
To the tops of the willows along the road,
And, kissing mother earth,
The ears in the illimitable corn-fields
Bend themselves in an arch."

On the emancipation of the serfs in 1861, when twenty-three millions obtained their liberty, the commune received the village land, and might either pay as before, by so many days personal labour from its inhabitants, or might elect to redeem the allotments by the help of the Crown, and they then became freed from all obligations to the landlord. The Crown paid the landlord, and the peasants have to pay the Crown, for forty-nine years 6 per cent. interest on the money advanced; that is nine to twelve roubles per allotment. Each village commune received five fiscal desiatines (13½ acres) for each male member, the option being left to the commune, to take less, if desired. The compensation received by the former proprietors from the Government, as in the case of the sugar-planters at the time of the emancipation of the negroes, was often very inadequate. The nobles who employed their serfs as domestics in the family were, as we were informed in Russia, the greatest sufferers by this important social change. In measuring out the land among the various members of the commune, distance from home, loss from a road going through it, and quality of soil, are all justly considered.

The quantity of arable land is diminished by the space required for the village and the roads, and the

permanent pasture or fallow, and thus each peasant rarely cultivates more than two-thirds of the amount which has been allotted to him. In some parts of Russia the villages possess forest land. Some villages set apart a piece of land for pasture, but most rely upon the neighbouring landlords for their fodder. The commune does not attempt to restrict the peasants in cropping. Where the population is thick, the three-field system is pursued; where it is scanty, the land is cropped unsystematically. If a peasant prefers town life, he can easily sublet his lot to others. Under the system of serfdom, the peasants when they carried on any trade in the towns used to pay an *obrok*, or tax, to their lords.

As regards the inclination and capacity of the peasant for work, very different opinions have been held. Some represent him as wholly idle and drunken, but the picture is certainly overcharged. During the winter there is very little work for him to do; perhaps he may cut wood, or carry grain to town, or thresh his rye; but the greater part of the time he is lying on the *pech* or stove, to be found in every house, and at that period he spends what he has earned in the summer. Being in the main illiterate, his amusement consists in hearing *skazki*, or tales, or in the recitation of the *bilini*, or legendary poems, which are told by such wandering minstrels as Riabinin and Ostap Veresai. Close observers of the peasant think the charge of lying brought against him exaggerated. Perhaps he is not fond of truth-telling, but he is certainly improving and many of the vices of which he has been accused were obviously engendered by serfdom.

The landed proprietors as a rule cultivate their own lands, either personally or by means of a bailiff. Sometimes their land is let in small portions to peasants, but there is nothing in Russia corresponding to the English tenant-farmers. They are now largely employing agricultural machines, which are imported in great numbers from England, the chief manufacturers being Ransomes, Sim, and Head. The vast districts of the southern part of Russia, those of the so-called *chornoziom*, or black land, are wonderfully fertile. We have been greatly struck by the wheat crops presented by Podolia and other governments.

The following statement, coming as it does on good authority, will surprise the reader. It is not at all extraordinary for a man to have from ten to fourteen thousand acres under white Turkish wheat—four thousand acres is a very common amount, and an instance is given of one rich peasant, who cultivated in this way more than twenty-eight thousand acres. Many landed proprietors hire labourers to work on their estates, who bring their own bullocks and agricultural implements.

A Russian village is, in most instances, far from recalling to us what we understand by the name in our own country. Let us sketch as well as we can from memory the leading features of some that have come under our own notice. A long straggling street is seen, with wooden houses on each side, the architecture of which is of the simplest kind. These houses are the *izbas* or peasants' cottages, made of logs of wood placed cross-

wise, the interstices being filled with moss. Here and there we see tall posts with levers for drawing water from the wells. The windows, generally three in number, are from two to three feet square; in the corner of the room is a brick stove. Opposite to the door in a corner is the *ikon*, or sacred picture, to which every Russian bows on entering. Originally the only means of lighting the cottage when darkness came on was the *luchina*, or pine-torch; this, however, is now becoming superseded by more civilized methods.

In order to improve the cultivation of the soil agricultural colleges, which a few years ago had reached the number of ten, have been established in various localities. An account of the curriculum adopted in them is given by Mr. Roth in a work which we have largely used. No boy can be admitted under thirteen years of age; besides agriculture, the Russian language and history, geography and arithmetic are taught in these establishments.

The Russian *mir* still flourishes in spite of the emancipation of the peasants, but the *kulaks* (fore-stallers) and *miroyedes* (mir-eaters) are already developing into a dangerous class. These, like the pushing business men in Western Europe, are trying to exploit the peasant, who has now become a free agent, while simple and uneducated, and appears in many cases to offer himself as a ready victim. Let us hope that the cottager with his small plot of land which kept him, it is true, in an unprogressive state, but guaranteed him and his family from starvation, will not be bought up by the new capitalists, and

that the independent artisan, of which so many thousands are to be found scattered over Russia, working in their *artels*, may not be turned into the dreary factory hand of the latest type of Western civilization.





XIV.

POLITICAL AND LITERARY LANDMARKS— AUTHORITIES.

FOR the help of those who are students of Russian History and Literature, we have added summaries in this chapter of the following subjects:—

- (1) The territorial acquisitions of Russia.
- (2) The chief events in the history of her intellectual development.
- (3) The chief authorities on Russian history, most of which have been used in the present work.

No. 1.

THE EXPANSION OF RUSSIA FROM THE FOUNDATION OF MOSCOW TO THE PRESENT DAY.

1147. The city of Moscow first appears in the Russian chronicles having, according to tradition, been founded by George Dolgoruki, but for a hundred years after its foundation it remains an obscure dependency of the principality of Suzdal.
1303. Death of Daniel, the son of Alexander Nevski, who founded the *principality* of Moscow, which he had received as an appanage, with a few villages, and added to them Periaslavl Zaleski, and Kolomna. He was the first to be buried in the church of St. Michael.
- 1303-1389. During this period, which included the reigns of George, Ivan Kalitá, and Dmitri Donskoi, the principality is aggrandized by the addition of Uglich, Galich, Biélozersk, Kostroma, and Vladimir.

368 *POLITICAL AND LITERARY LANDMARKS.*

- 1389-1425. Reign of Vasilii Dmitrievich: he adds Murom, the territory of Suzdal, Tula, and some other small portions of territory.
1472. Ivan III. acquires Permian.
1478. Novgorod incorporated with Moscow.
1482. Acquisition of Tver, Rostov, and Yaroslavl.
1489. Acquisition of Viatka.
- 1505-1533. Reign of Basil, during which Pskov (1510), Riazan, Novgorod Severski, and Smolensk were added.
- 1533-1584. Reign of Ivan IV. Conquest of Kazan (1552), Astrakhan (1554). The territory of the Don Cossacks and Siberia annexed. Ivan formally takes the title of Tsar in 1547.
1617. Treaty of Stolbovo between Gustavus Adolphus and Michael Romanov.
1618. Treaty of Deülino. Smolensk remains in the hands of the Poles.
1654. Bogdan Khmel'nitski goes over to the Tsar with the Zaporozhian Cossacks.
1661. Peace of Cardis. Alexis abandons all claims to Livonia.
1667. Truce of Andruszowo for thirteen years. Alexis abandons Lithuania, which he had conquered, but regains Smolensk, and holds Kiev and all the left bank of the Dnieper. [Kiev was to be given back to Poland in two years' time, but Alexis kept it because the Poles did not fulfil the terms of the truce. They finally gave it up in 1686.]
1718. Treaty of Nystadt: Peter acquires part of Finland and Carelia, Esthonia and Livonia.
1722. Conquests on the Caspian: the Russians seize Derbent and Baku. [Some other provinces acquired were abandoned by the Empress Anne on account of the unhealthiness of the climate.]
1739. A small portion of territory between the Bug and the Dnieper gained from the Turks.
1743. Treaty of Abo: Russia acquires Southern Finland as far as the river Kiumen.
1771. First division of Poland: White Russia gained, and all the territory beyond the Dnieper.
1774. Treaty of Kuchuk-Kanardji. Russia regains Azov (it had been taken by Peter, but lost again), Kerch, Yenikale, and Kinburn.
1783. The Crimea annexed to Russia.

1793. Second division of Poland: Russia gains a large part of Lithuania, Volhynia, and Podolia.
1795. Third and final division of Poland. Russia takes the rest of Lithuania up to the Niemen (Vilna, Kovno, Grodno, Novogrodek), and the rest of Volhynia up to the Bug. The same year Courland is voluntarily ceded to her by the inhabitants.
1801. Annexation of Georgia by Paul.
1809. Peace of Frederikshamm. Cession of the rest of Finland to Russia.
1813. Peace of Gulistan. Persia cedes Shirvan and other provinces to Russia.
1814. Congress of Vienna. The Duchy of Warsaw annexed to Russia.
1828. Peace of Turkmanchai. Erivan and Nakhivan ceded to Russia by Persia.
1829. Peace of Adrianople. Russia gains Anapa, Poti, and a few other places.
1856. Treaty of Paris. Russia cedes part of Bessarabia to Roumania, and is to keep no ships of war in the Black Sea.
1858. Treaty of Aigoun. Russia gains all the right bank of the Amour; Japan had already ceded the southern part of Sakhalin.
1859. Shamil surrenders to the Russians. Pacification of the Caucasus.
1864. Annexation of Semirechié, or the Land of the Seven Rivers.
1865. Tashkent and its territory annexed.
1867. Russia sells to the United States of America her possessions on that continent, which she had held since 1822.
1868. Treaty of Zera-Buleh; the Emir of Bokhara cedes to the Russians the Khanat of Samarcand. From this time Bokhara becomes a dependent state.
- 1871-1881. Annexation of territory of Kuldja.
1873. Khiva becomes a vassal state.
1876. Kokan annexed.
1878. Treaty of Berlin. Russia regains the lost piece of Bessarabia.
1884. Annexation of Merv.

We trust in the course of our work to have made the progress of Russia clear to our readers. First, we have the early period of her history—heroic and half

legendary. It centres round Novgorod and Kiev, and we get the first Christian sovereign Vladimir, and the first legislator Yaroslav. These little Slavonic principalities, like the small Saxon kingdoms of the heptarchy are, however, not destined at first to be united. Although joined in a brotherhood by a common language and common institutions, they have not the instinct of nationality, and at first the desire of private aggrandisement impedes unity. We have the dreary period of the appanages—described



COPPER HALF-ROUBLE OF CZAR ALEXIS MIKHAILOVICH.

in our second chapter—in which the small states are full of intestine feuds. They are only too ripe for the attacks of the terrible Mongol, who, issuing from his Eastern and Southern fortresses, harries them with fire and sword. But there is the little principality of Moscow which has the germ of nationality, and her vigorous rulers save the country. Still Russia has lost much during her struggle with these barbarians—Kiev, together with Volhynia, Podolia, and Galicia,

are lost to her—and the last of these she has never regained. When Ivan III. and his two vigorous successors are seen holding the reins of power tightly and emerging from the chaos of the Middle Ages, Russia has the powerful principality of Lithuania on the West stretching almost from the Baltic to the Black Sea, and it has become united by marriage with Poland. Henceforward there will be sharp battles between her and the Poles, and the “old domestic feud” (*starii domashni spor*), as Pushkin called it, will be continued for centuries. Russia is kept from the sea in the North by the Swedes, and in the South by the Turks, in whom the Mongols are merged. She lies like an inert mass, cut off from communication with the outward world. When Ivan IV. wants artificers to help him in his plans for civilizing Russia, the Poles can block their entrance into his dominions. Hence we shall not be surprised at the welcome he gives bold English seamanship which brings ambassadors to him by way of the White Sea. He has his idea of getting an outlet into the Baltic, but it is too early: however, he has some success in the South and gets Astrakhan on the Caspian. He also stretches his empire to Siberia. The country is again put back for more than fifty years by internal struggles and rival adventurers, and, when in the earlier part of the seventeenth century Russia has an offer of the much-coveted Azov, she is too weak to take it. But Alexis turns the tide: the Western provinces including historical Kiev are got back, and the transfer of their allegiance by the Zaporozhian Cossacks, brings her closer to the Black Sea. The country is full of useful

adventurers, thrifty Scotchmen naturally predominating, and all is ready for Peter.

The vast changes introduced by the "Giant Wonder-Worker," as Pushkin calls him, have been already described. He succeeded in getting an outlet for Russia on the Baltic, and St. Petersburg was founded; but Finland on the other side remained Swedish. Of his temporary possession of Azov and enforced surrender at a later period we have already heard. Russia had to wait till the days of Catherine II. to get a footing on the Black Sea.

The feeble reigns of Catherine I., Peter II., Anne and Elizabeth effected little; a worthy successor to Peter did not appear till Catherine II. ascended the throne. Russia now advances to the Black Sea, gains the Crimea, and Odessa and Sevastopol are built. She acquires territory westward by the three divisions of Poland, but does not get Warsaw till later. This follows in Alexander I.'s reign: towards the beginning of which she has taken all Finland, appeared south of the Caucasus and incorporated the interesting old kingdom of Georgia. Nicholas gives her some Persian provinces, and Alexander II. Turkestan and the region of the Amour.


She has thus in the present year (1889) reached, according to the statistics given in the *Encyclopædia Britannica*, the extent of 8,644,100 square miles, and boasts a population of 102,889,520.

No. 2.

THE CHIEF DATES CONNECTED WITH RUSSIAN LITERATURE.


863. SS. Cyril and Methodius visit Moravia.
1036. Luke Zhidiata appointed Bishop of Novgorod.





THE
RUSSIAN EMPIRE
 1889.

English Miles



- 1056-1057. Date of the Ostromir Gospels.
1073. Nestor comes to the Pestcherski Monastery of Kiev.
1113. The last year mentioned in Nestor's Chronicle.
1188. About this time Ilya Muromets mentioned in the Chronicles.
1380. The Battle of Kulikovo Polé.
1400. The Epistle of St. Cyril to the Grand Duke Vasiliï Dmitrievich.
1499. The complete Bible compiled from the various Slavonic translations by Gennadius, Archbishop of Novgorod.
1542. Compilation by Macarius of the Chetii-Minei.
1548. Ivan IV sends for printers from Germany.
1551. The Stoglav published.
1564. The first Russian book printed, containing the Acts of the Apostles and Epistles.
1565. Second Russian printed book, a Chasovnik, or Book of Prayers.
- 1563-1579. Correspondence between Ivan IV. and Prince Kurbski.
1581. The first complete Old Slavonic Bible, printed at Ostrog, by Ivan Feodorov.
1589. Foundation of the Academy at Kiev.
1591. A Greek Slavonic Grammar published at Lemberg.
1596. Proclamation of the Union of the Greek and Latin churches. Lawrence Zizanii publishes the first Slavonic Grammar; he is followed by Smotrïtski.
1633. The Patriarch Philaret establishes the first High School at the Chudovoi Monastery at Moscow.
1645. Arrival of Kr zhanich at Moscow.
1652. Nikon issues the new religious books, and causes the old to be destroyed.
1665. Simeon Polotski arrives at Moscow; in 1672 he is appointed tutor to Feodore, the son of Alexis.
1667. Kotoshikhin writes his work on Russia.
1673. A German troupe of actors under Gotfried Johann comes to Moscow.
1678. Polotski translates the Psalms.
1679. Foundation of a school for Typography.
- 1681-1736. Life and labours of Theophan Prokopovich. He compiles the Reglament in 1719.
1685. Establishment of a School for teaching Slavonic, Greek, and Latin at the Zaikonospasski Monastery.

374 *POLITICAL AND LITERARY LANDMARKS.*

- 1685-1750. Life and labours of Tatistchev.
1690. The patriarch Joachim advises the Tsars, Ivan and Peter, to drive all foreigners out of Russia, as enemies of God.
1703. The first Russian newspaper appears.
- 1704-1769. Life and labours of Trediakovski.
- 1708-1744. Life and labours of Kantemir. In 1731 appointed ambassador to the Court of London, and in 1738 till the end of his life in the same capacity at Paris.
- 1711-1765. Life and labours of Lomonósov. Appointed professor, 1745.
1717. The first astronomical work published in Russia, a translation of Huygens.
1724. The Academy of Arts founded.
- 1717-1777. Life and labours of Sumarókov.
- 1733-1807. Kheraskov.
- 1743-1816. Derzhávin.
- 1744-1818. Life and labours of Novikov; he attempts to educate the people.
- 1744-1792. Life and labours of Von Visin; development of national comedy in Russia.
1746. Volkov establishes a theatre at Yaroslavl.
1755. Foundation of the University of Moscow.
1756. A Russian theatre established at St. Petersburg.
1760. Literary journals begin to make their appearance.
- 1766-1826. Life and labours of Karamzin, 1818, "History of the Russian Empire."
- 1768-1844. Life and labours of Krilov. The Russian fable brought to perfection.
- 1783-1852. Life and labours of Zhukovski. Romanticism introduced into Russia.
- 1795-1829. Life and labours of Griboiédov.
- 1799-1837. Life and labours of Pushkin.
- 1801-1848. Life and labours of Bielinski, the first great Russian critic.
- 1809-1842. Life and labours of Koltsov: he imitates the national songs of the country.
- 1809-1852. Life and labours of Gogol, the realistic novel established in Russia.
- 1814-1841. Lermontov.

- 1817-1875. Count A. Tolstoi, writer of tragedies.
1861. Dahl publishes his great dictionary of the Russian language.
1818-1883. Ivan Turgeniev. The Russian realistic novel at its highest development.
1829-1879. Soloviev, author of the great Russian history.
1828. Count Leo Tolstoi born.

No. 3.

AUTHORITIES FOR RUSSIAN HISTORY.

The most complete history of Russia is the monumental work of Sergius Soloviev, published during the years 1851-78. Of this twenty-seven volumes appeared and a part of the twenty-eighth. It goes down to the reign of the Empress Catherine II. The learned author died in 1879.

A valuable history is now in course of publication, by Prof. Bestuzhev-Riumin, of the University of St. Petersburg. Of this the first volume appeared in 1872, and the first part of the second in 1885.

The history published by N. Ustriálov in 1855 in two volumes is a very handy work with excellent historical maps, plans of battles and genealogical tables. It goes down to the end of the reign of the Emperor Nicholas.

The three foregoing works are in Russian; in French there is the work of M. Rambaud (Paris, 1878), of which an English translation has appeared. This book, although not without faults, is vastly superior to anything which had been previously published on the subject in any language of Western Europe. It has completely superseded the compilations of Levesque, and Leclerc, and the work of the Englishman Tooke.

The Russian history of Karamzin has long been considered a classic work ; it has been criticized in the preceding pages. The style is excellent, and the erudition displayed very great, but it is perhaps hardly on a level with the requirements of the modern school of criticism. The "Historical Monographs" of Kostomarov are of considerable value, and throw light on many obscure passages of Russian history. The writer is conspicuous for his iconoclastic spirit, and many of the most pleasant legends of Russian history are demolished in his pages. A valuable little work is the "Early Russian History," London, 1874, of Mr. Ralston, which will repay perusal.

For the early periods of Russian history, the chief authorities are Nestor and the early chroniclers, of whose productions a complete collection has been published at St. Petersburg. In 1884 M. Louis Leger of Paris published in French an excellent translation of the Chronicle attributed to Nestor, with many useful historical and geographical notes. Those acquainted with Russian would find the work of Bestuzhev-Riumin, *O Sostavé Russkikh Lietopisei do kontsa xiv. vieka* (on the compilation of the Russian Chronicles till the end of the fourteenth century) very valuable, as helping us to understand the materials out of which these chronicles were compiled. These are the main authorities for Russian history till we come to the interesting travels of Baron Herberstein, frequently alluded to in our narrative, who visited Russia twice during the reign of Basil as ambassador from the German emperor, and has left a very valuable account of the country written in

Latin. The best edition is that of Bâsle in 1556. There is also the curious account published by Paulus Jovius, a cardinal of the Church of Rome, entitled *De Legatione Basilii Magni Principis Muscoviæ*, 1551. The reign of Ivan the Terrible is copiously illustrated by the English travellers who visited the country, and are frequently referred to in our pages. Their narratives were collected by Hakluyt and have been issued separately in the excellent work published for the Hakluyt Society by Mr. Delmar Morgan, which has frequently been quoted. A work of great value is Giles Fletcher's *Of the Russe Commonwealth*, London, 1591. The diary of Sir Jerome Horsey, the English ambassador at the Court of Ivan, has been edited also for the Hakluyt Society together with Fletcher by Mr. E. A. Bond, formerly head librarian of the British Museum. Horsey is of great value because he was so frequently brought into contact with the cruel Tsar. His diary is written in very quaint language and whimsical orthography. Oderborn, in *Joannis Basilidis Magni Moscoviæ Ducis Vita*, 1585, gives an account of the atrocities of Ivan.

For the period of Boris Godunov and the False Demetrius the work of Margeret, cited in our pages, is invaluable. Jean Margeret arrived in Russia in 1601, and served both Boris and the Pretender. Concerning the latter he has left some very important information. He returned to France in 1606 and published his work, *L'Etat de l'Empire de Russie*, the following year. There are also the narratives of the Swede Petreius and Isaac Massa of Haarlem. For the reigns of Michael and Alexis the account of

Russia written by Adam Olearius, the agent of the Duke of Holstein, is of primary importance. He has left a graphic picture of the Court of Alexis, and we are indebted to the narrative of the Earl of Carlisle's embassy for other details. The quaint book on Russia written by Dr. Collins, the English physician of Alexis, has been repeatedly cited in our pages. The Dutch traveller Struys has given some valuable information about the rebellion of Stenka Razin. With the reign of Alexis the series of Russian chroniclers ends. The characteristics of these writers have been given in the chapter on Russian literature. The other native sources of information for this early period of Russian history are scanty. There are the State papers and a few literary productions, such as the "Instruction" of Vladimir Monomakh, "The Story of the Expedition of Prince Igor," the Correspondence between Ivan the Terrible and Kurbski, and others. The *bilini* also throw considerable light on this period, and many of them are undoubtedly very ancient. For the regency of Sophia and the revolt of the Streltsi we have the work by Prince Golitsin, of which a translation appeared in French in 1857. It was written in the present century.

For the reign of Peter the Great the sources of information are abundant and the history of the period has been well treated in the valuable work of Ustriálov, which has been cited in our pages, *Tsars-tvovanie Petra Velikago* (Reign of Peter the Great). Ustriálov was allowed access to State papers which, up to that time, had not been published. The diary of Korb, the Secretary of the German Embassy,

which was published at Vienna in 1700, has now become exceedingly scarce. It gives a graphic account of the terrible execution of the Streltsi (with some very realistic engravings), and on its appearance created such a scandal that the Russian Government complained at the Imperial Court, which accordingly ordered the work to be suppressed. But there is a very fine copy in the Bodleian Library; the text, without the engravings, has been published in the *Bibliothèque Russe-Polonaise*, where, however, it is translated into French, the original being in Latin, while some of the plates have been faithfully reproduced in M. Semevski's *Tsaritsa Yekaterina Aleksievna*, so that it will be seen that the full truth is allowed to be told in Russia about these proceedings.

The diary of Patrick Gordon, the Scotch adventurer and friend of Peter, has been repeatedly cited in our pages; and there is also the book on Russia of Captain Perry, the English engineer in the service of that Tsar. For the period of Anne and Elizabeth we have Lady Rondeau and Mannstein. The reports of the foreign ambassadors during these reigns are given in the valuable work *La Cour de Russie il y a Cent Ans*, Berlin, 1858. For the reign of Catherine we have Rulhière, *Histoire et anecdotes sur la révolution de Russie en 1762*, Paris, 1797, the author having been an eye-witness of the events he describes; and Tooke's useful compilation (London, 1800). The writer was for some time chaplain to the English merchants at St. Petersburg. The Memoirs of Catherine, written by herself, were published by Herzen,

and are most probably genuine. They have been referred to in our pages, as well as the highly important recollections of the Princess Dashkov, taken down by an English lady from her dictation, and published in England after her decease. The History of Catherine by Castéra, frequently quoted in Western publications, is a mere compilation, full of malignant stories. The work of Masson, *Mémoires Secrets sur la Russie* is of great value, for the latter part of the reign of Catherine and that of Paul: we have already cited in the course of our narrative the important papers first published in England by General Sablukov.

For the reign of Alexander materials are abundant. The great campaign of Napoleon has been best described in the works of Labaume, Fezensac, and Sir Robert Wilson. There is also a good history by the Russian Buturlin, published in French, with an excellent atlas, giving plans of the battles, &c. Much valuable material may also be found in the pages of the historical review *Ruskii Arkhiv*, edited by M. Barteniev.

For the reign of Nicholas we may cite Baron Korf's account of the conspiracy of the Dekabrist, which has been quoted during the course of our work. Much important matter on the Crimean War may be found in the papers occasionally given in the *Russkaya Starina* and *Istoricheskii Viestnik*.


Valuable articles have been contributed by M. Anatole Leroy Beaulieu to the *Revue des Deux Mondes*, since 1873, since published in a separate form. For a knowledge of the political institutions of Russia the


following works may be recommended: V. Kliuchevski, *Boyarskaya Duma drevnei Rusi* ("The Council of the Boyars in Ancient Russia"); V. Leshkov, *Russkii Narod i Gosudarstvo* ("The Russian People and Empire"). For the literature of the country we have the books of P. Polevoi, *Istoria Russkoi Literaturi v'ocherkakh i biographiakh* ("History of Russian Literature in Sketches and Biographies"); Rambaud, *La Russie Epique*; Avenarius, *Kniga o Kievskikh bogatiriakh* ("A Book about the Heroes of Kiev"); and Ralston, *Songs of the Russian People* and *Russian Folk-tales*. Of course this list is far from being complete, but it is believed that it will be found serviceable.




GENEALOGY OF THE HOUSE OF ROMANOV.

(THE LETTERS *d. k.* STAND RESPECTIVELY FOR *duke, king*. † DATE OF DEATH.)









INDEX.

A.

- Abo, 203
Adam of Bremen, 27, 242
Adashev, Alexis, 65, 67
Adrianople, 287
Ahmed, 57
Aktiar, 293
Aland Isles, 266
Alexander, King of Poland, 57, 63
Alexander I., Emperor, 230, 249, 257, 258, 259, 280, 288, 301, 335, 344
Alexander II., 297-310
Alexander III., 310
Alexander Nevski, 42
Alexander of Battenberg, 310
Alexandra, 71
Alexandra, daughter of Paul, 240
Alexandrovsk, 4
Alexandrovscoe, 68
Alexis Mikhailovitch, 42, 85, 117-131, 248
Alexis, son of Peter, 163-167
Alferiev, Nikiphor, 98
Alison, 297
Alma, r., 293
Altranstadt, 150, 151
Altschau, 191
Ambrose, Archbishop, 226
Amour, the river, 87
Amsterdam, 161
Anapa, 299
Anastasia, Romanova, 65, 67, 79
André, 303
Andrew Bogoliubski, 36
Andrew, St., 20
Andruszowo, 123
Ankarström, 238
Anne, daughter of Peter the Great, 179
Anne, Empress, 106, 140, 158, 159, 184-194, 197, 209, 241
Anne of Brittany, 57
Anne, princess of Brunswick, 194, 199
Annenkov, Paul, 284
Anthony, 59
Anthony Ulrich, 196, 199
Apraksin, 177, 205
Arad, 291
Archangel, 2, 92
Arakcheyev, 279, 280
Armenia, 1
Aryan race, 4
Askold, 20
Astrakhan, 41, 66, 75, 107, 124
Athos, Mount, 124
Augusta of Hesse Darmstadt, 249
Augustus of Poland, 148, 150, 155, 159
Austerlitz, 260
Austrians, 194, 255, 291, 295
Avril, Father, 139
Azov, Sea of, 38
Azov, 2, 109, 141, 160, 193, 194, 226

B.

- Bagration, 268, 270
Baikal, Lake, 156
Baireuth, Margravine of, 157
Bakchisarai, 235
Baku, 162

Balaklava, 295
 Barclay de Tolly, 268, 269
 Bariatinski, 306
 Basil V., 60-63
 Basmanov, 96, 103
 Bathory, Stephen, 76, 88, 120,
 290
 Batoum, 246
 Batthyany, Louis, 291
 Batu, 33
 Baturin, 153
 Beauharnais, Eugene, 274
 Behring, 176
 Belgrade, 194
 Benbow, 143
 Bender, 159
 Benediktov, 333
 Benningsen, 258
 Berestecko, 122
 Berezina, 274
 Berezov, 359
 Berezowski, 309
 Berlin, 206
 Bessarabia, 299
 Bestuzhev-Riumin (conspirator),
 282
 Bestuzhev-Riumin, 32, 332
 Bestuzhev, 203, 205
 Bezborodko, 241
 Bialystok, 263
 Bilikov, 229
 Bielo-ozero, 20, 67
 Liclowski, 23
 Billings, 226
 Biren, 190, 194, 195, 196, 201,
 211, 212, 242
 Bogdanovich, 244, 324
 Bolgari, 39
 Bomarsund, 293
 Bomelius, Dr., 82
 Boris, 28
 Borodino, 269, 277
 Bragin, 94
 Branicki, X., 224
 Branicki, Countess, 238
 Brassey, Moreau de, 161
 Breteuil, de, 214
 Bruat, 299
 Bruce, Robert, 50
 Brückner, Prof., 99
 Brześć, 47, 286

Bucharest, 266
 Budini, 14
 Bukovina, 5
 Bulgak, 286
 Bulgarians, 6, 26
 Burnet, 144
 Bussy, M. de, 189
 Buturlin, 123
 Byzantium, 21

C

Campredon, 197
 Carlyle, 157
 Carmarthen, Marquis of, 144
 Casimir, 151
 Casimir I V., 54
 Casimir the Great, 48
 Catherine, daughter of Ivan, 140,
 184, 185, 186
 Catherine II., 2, 44, 203, 248, 249
 Caulaincourt, 275
 Cavour, 297
 Champagne, 278
 Chancellor, 2, 71
 Charles I., 126
 Charles II., 126
 Charles the Great, 28
 Charles XII., 146, 150, 153, 155
 Charlotte, Princess, 163
 Chaucer, 316
 Chekhs, 6
 Cheremissians, 7
 Chernigov, 14, 32, 96, 109, 123
 Chesme, 226
 Chlchagov, 268
 Chicherin, 341
 Chlopicki, 287, 288
 Christina, Queen, 118
 Chukchis, 8
 Circassians, 7
 Cirencester, 25
 Citale, 292
 Clarke, E., 259, 345
 Cobenzel, 243
 Collins, 7, 19, 130, 338
 Constantinople, 25
 Constantine, 230, 257, 259, 281,
 287, 289
 Constantine, Porphyrogenitus, 24,
 26

Constantine, son of Nicholas, 302
 Cook, John, 192, 193, 197
 Copenhagen, 161
 Corvinus, Matthias, 56
 Cossacks, 107, 109, 146, 229, 235,
 310
 Courland, 159, 184, 241, 242
 Coxe, 220, 247, 345
 Crabbe, 333
 Cracow, 45, 96, 224
 Crawford, 129
 Crawford, Earl of, 193
 Crimea, 41, 230
 Crimea, Khan of, 92
 Croker, Crofton, 356
 Cronstadt, 295
 Cruys, 177
 Culpepper, Lord, 126
 Cyril, St., 86
 Czartoryski, 288

D.

Daniel, 49
 Dantzic, 267
 Dashkov, Princess, 192, 214, 215,
 216
 Davoust, 267
 Dejoces, 60
 Demetrius, the False, 33, 94, 104
 De Pradt, 275
 Deptford, 143, 144, 166
 Derzhávin, 245, 324
 Desna, 57
 Deüilino, 108, 109
 Dickens, 330, 336
 Diebitsch, 287, 288, 289
 Dmitriev, M., 356
 Dmitri, son of Ivan IV., 80, 89, 90,
 91, 92, 94
 Dnieper, 3
 Dniester, 3
 Dobrinichi, 97
 Dobroë, 151
 Dolgorukaya, Catherine, 183
 Dolgorukaya, Maria, 108
 Dolgorukaya, Natalia, 358, 359
 Dolgoruki, George, 39
 Dolgoruki, Ivan, 180, 190, 194,
 358, 359
 Donalitus, 11
 Donetz, 12

Dorothea, princess of Wurtemberg,
 249
 Dorpat, 55, 150
 Dostoievski, 331
 Dragomanov, 314
 Drakula, 113
 Dresden, 267, 276, 278
 Drevlians, 26, 29
 Druzino, 317
 Duchinski, 5
 Dugdale, 226
 Dünamunde, 199
 Dutch, the, 74

E.

Ehrenberg, 163
 Elba, 278
 Elbruz, 3
 Eliot, George, 336
 Elizabeth, Empress, 179, 185, 194-
 210
 Elizabeth, Queen, 75, 79
 England, 255, 292
 Erckert, 7
 Erivan, 286
 Esthonia, 148
 Evelyn, 143
 Eylau, 262

F.

Fedorov, Ivan, 85
 Feldkirch, 256
 Feodore, son of Alexis, 131, 132
 Feodore, son of Boris, 100
 Feodore, son of Ivan IV., 80-93
 Ferdinand of Saxe Coburg, 310
 Fermor, 206
 Finch, 156, 197, 200
 Finland, 191, 266
 Finns, 7
 Fioraventi, 60
 Fletcher, Giles, 76, 77, 91, 92, 221,
 337, 339, 345, 346, 347, 351
 Florence, 88, 95, 286
 Frederick the Great, 203, 206, 210,
 215, 223, 246, 260
 Frederika, princess, 241
 Frederikshall, 161
 Frederikshamm, 266
 Friedland, 262

- Kakhovski, 282
 Kalisch, 150
 Kalka, river, 38
 Kaluga, 274
 Kama, 3
 Kainchatka, 2, 176
 Kaniev, 235
 Kantemir, 193, 321
 Karakozov, 309
 Karamzin, 32, 82, 325, 326
 Karelia, 107, 148
 Kazan, 11, 41, 66, 84, 229
 Kazbek, 3
 Kazi-Mahoma, 306
 Keith, 211
 Kennan, Mr. George, 2
 Kertch, 299
 Kharkov, 336
 Khemnitser, 245
 Kheraskov, 244, 323
 Kherson, 238
 Khmelnitski, Bogdan, 119, 122, 332
 Kholmogori, 199
 Khomutov, 109
 Khotin, 193
 Khozars, 19
 Kiev, 9, 11, 19, 23, 26, 28, 31, 32, 36, 123, 135, 235, 319
 Kilian, Luke, 103
 Kinburn, 226
 Klushino, 105
 Kola, 295
 Kollar, 319
 Kolomenskoe, 129
 Kolomna, 39
 Koltovskaia, Anna, 80
 Koltsov, 330
 Königsberg, 206
 Königstein, 151
 Korb, 145
 Korsakov, 255
 Kosciuzko, 223, 251
 Kostomarov, 82, 95, 106, 332
 Kotliarevski, 334
 Kotoshikhin, 125, 169, 318, 354, 355
 Kotzebue, 252, 253, 259
 Kovalevski, 359
 Krasinski, 10
 Krasnoe, Selo, 100
 Kremenchug, 235
 Krilov, 326
 Krizhanich, 169, 318, 319
 Kromi, 97
 Kropotkin, Prince, 5, 243
 Kubasov, 313, 318
 Küchelbecker, 282
 Kul-Oba, tomb at, 14
 Kunersdorf, 206, 246
 Kutusov, 254, 269, 270, 274
 Kurakin, 249
 Kurbski, 67, 167
 Kutchko, 39
 Kutchuk-Kainardji, 226
- L.
- Labaume, 277
 Lacy, 192
 Ladoga, 3
 Laharpe, 280
 Lambert, 302
 Langiewicz, 303
 Lapland, 49, 80
 Lasicki, 68
 Latham, 8
 Layamon, 25
 Lazhechnikov, 330
 Lazis, 7
 Lefort, 140, 141
 Lemberg, 122
 Lena, 4
 Lengnich, 121
 Leo, Master, 58
 Leo, the Emperor, 33
 Lermontov, 329, 357
 Lesghians, 7
 Lesna, 153
 Lestocq, 196, 211
 Lesur, 291
 Leszczyński, Stanislaus, 155, 159
 Letts, 242
 Lewald, 205
 Limerick, 192
 Lipetsk, 36
 Lithuanians, 6
 Livonia, 42, 107, 148
 Lomonosov, 193, 208, 321-322
 Lönrot, 11
 Lopukhina, Evdokia, 137, 156, 163, 166, 183

Louis XI., 69, 113, 338
 Louis XIII., 109
 Louis XIV., 135
 Louis XV., 199, 252
 Louis XVIII., 254, 256
 Löwenhaupt, 153
 Lubeck, 55, 98, 161, 243
 Lublin, 47, 123
 Lüders, 302
 Lyons, Admiral, 299

M.

Macdonald, 255
 Maciejowice, 224
 Machyn, Henry, 72
 Mack, 260
 Mackenzie, 226
 Magnan, 186
 Maikov, 333
 Makariev, 37
 Makarius, 65, 85
 Maksimovich, 314
 Maliuta, Skuratov, 71
 Malodeczno, 274
 Malo-Russians, 5
 Malo-Yaroslavets, 274
 Malta, 254
 Mamai, 50
 Mannstein, 182, 195
 Mardefelt, 150
 Margaret of Burgundy, 118
 Margeret, 97, 103
 Marienburg, 156
 Markov, 240
 Marmontel, 245
 Mary of Burgundy, 57
 Massa, 98, 103
 Masson, 243
 Matejko, 46
 Matvéiev, 134
 Matvéiev, the ambassador, 137
 Maurice of Saxony, 242
 Maximilian, 56, 57
 Mazeppa, 146, 153, 155, 156, 229
 Melentieva, Vasilissa, 80
 Memel, 205
 Meshikova, Mary, 180, 181
 Meshikov, 144, 150, 153, 175-183
 Meshikov, 293, 297

Menzies, 137
 Methodius, 86
 Michael, Tsar, 85, 106-117, 190
 Michael, son of Paul, 289
 Mickiewicz, 10, 268, 356
 Miège, Guy, 126
 Mikulin, 73
 Milan, 255
 Milan, duchy of, 160
 Miloradovich, 282
 Miloslavskaja, Maria, 131
 Mindog, 44
 Mingrelia, 264
 Minin, 105, 267
 Minsk, 3, 5
 Mirovich, 219, 220
 Mitchell, 203
 Mittau, 190, 241, 254, 256
 Mniszek, George, 96, 102
 Mniszek, Marina, 96, 102, 103, 107
 Moglia, 320
 Mohilev, 153
 Moldavia, 84
 Mongols, 13, 37-51, 57
 Moreau, 243, 255
 Morozov, Boris, 118
 Moscow, 11, 39, 229, 237, 270-273
 Mozhaïsk, 273
 Mstislavets, Peter, 85
 Mstislavski, Ivan, 94, 106
 Müller, 156
 München, 192-193, 195-197, 200, 201, 206, 211, 212
 Münster, Sebastian, 87
 Murat, 260
 Muraviev, 304
 Muraviev-Apostol, 282
 Murom, 28

N.

Nadir Shah, 265
 Nagoi, Mary, 80
 Nakhichevan, 286
 Napea, Osip, 72
 Naples, 255
 Napoleon, 256, 260-278, 287
 Napoleon III., 292
 Narishkin, Leo, 244

- Narishkina, Natalia, 132
 Narva, 148
 Natalia, daughter of Alexis, 163
 Navarino, 287
 Nekrasov, 332, 333, 355, 356,
 361
 Nelson, 262
 Nestor, 21, 23, 27, 42, 86, 315
 Neuri, 14
 Neustadt, 118
 Neuville, 343
 Neva, 42
 Ney, 276
 Nicholas, 259, 281-297, 349
 Nikon, 124, 349
 Niemen, 3
 Nikitin, Athanasius, 316
 Nikolayev, 4
 Novgorod, 19, 26, 28, 31, 41, 52,
 54, 55, 107, 150
 Novgorod, Severski, 96
 Novgorod, Nizhni, 37, 105, 124
 Novi, 255
 Novikov, 325
 Novosiltsov, 260, 279
 Nystad, 161, 242
- O.
- Ob, the river, 87
 Ochákov, 3, 193, 237, 243
 Odessa, 2, 11, 243, 292
 Odoievski, Prince, 119
 Oerwar Odde, 23
 Oka, the river, 3, 57
 Olbia, 13
 Olearius, 97, 105, 110-117, 248,
 338, 351, 354
 Oleg, 21
 Olga, 21, 356
 Oliva, 148
 Oltenitza, 292
 Omar Pasha, 299
 Oranienbaum, 217
 Ordin-Nastchokin, 119
 Orlov, Alexis, 219
 Ossetes, 6
 Ostermann, 177, 187, 197, 200,
 201, 202
 Ostrog, 47, 69, 85, 86
 Ostrolenka, 289
- Ostromir, 314
 Ostrozhski, 47, 86
 Otrepiev, Gregory, 95, 101, 103
 Oxford, 101, 118, 145
- P.
- Pahlen, 257
 Palæologus, Thomas, 55
 Palitsin, 267
 Panticapæum, 13, 17
 Paris, 161, 278
 Paskievich, 289
 Patkul, 150, 151
 Paul, 220, 230, 249-259, 344
 Pechenegs, 19, 26
 Pechora, river, 57
 Pelin, 201
 Peppel, Nicholas, 56
 Perekop, 293
 Perovskaya, Sophia, 309
 Persia, 262, 264, 286
 Perry, 133, 142
 Perun, 24, 27
 Pestel, 282
 Pesth, 291
 Peter the Great, 2, 119, 137-173,
 179, 229, 244, 254, 281, 343-
 345, 347, 348
 Peter II., 156, 162, 163, 174, 175-
 184, 251
 Peter III., 184, 202, 210-219,
 226, 237, 251, 348
 Peterhof, 216
 Petersburg, St., 11, 107
 Petreius, 100
 Petropavlovski, 293
 Philarete, 106, 108
 Pierling, Father, 95
 Pipin, 332
 Pisemski, Feodore, 79
 Pitt, 253
 Plato, Archbishop, 345
 Platov, 274
 Pleskov, 21, 61
 Plettenberg, Hermann von, 58
 Plevna, 307
 Pociy, 286
 Podolia, 48
 Poland, 148
 Poles, 5, 267, 268, 287-289, 301
 Polevoi, N., 331

Polevoi, P., 332
 Polonski, 333
 Polotsk, 28, 76, 286
 Polotski, Simeon, 130, 319, 320
 Poltava, 153, 237
 Pomerania, 160, 206
 Poniatowski, Stanislaus, 223, 224,
 235
 Pool, Gerrit, 142
 Possevino, 68, 87, 95
 Potemkin, 215, 230, 235, 237
 Poti, 299
 Potocki, Felix, 224
 Potsdam, 260
 Pozharski, Prince, 105, 267
 Praga, 247
 Prigel Pass, 255
 Pressburg, 260
 Price, Admiral, 293
 Prus, 318
 Prussia, 260, 297
 Pskov, 9, 21, 33, 52, 56, 61, 62
 Pugachev, 124, 226
 Pulcheria, 358
 Pushkin, 21, 229, 252, 253, 323,
 325-329, 357
 Putivl, 32, 97

R.

Radistchev, 245, 325
 Radziwill, N., 47
 Rambaud, M., 43
 Ranenburg, 199
 Raskolniks, 125
 Rawlinson, 17
 Razin, Stenka, 124, 313, 332
 Razumovskii, Count, 202, 230
 Reclus, 3, 8
 Repnin, 262
 Rhozolani, 17
 Riabinin, 363
 Riazan, 57, 62
 Ribnikov, 311
 Riga, 11, 212
 Rileyev, 156, 182
 Rokita, John, 68
 Romanov, Michael, 106
 Rondeau, 183, 186, 187
 Ropsha, 217
 Rostov, 160

Rostopchin, 270, 271, 272
 Roth, 365
 Rudda, Gerard, 58
 Rulhière, 214, 216
 Rumiantzov, 226, 246
 Rumiantzova, Catherine, 359
 Ruotsi, 19
 Rurik, 19
 Russians, White, 5
 Rustaveli, Shota, 10
 Rzewuski, S., 224

S.

Saardam, 141, 166
 Sabakina, Marfa, 79
 Sablukov, 257, 258
 Salonika, 307
 Saltikova, Prascovia, 140
 Saltikov, 189
 Saltikov, Peter, 206
 Samarkand, 307
 Samokvasov, 16
 Sandomir, 96
 San Stefano, 307
 Sarai, 41
 Sardinians, 297
 Sayes Court, 143
 Schlitt, 67
 Sebastian, King, 81
 Seidler, 259
 Serbs, 6
 Sevastopol, 226, 246, 293, 295,
 297, 299
 Shakspeare, 245
 Shamil, 306
 Shein, 109
 Sheremetiev, 148, 150
 Shevchenko, 223, 334, 335
 Shubinski, 357
 Shuiski, 97, 100, 104
 Shuvalov, 208
 Siberia, 254, 259, 282, 284, 319,
 331
 Sibir, 87
 Sieriakowski, 309
 Sigismund I., 47, 62
 Sigismund II. (Augustus), 67, 68
 Sigismund III., 96, 105, 109, 285
 Simbirsk, 5
 Simeon Gordii, 49

Simon, St., 144
 Sinclair, Major, 191
 Sinope, 292
 Sit, the river, 39
 Sixtus IV., 55
 Skarga, 47, 285
 Skavronskaya, Martha, 156
 Skrzynecki, 289
 Slowacki, 10
 Smolensk, 32, 62, 93, 109, 123,
 237, 269
 Smorgoni, 275
 Sobieski, John, 144
 Sobol'evski, 9
 Soloviev, 32, 332
 Sophia, wife of Ivan III., 56, 55
 Sophia, daughter of Alexis, 132,
 133, 139, 188, 358
 Sovin, 25
 Speranski, 279
 Spithead, 292
 Staehlin, 161
 Starii Krim, 237
 Stephanov, 179
 St. Arnaud, 293
 St. Gothard, 255
 Stockholm, 107, 239
 Stolbovo, 107, 148
 Strahlenberg, 106
 Streshneva, Fudokia, 108
 Strykowski, 58
 Sudermanland, Duke of, 239
 Sumarokov, dramatist, 208
 Sumarokov, 188, 323
 Susanin, Ivan, 106
 Suvórov, 224, 229, 230, 246, 252,
 255, 256
 Suzdal, 32, 33, 39, 163
 Sveaborg, 239, 266
 Sviatopolk, 34
 Sviatoslav, 23, 26, 315
 Sweden, 108
 Sylvester, 65, 67, 317

T.

Taganrog, 279, 299
 Tamara, Queen, 10, 36
 Tannenberg, 46
 Targowica, 224
 Tatistchev, 193, 322

17*

Tchatchavadze, Prince Ilya, 10
 Temgruk, Mary, 79
 Temud, Shin, 38
 Terlecki, 286
 Thackeray, 330
 Thanet, Isle of, 23
 Theodosius, 358
 Theognostes, 49
 Thietmar, 27
 Thomsen, 19, 24
 Thurn von, George, 56
 Tiflis, 11, 263, 265
 Tilsit, 262
 Timmermann, 141
 Tobolsk, 87
 Todleben, 293
 Toktamish, 50
 Tolstoi, 163
 Tolstoi, A., 333
 Tolstoi, Leo, 269, 331
 Tomsk, 336
 Tortona, 255
 Tottleben, 206
 Trebbia, 255
 Trediakovski, 193, 323
 Tsagarelli, Prof., 264
 Tsamblak, Gregory, 46
 Tsarskoe, S lo, 235
 Turberville, 354
 Turgueniev, 309, 331
 Turkmantchai, 286
 Turko-Tatar races, 7
 Turks, 194, 224, 247, 254, 262,
 263, 266, 267, 287, 290, 292-
 299, 307
 Tver, 39, 57

U.

Uglich, 80, 92, 227
 Ukraine, 6
 Ulm, 260
 Uman, 223
 Unkiar Skelessi, 290
 Ural mountains, 3
 Ustriálov, 32, 106, 167, 206, 221,
 332

V.

Vasilichkova, Anna, 80
 Vasilko, 39

- Verela, 239
 Verestchagin, 272
 Verkhoianskoi Mts., 3
 Verona, 255
 Viatka, 5, 32, 52, 57
 Vienna, 260
 Villagos, 291
 Vilna, 45, 268, 275, 276, 290, 304
 Virgil, 167
 Vitovt, 46
 Vlad, 121
 Vladimir, 26, 28, 31, 312
 Vladimir Monomakh, 34
 Vladimir, the city, 49
 Vladivostok, 2, 307
 Voguë de, 333
 Voinarovski, 156
 Volga, the, 75
 Volinskii, 162, 190
 Volkhov, 204
 Volkonski, Prince, 119
 Volkov, 208, 323
 Vologda, 118
 Volokhova Vasili-sa, 91
 Voltaire, 245
 Von-Visin, 245, 324
 Vorónezh, 3
 Vsevolod, 34
 Vyburg, 162
- W.
- Walker, 98
 Warbeck, Perkin, 118
 Warsaw, 11, 47, 224, 268, 287, 288, 289, 301, 304
 Warsaw, duchy of, 279
 Wasowicz, 275
 Waterloo, 278
- Weber, 163
 Westphalia, 160
 Wielopolski, 301
 William III., 141, 169
 Willoughby, 71
 Wilson, Sir R., 271, 273
 Wisniowiecki, Adam, 94
 Wisniowiecki, Prince, 122
 Wladyslaw of Poland, 105, 108
- X.
- Xenia, daughter of Boris, 101, 102, 108, 313
- Y.
- Yaguzhinski, 188
 Yailas, 3
 Yaropolk, 26, 27
 Yaroslav, 29
 Yaroslavl, 39
 Yenisei, 4
 Yermak, 2, 86, 87
 Yermólov, 306
 Young, 324
- Z.
- Zagoskin, 330
 Zamość, 122
 Zaporozhski Cossacks, 120
 Zarutski, 107
 Zborow, 122
 Zhidiata, Luke, 315
 Zhukovski, 326
 Ziranians, 7
 Zoe, 55
 Zorndorf, 206
 Zubov, 240, 257



The Story of the Nations.

MESSRS. G. P. PUTNAM'S SONS take pleasure in announcing that they have in course of publication, in co-operation with Mr. T. Fisher Unwin, of London, a series of historical studies, intended to present in a graphic manner the stories of the different nations that have attained prominence in history.

In the story form the current of each national life is distinctly indicated, and its picturesque and noteworthy periods and episodes are presented for the reader in their philosophical relation to each other as well as to universal history.

It is the plan of the writers of the different volumes to enter into the real life of the peoples, and to bring them before the reader as they actually lived, labored, and struggled - as they studied and wrote, and as they amused themselves. In carrying out this plan, the myths, with which the history of all lands begins, will not be overlooked, though these will be carefully distinguished from the actual history, so far as the labors of the accepted historical authorities have resulted in definite conclusions.

The subjects of the different volumes have been planned to cover connecting and, as far as possible, consecutive epochs or periods, so that the set when completed will present in a comprehensive narrative the chief events in the great STORY OF THE NATIONS; but it is, of course, not always practicable to issue the several volumes in their chronological order.

THE STORY OF THE NATIONS.

The "Stories" are printed in good readable type, and in handsome 12mo form. They are adequately illustrated and furnished with maps and indexes. Price per vol., cloth, \$1.50; half morocco, gilt top, \$1.75.

The following are now ready :

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>GREECE. Prof. Jas. A. Harrison.
 ROME. Arthur Gilman.
 THE JEWS. Prof. James K. Hosmer.
 CHALDEA. Z. A. Ragozin.
 GERMANY. S. Baring-Gould.
 NORWAY. Hjalmar H. Boyesen.
 SPAIN. Rev. E. E. and Susan Hale.
 HUNGARY. Prof. A. Vámbery.
 CARTHAGE. Prof. Alfred J. Church.
 THE SARACENS. Arthur Gilman.
 THE MOORS IN SPAIN. Stanley Lane-Poole.
 THE NORMANS. Sarah Orne Jewett.
 PERSIA. S. G. W. Benjamin.
 ANCIENT EGYPT. Prof. Geo. Rawlinson.
 ALEXANDER'S EMPIRE. Prof. J. P. Mahaffy.
 ASSYRIA. Z. A. Ragozin.
 THE GOTHs. Henry Bradley.
 IRELAND. Hon. Emily Lawless.
 TURKEY. Stanley Lane-Poole.
 MEDIA, BABYLON, AND PERSIA. Z. A. Ragozin.
 MEDIÆVAL FRANCE. Prof. Gustave Masson.
 HOLLAND. Prof. J. Thorold Rogers.
 MEXICO. Susan Hale.
 PHENICIA. Geo. Rawlinson.
 THE HANSA TOWNS. Helen Zimmern.
 EARLY BRITAIN. Prof. Alfred J. Church.
 THE BARBARY CORSAIRS. Stanley Lane-Poole.
 RUSSIA. W. R. Morfill.
 THE JEWS UNDER ROME. W. D. Morrison.
 SCOTLAND. John Mackintosh.
 SWITZERLAND. R. Stead and Mrs. A. Hug.
 PORTUGAL. H. Morse-Stephens.
 THE BYZANTINE EMPIRE. C. W. C. Oman.
 SICILY. E. A. Freeman.
 THE TUSCAN REPUBLICS. Bella Duffy.
 POLAND. W. R. Morfill.
 PARTHIA. Geo. Rawlinson.</p> | <p>JAPAN. David Murray.
 THE CHRISTIAN RECOVERY OF SPAIN. H. E. Watts.
 AUSTRALASIA. Greville Tregarthen.
 SOUTHERN AFRICA. Geo. M. Theal.
 VENICE. Alethea Wiel.
 THE CRUSADES. T. S. Archer and C. L. Kingsford.
 VEDIC INDIA. Z. A. Ragozin.
 BOHEMIA. C. E. Maurice.
 CANADA. J. G. Bourinot.
 THE BALKAN STATES. William Miller.
 BRITISH RULE IN INDIA. R. W. Frazer.
 MODERN FRANCE. André Le Bon
 THE BUILDING OF THE BRITISH EMPIRE. Alfred T. Story. Two vols.
 THE FRANKS. Lewis Sergeant.
 THE WEST INDIES. Amos K. Fiske.
 THE PEOPLE OF ENGLAND IN THE 19TH CENTURY. Justin McCarthy, M.P. Two vols.
 AUSTRIA, THE HOME OF THE HAPSBURG DYNASTY, FROM 1282 TO THE PRESENT DAY. Sidney Whitman.
 CHINA. Robt. K. Douglass.
 MODERN SPAIN. Major Martin A. S. Hume.
 MODERN ITALY. Pietro Orsi
 THE THIRTEEN COLONIES. Helen A. Smith. Two vols.
 Other volumes in preparation are :
 THE UNITED STATES, 1775-1897. Prof. A. C. McLaughlin. Two vols.
 BUDDHIST INDIA. Prof. T. W. Rhys-Davids.
 MOHAMMEDAN INDIA. Stanley Lane-Poole.
 WALES AND CORNWALL. Owen M. Edwards.</p> |
|---|--|



Heroes of the Nations.

EDITED BY

EVELYN ABBOTT, M.A.,

FELLOW OF BALLIOL COLLEGE, OXFORD.

A SERIES of biographical studies of the lives and work of a number of representative historical characters about whom have gathered the great traditions of the Nations to which they belonged, and who have been accepted, in many instances, as types of the several National ideals. With the life of each typical character will be presented a picture of the National conditions surrounding him during his career.

The narratives are the work of writers who are recognized authorities on their several subjects, and, while thoroughly trustworthy as history, will present picturesque and dramatic "stories" of the Men and of the events connected with them.

To the Life of each "Hero" will be given one duodecimo volume, handsomely printed in large type, provided with maps and adequately illustrated according to the special requirements of the several subjects. The volumes will be sold separately as follows:

Large 12 ^o , cloth extra	\$1 50
Half morocco, uncut edges, gilt top	1 75

HEROES OF THE NATIONS.

A series of biographical studies of the lives and work of certain representative historical characters, about whom have gathered the great traditions of the Nations to which they belonged, and who have been accepted, in many instances, as types of the several National ideals.

The volumes will be sold separately as follows: cloth extra, \$1.50; half leather, uncut edges, gilt top, \$1.75.

The following are now ready:

- | | |
|--|---|
| NELSON. By W. Clark Russell. | ROBERT THE BRUCE. By Sir Herbert Maxwell. |
| GUSTAVUS ADOLPHUS. By C. R. L. Fletcher. | HANNIBAL. By W. O'Connor Morris. |
| PERICLES. By Evelyn Abbott. | ULYSSES S. GRANT. By William Conant Church. |
| THEODORIC THE GOTH. By Thomas Hodgkin. | ROBERT E. LEE. By Henry Alexander White. |
| SIR PHILIP SIDNEY. By H. R. Fox-Bourne. | THE CID CAMPEADOR. By H. Butler Clarke. |
| JULIUS CÆSAR. By W. Warde Fowler. | SALADIN. By Stanley Lane-Poole. |
| WYCLIF. By Lewis Sergeant. | BISMARCK. By J. W. Headlam. |
| NAPOLEON. By W. O'Connor Morris. | ALEXANDER THE GREAT. By Benjamin I. Wheeler. |
| HENRY OF NAVARRE. By P. F. Willert. | CHARLEMAGNE. By H. W. C. Davis. |
| CICERO. By J. L. Strachan-Davidson. | OLIVER CROMWELL. By Charles Firth. |
| ABRAHAM LINCOLN. By Noah Brooks. | RICHELIEU. By James B. Perkins. |
| PRINCE HENRY (OF PORTUGAL) | DANIEL O'CONNELL. By Robert Dunlop. |
| THE NAVIGATOR. By C. R. Beazley. | SAINT LOUIS (Louis IX. of France). By Frederick Perry. |
| JULIAN THE PHILOSOPHER. By Alice Gardner. | LORD CHATHAM. By Walford Davis Green. |
| LOUIS XIV. By Arthur Hassall. | OWEN GLYNDWR. By Arthur G. Bradley. |
| CHARLES XII. By R. Nisbet Bain. | HENRY V. By Charles L. Kingsford. |
| LORENZO DE' MEDICI. By Edward Armstrong. | EDWARD I. By Edward Jenks. |
| JEANNE D'ARC. By Mrs. Oliphant. | |
| CHRISTOPHER COLUMBUS. By Washington Irving. | |

Other volumes in preparation are:

- | | |
|--|---|
| MOLTKE. By Spencer Wilkinson. | MARLBOROUGH. By C. W. C. Oman. |
| JUDAS MACCABÆUS. By Israel Abrahams. | RICHARD THE LION-HEARTED. By T. A. Archer. |
| SOBIESKI. By F. A. Pollard. | WILLIAM THE SILENT. By Ruth Putnam. |
| ALFRED THE TRUTHTELLER. By Frederick Perry. | JUSTINIAN. By Edward Jenks. |
| FREDERICK II. By A. L. Smith. | |

G. P. PUTNAM'S SONS, PUBLISHERS, NEW YORK AND LONDON.







SC 64760
Stanford University Libraries
Cecil H. Green Library
341

Stanford University Libraries



3 6105 005 144 410

STANFORD UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES
CECIL H. GREEN LIBRARY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305-6004
(415) 723-1493

All books may be recalled after 7 days

DATE DUE

	DATE DUE

STANFORD UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305-6004

